



Proposal for the  
**Redistribution of the  
State's Electoral Districts**  
March 2026



## Acknowledgement of Country

The Electoral Commission of Queensland acknowledges the Traditional Owners of Country and their connection to land, sea and community. We pay our respects to Elders past and present.

## Interpreter services

The Electoral Commission of Queensland is committed to providing accessible services to Queenslanders from all culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. If you have difficulty understanding this report, you can contact us on 1300 881 665 and we will arrange an interpreter to effectively communicate the document to you.



## Copyright

© Electoral Commission of Queensland 2026



## Licence

This report is licensed by the Electoral Commission of Queensland under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) 4.0 International licence.

In essence, you are free to copy, communicate and adapt this document, as long as you attribute the work to the Electoral Commission of Queensland. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Content from this document should be attributed as the Queensland Redistribution Commission Proposal for the Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts March 2026 Report. This report is available to download from the ECQ website [www.ecq.qld.gov.au](http://www.ecq.qld.gov.au).

Enquiries and requests for a paper copy of this document may be directed to:

### Electoral Commission of Queensland

Telephone: 1300 881 665  
Website: [www.ecq.qld.gov.au](http://www.ecq.qld.gov.au)  
Email: [ecq@ecq.qld.gov.au](mailto:ecq@ecq.qld.gov.au)  
Post: GPO Box 1393  
BRISBANE QLD 4001

# Table of contents

<b>Executive summary</b> .....	<b>3</b>
Introduction .....	3
Electoral Redistribution Procedure .....	3
Preparation of Proposed Redistribution.....	6
Proposed Boundaries .....	6
Enrolment Figures .....	7
Electorate Names .....	7
<b>Part 1 – About the redistribution</b> .....	<b>10</b>
The Commission .....	10
Legislative Framework .....	10
Process undertaken to date .....	13
The Commission’s approach .....	16
<b>Part 2 – Summary and reasons for the proposed redistribution</b> .....	<b>18</b>
State-wide overview .....	18
Regional and district summaries.....	28
Gold Coast area .....	29
Redlands area .....	37
Logan area .....	40
Ipswich area .....	48
Brisbane south .....	53
Brisbane north .....	63
Moreton Bay area .....	73
Sunshine Coast area .....	80
Southern Queensland .....	87
Wide Bay and Burnett .....	91
Central Queensland .....	97
Northern Queensland .....	102
Large districts .....	112

<b>Part 3 – Objections against the proposed redistribution .....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>Glossary .....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>Appendix 1 - Statutory notices .....</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>Appendix 2 - Submissions.....</b>	<b>123</b>
List of suggestions .....	123
List of comments on suggestions .....	128
<b>Appendix 3 - Enrolment of existing districts .....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>Appendix 4 - Proposed district maps .....</b>	<b>147</b>

# Executive summary

## Introduction

This is a summary of the proposed electoral redistribution. Full details of all proposals are set out in the accompanying Report.

In April 2025, the Queensland Redistribution Commission (QRC) was constituted under the *Electoral Act 1992* by the following Commissioners to undertake an electoral redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts:<sup>1</sup>

- Mr Gregory Koppenol (a former judge of the District Court of Queensland who had been a judge for at least 3 years) as chairperson;
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General of the Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning (the nonjudicial appointee); and
- Mr Pat Vidgen, PSM, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

The redistribution was required by law because 7½ years had passed since the last electoral redistribution became final in June 2017.<sup>2</sup>

## Electoral Redistribution Procedure

The QRC was provided with administrative support from experienced staff seconded from the Electoral Commission of Queensland and also (with the kind assistance of Director-General Dr Graham Fraine, PSM) from the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development. Their work and expertise were invaluable and we thank them sincerely for their considerable assistance.

**Public consultation** relating to the redistribution is required by the Electoral Act.<sup>3</sup> It commenced in July 2025. Suggestions were publicly invited and published—and comments on the suggestions were then publicly invited and published. The QRC received 116 suggestions and 488 comments. One of the suggestions raised an issue about the integrity of the electoral roll.<sup>4</sup>

**The integrity of the electoral roll** “is essential for the conduct of free and fair elections.”<sup>5</sup> The Queensland (State) electoral roll is maintained by the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC).<sup>6</sup> The AEC publishes an *Annual Roll Integrity Review* which reports on the divisional and address accuracy rate of each State and Territory’s electoral roll. The most recent report was dated 10 September 2025.<sup>7</sup> The results for Queensland’s electoral roll were as follows:

---

1 On 24 April 2025, a Queensland Government Gazette notice was published pursuant to section 35(3)(b) of the Electoral Act stating (i) that the need for an electoral redistribution has arisen (due to the passage of 7.5 years since the previous redistribution became final), and (ii) the membership of the commission.

2 Electoral Act, section 38.

3 Sections 42 and 43. Further public consultation is required after the proposed electoral redistribution is published: see sections 48 [Inviting objections against it] and 49 [Inviting comments on objections].

4 S-070 (Rexit Australia Inc, 31 July 2025, p. 5/59).

5 Australian National Audit Office, *Integrity of the Electoral Roll*, Canberra, ACT, 2002, p. 9 ([anao.gov.au/sites/default/files/anao\\_report\\_2001-2002\\_42.pdf](https://anao.gov.au/sites/default/files/anao_report_2001-2002_42.pdf)).

6 *Electoral Act 1992*, section 62 (Joint roll arrangement with Commonwealth).

7 [aec.gov.au/enrolling\\_to\\_vote/enrolment\\_stats/annual-review/index.htm](https://aec.gov.au/enrolling_to_vote/enrolment_stats/annual-review/index.htm).

- *Divisional accuracy rate:* 96% (compare NSW 97%, VIC 97%, WA 97%)
- *Address accuracy rate:* 92% (compare NSW 93%, VIC 94%, WA 92%)

In the circumstances, we have no issue with the integrity of Queensland’s electoral roll.

***Quota per electorate as at 22 September 2025 (40,188 ±10%)***

The final day for comments on suggestions was 22 September 2025. That was also the date determined by the Electoral Act on which the total number of enrolled electors for all 93 electoral districts was to be calculated for the purposes of the redistribution.<sup>8</sup> That number (as provided by the AEC) was 3,737,470.

The average number of enrolled electors per electorate was therefore 40,188 (3,737,470 ÷ 93 (the number of electorates)).<sup>9</sup> All electorates are required to be within ±10% of that average—that is, between 36,170 (10% below) and 44,206 (10% above)<sup>10</sup> (**the current quota**).

Electorates with an area of 100,000km<sup>2</sup> or more receive an “additional large district number” of 2% of the number of km<sup>2</sup> in the area of that electoral district—but the sum of that 2% number and the actual enrolled electors must still be within the current quota.<sup>11</sup>

***Projected average enrolment per electorate as at June 2032 (44,679)***

The QRC also obtained electoral enrolment projections from the Queensland Government Statistician’s Office (Queensland Treasury). Those statistics projected enrolments by district as at June 2032. Such projections are required for electoral redistribution purposes.<sup>12</sup> The total projected number of enrolled electors for all 93 electoral districts was 4,155,112—and when divided by 93 (electorates), the average was 44,679 (**the projected average**).

**An analysis of the 93 electorates’ *current* (22 September 2025) and *projected* (June 2032) enrolments revealed that:**

- **17 electorates were >10% above the current quota (C) and/or the projected average (P)—namely:**

Buderim: C: 42,780 (+6.45%), P: 50,640 **(+13.34%)**

Bundamba: C: 47,057 **(+17.09%)**, P: 57,644 **(+29.02%)**

Caloundra: C: 47,391 **(+17.92%)**, P: 64,892 **(+45.24%)**

Coomera: C: 55,296 **(+37.59%)**, P: 71,013 **(+58.94%)**

Glass House: C: 39,829 (-0.89%), P: 53,613 **(+20.00%)**

Gympie: C: 45,461 **(+13.12%)**, P: 48,938 (+9.53%)

Hervey Bay: C: 45,575 **(+13.40%)**, P: 48,342 (+8.20%)

Ipswich: C: 40,853 (+1.65%), P: 52,908 **(+18.42%)**

Ipswich West: C: 40,416 (+0.57%), P: 49,817 **(+11.50%)**

8 Sections 44(3)(b)(i) and 45(1).

9 Sections 3 and 45.

10 Section 45.

11 Section 45(1)(b).

12 Section 46(1)(e) of the Electoral Act requires the QRC to consider “demographic trends in the State, with a view to ensuring as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 [Electoral redistribution because of enrolment changes] before it does under section 38 [Electoral redistribution after 2 general elections or 7.5 years].

Jordan: C: 48,721 (+21.23%), P: 65,796 (+47.26%)  
Kurwongbah: C: 42,662 (+6.16%), P: 50,224 (+12.41%)  
Logan: C: 52,004 (+29.40%), P: 67,444 (+50.95%)  
McConnel: C: 42,427 (+5.57%), P: 53,664 (+20.11%)  
Morayfield: C: 43,825 (+9.05%), P: 54,119 (+21.13%)  
Murrumba: C: 47,586 (+18.41%), P: 56,550 (+26.57%)  
Redcliffe: C: 42,565 (+5.91%), P: 50,004 (+11.92%)  
South Brisbane: C: 41,746 (+3.88%), P: 52,790 (+18.15%)

- **22 electorates were >10% below the current quota (C) and/or the projected average (P)—namely:**

Bundaberg: C: 38,010 (-5.42%), P: 39,803 (-10.91%)  
Burdekin: C: 36,887 (-8.21%), P: 39,570 (-11.43%)  
Callide: C: 36,991 (-7.96%), P: 38,463 (-13.91%)  
Capalaba: C: 37,951 (-5.57%), P: 38,210 (-14.48%)  
Chatsworth: C: 37,015 (-7.90%), P: 37,468 (-16.14%)  
Ferny Grove: C: 38,437 (-4.36%), P: 39,645 (-11.27%)  
Gaven: C: 35,490 (-11.69%), P: 37,209 (-16.72%)  
Gregory: C: 35,498 (-11.67%), P: 35,926 (-19.59%)  
Miller: C: 36,721 (-8.63%), P: 38,600 (-13.61%)  
Mirani: C: 37,821 (-5.89%), P: 40,094 (-10.26%)  
Moggill: C: 36,559 (-9.03%), P: 37,015 (-17.15%)  
Mount Ommaney: C: 37,122 (-7.63%), P: 37,659 (-15.71%)  
Mundingburra: C: 35,148 (-12.54%), P: 37,854 (-15.28%)  
Oodgeroo: C: 35,267 (-12.24%), P: 37,824 (-15.34%)  
Sandgate: C: 39,228 (-2.39%), P: 40,142 (-10.15%)  
Springwood: C: 37,014 (-7.90%), P: 37,626 (-15.79%)  
Stretton: C: 34,688 (-13.69%), P: 35,768 (-19.94%)  
Thuringowa: C: 38,861 (-3.30%), P: 39,624 (-11.31%)  
Toohey: C: 34,749 (-13.53%), P: 36,832 (-17.56%)  
Townsville: C: 37,845 (-5.83%), P: 39,614 (-11.34%)  
Traeger: C: 36,105 (-10.16%), P: 36,916 (-17.38%)  
Warrego: C: 38,597 (-3.96%), P: 38,725 (-13.33%)

- **the other 54 electorates were *within* the current quota and  $\pm 10\%$  of the projected average.**

# Preparation of Proposed Redistribution

With that background, the QRC then prepared the proposed redistribution of the State into electoral districts—and also named the districts.<sup>13</sup> In doing so, the Commissioners:

- read and took into account every suggestion and comment properly made under sections 42 and 43 of the Electoral Act;
- ensured that all proposed electorates were within the numerical limits prescribed by section 45;
- considered every matter which was required by section 46 to be considered. Those matters addressed communities of interest, ways of communication and travel, physical features of each proposed electorate, boundaries of existing electorates and demographic trends in the State (as explained in section 46(1)(e)); and
- considered (where section 46(2) applied) local government area boundaries.

The redistribution preparation process was extremely complex and time consuming. It involved extensive electorate modelling, analysis and discussion.

**The Commissioners' decisions with respect to the proposed electoral redistribution were unanimous. We all agreed with the proposed boundaries, enrolment figures and names of each of the 93 proposed electorates.**

## Proposed Boundaries

- **Minimal or no changes are proposed to be made to 43 electorates**—namely, Ashgrove (formerly *Cooper*), Aspley, Beaudesert (formerly *Scenic Rim*), Brisbane Central (formerly *McConnel*), Broadwater, Buderim, Bulimba, Bundaberg, Burleigh, Carindale (formerly *Chatsworth*), Clayfield, Condamine, Coolum (formerly *Ninderry*), Currumbin, Everton, Ferny Grove, Gladstone, Greenslopes, Hervey Bay, Indooroopilly (formerly *Maiwar*), Kawana, Kurwongbah, Labrador (formerly *Bonney*), Lockyer, Lytton, Mackay, Mansfield, Maroochydore, Noosa, Nudgee, Pine Rivers, Redcliffe, Rockhampton, Sandgate, South Brisbane, Southern Downs, Southport, Stafford, Surfers Paradise, Toowoomba North, Toowoomba South, Warrego and Whitsunday.
- **Moderate to significant changes are proposed to be made to 48 electorates**—namely, Algester, Annerley (formerly *Miller*), Barron River, Beenleigh (formerly *Macalister*), Burdekin, Burnett, Cairns, Callide, Caloundra, Capalaba, Cleveland (formerly *Oodgeroo*), Cook, Deception Bay (formerly *Bancroft*), Eight Mile Plains (formerly *Toohey*), Flinders (formerly *Traeger*), Gaven, Glass House, Greenbank (formerly *Jordan*), Gregory, Gympie, Hinchinbrook, Inala, Ipswich, Ipswich West, Keppel, Logan, Marsden (formerly *Waterford*), Maryborough, Mermaid Beach, Mirani, Moggill, Morayfield, Mount Ommaney, Mudgeeraba, Mulgrave, Mundingburra, Murrumba, Nambour (formerly *Nicklin*), Nanango, Oxenford (formerly *Theodore*), Pimpama (formerly *Coomera*), Pumicestone, Redbank (formerly *Bundamba*), Redlands, Springwood, Thuringowa, Townsville and Woodridge.
- **New electorates: 2** new electorates are proposed to be created—namely, Caboolture (formerly *Glass House*) and Springfield (formerly *Jordan*).

---

<sup>13</sup> Section 44.

- **Absorbed electorates:** 2 existing electorates are proposed to be absorbed into other electorates—namely, Hill (into *Flinders*, *Hinchinbrook* and *Mulgrave*) and Stretton (into *Algester* and *Eight Mile Plains*). That was necessary (and geographically convenient) to enable the recipient electorates to be within the current quota and  $\pm 10\%$  of the projected average.

## Enrolment Figures

As a result of the proposed electoral redistribution:

- **all 93 proposed electorates are within the current quota (40,188  $\pm 10\%$ );** and
- **4** of those proposed electorates (Cook, Flinders, Gregory and Warrego) have a geographical area of more than 100,000km<sup>2</sup> and therefore their enrolments include the 2% “additional large district number” under section 45(1)(b).

In addition:

- **88** of the proposed electorates are projected to be **within  $\pm 10\%$  of the projected average** (44,679); and
- **the other 5** proposed electorates are projected to be **>10% above the projected average**—namely:

**Caloundra:** *Proposed:* 38,011 (-5.42%), *Projected:* 54,058 (+20.99%)

**Greenbank:** *Proposed:* 39,134 (-2.62%), *Projected:* 53,592 (+19.95%)

**Morayfield:** *Proposed:* 37,165 (-7.52%), *Projected:* 53,740 (+20.28%)

**Pimpama:** *Proposed:* 36,717 (-8.64%), *Projected:* 53,116 (+18.88%)

**Redbank:** *Proposed:* 37,241 (-7.33%), *Projected:* 51,828 (+16.00%)

Those 5 electorates are in high growth areas. Their proposed enrolment figures could not be reduced sufficiently (and still remain in quota) to enable their projected enrolment figures to be less than 49,146 (10% above the projected average of 44,679).

## Electorate Names

From Separation from New South Wales in **1859 until 1986**, Queensland’s Colonial and State electorates were named after geographical places—many of which (as the Electoral and Administrative Review Commission (EARC) noted in 1991)<sup>14</sup> had earlier been named after “distinguished persons from the State’s past.”

The **1986** Redistribution Commission named one newly created electorate as Nicklin—after former Queensland Premier Sir Francis Nicklin (1895-1978).

The **1991** EARC, **1999** QRC and **2008** QRC continued the geographical place naming practice.

The **2017** QRC deviated from that practice and named 11 State electorates after persons—namely Bancroft, Bonney, Cooper, Hill, Jordan, Macalister, McConnel, Miller, Oodgeroo, Theodore and Traeger (all distinguished persons in various fields).

The Electoral Act states that “[t]he proposed redistribution must include proposed names for the proposed electoral districts.”<sup>15</sup>

<sup>14</sup> Final Report on the 1991 State Electoral Redistribution: QGG No. 85, 27 November 1991, 1346 at 1355.

<sup>15</sup> Section 44(2).

In its December 1991 *Report on the Review of the Elections Act 1983-1991 and Related Matters*,<sup>16</sup> EARC considered imposing a set of legislative requirements on future Redistribution Commissions for the naming of electoral districts. However, it concluded that it would not be appropriate to do so. EARC's recommendation was as follows:<sup>17</sup>

*"The Commission recommends that the choice of names for Queensland electoral districts should be left to the discretion of future Redistribution Commissions who, in the course of their determination, should seek public suggestions for electoral district names."*

The 1999 QRC said that the Commission's discretion on names is "unfettered".<sup>18</sup>

The QRC undoubtedly has a wide discretionary power under the Electoral Act in deciding the names of electoral districts upon a proposed redistribution. However, the High Court of Australia has said that it is "a presumption of the law" that:<sup>19</sup>

*"The legislature is taken to intend that a discretionary power, statutorily conferred, will be exercised reasonably."*

**Therefore, the QRC's discretionary power to propose names for the proposed electorates must be exercised reasonably.**

We have carefully considered and applied that essential requirement. We have also taken into account and considered all public suggestions (including comments on suggestions) which the QRC invited and received about electorate names.

**Voter recognition:** We are of the view that voter recognition of an electorate's location is essential. Electorate names which do not identify or suggest the location of an electorate are apt to cause unnecessary confusion. That is also the case if an electorate were named after a geographical place but the name lacked voter recognition or is no longer suitable (for example, because of boundary changes or to differentiate it from a local government area).

After due consideration, we propose to (a) resume the longstanding practice of naming electorates after geographical places, and (b) rename electorates which were named after persons or have geographical place names that lack voter recognition or are no longer suitable.

Accordingly, we propose to rename the following 19 electorates:

- Bancroft as *Deception Bay*
- Bonney as *Labrador*
- Bundamba as *Redbank*
- Chatsworth as *Carindale*
- Coomera as *Pimpama*
- Cooper as *Ashgrove*
- Jordan as *Greenbank*
- Macalister as *Beenleigh*
- Maiwar as *Indooroopilly*
- McConnel as *Brisbane Central*
- Miller as *Annerley*
- Nicklin as *Nambour*
- Ninderry as *Coolum*
- Oodgeroo as *Cleveland*
- Scenic Rim as *Beaudesert*
- Theodore as *Oxenford*
- Toohey as *Eight Mile Plains*
- Traeger as *Flinders*
- Waterford as *Marsden*

16 Vol. 1, p. 72 (83/250): [parliament.qld.gov.au/Work-of-the-Assembly/Tabled-Papers/docs/4691t1213s2/4691t1213s2-507f.pdf](http://parliament.qld.gov.au/Work-of-the-Assembly/Tabled-Papers/docs/4691t1213s2/4691t1213s2-507f.pdf).

17 *Ibid*, Recommendation 6.43.

18 1999 QRC Final Report, QGG No. 72, 7 July 1999, 1239 at 1247.

19 *Minister for Immigration and Citizenship v Li* (2013) 249 CLR 332 at 351 [29], 362 [63]; see also *Minister for Immigration and Citizenship v SZIAI* (2009) 83 ALJR 1123 at 1127 [15].

Five of those 19 proposed electorates (Cooper, Maiwar, McConnel, Oodgeroo and Scenic Rim) would revert to their former names.

**With respect to the other 74 proposed electorates, 72 retain their names and 2 (Caboolture and Springfield) are newly created and named electorates.**

It is also noteworthy that the names of 26 of the proposed 93 electorates are of Indigenous language origin—namely:

- Buderim
- Bulimba
- Bundaberg
- Caboolture
- Caloundra
- Capalaba
- Coolum
- Currumbin
- Gympie
- Inala
- Indooroopilly
- Kawana
- Kurwongbah
- Maroochydore
- Moggill
- Mudgeeraba
- Mundingburra
- Murrumba
- Nambour
- Nanango
- Noosa
- Nudgee
- Pimpama
- Toowoomba North
- Toowoomba South
- Warrego

**Gregory J. Koppenol**

Chairperson

10 March 2026

# Part 1 – About the redistribution

## The Commission

Under the *Electoral Act 1992*, periodic redistributions of State electoral boundaries must be undertaken to ensure that all Queensland electorates maintain relatively equitable numbers of enrolled electors, to uphold the democratic principle of “one vote, one value”.

Redistributions are conducted by a 3-member panel called the Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission).

Under the *Electoral Act*, the Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission) consists of:

- a judge or former judge of a court of the Commonwealth or a State or Territory, who had been a judge for at least 3 years (chairperson)
- the chief executive of a Queensland Government department or equivalent (the non-judicial appointee), and
- the Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

The Commission was constituted in April 2025 to undertake a redistribution of State electoral boundaries, consisting of the following commissioners:

- Mr Gregory Koppenol, former judge of the District Court, who had been a judge for at least 3 years (Chairperson)
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning, and
- Mr Patrick Vidgen PSM, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

## Legislative Framework

### Trigger for the redistribution

Section 38 of the *Electoral Act* sets out triggers for a redistribution. The current redistribution was triggered in December 2024 because 7.5 years had passed since the last redistribution became final. The [final determination for the previous redistribution](#) was issued on 26 May 2017 and became final after the 21-day appeal period elapsed in June 2017.

A notice that the need for a redistribution had arisen, and notifying of the membership of the Commission, was published in the [Queensland Government Gazette](#) on 24 April 2025. Gazette notices published to date for the purposes of the redistribution are included at **Appendix 1**.

## Legislative requirements

### Quota

When undertaking a redistribution, the *Electoral Act* requires the Commission to ensure that enrolment numbers for all electorates fall within a specified range of the average State enrolment, known as the “quota”.

The quota is calculated by dividing the total number of enrolled electors in the State by the number of electoral districts (93) to determine the average State enrolment. The Commission must ensure that enrolment for all individual electorates is within a maximum range of 10% above or below the average to remain within quota.

In accordance with section 45 of the *Electoral Act*, the quota for the redistribution is to be calculated based on the total State enrolment at the closing date for the second stage of public consultation (the 21-day period prescribed under section 43(2)(b) of the *Electoral Act* for comments on suggestions), which was 22 September 2025.

Based on 22 September 2025 enrolment data, electoral districts must remain within the variation range below to remain within quota:

Enrolment (22 September 2025)	Districts	Average enrolment	Upper threshold (average +10%)	Lower threshold (average -10%)
3,737,470	93	40,188	44,206	36,170

The Commission also considered projected enrolment estimates provided by the Queensland Government Statistician’s Office to ensure that all 93 electorates remain in quota for as long as possible. The projected average enrolment and variation range as at June 2032 are set out below:

Enrolment (30 June 2032)	Districts	Average enrolment	Upper threshold (average +10%)	Lower threshold (average -10%)
4,155,112	93	44,679	49,146	40,212

Under the *Electoral Act*, electorates with a geographical area of 100,000km<sup>2</sup> or more have an “additional large district number” added to their enrolment figures. A number equal to 2% of the total area of the district is added to the number of actual electors to determine a “weighted” enrolment figure.

The total weighted enrolment figure (including the additional large district number) must be within the range of 10% above or below the average district enrolment to remain within quota.

An example of the calculation is set out below:

District	Enrolment (22 September 2025)	District area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Additional large district number (2%)	Weighted enrolment figure
Warrego	31,841	337,811	$337,811 \times 0.02 = 6,756$	$31,841 + 6,756 = 38,597$ electors

## Other legislative considerations

The key mandatory criterion to be met is that all electorates must comply with the legislated quota set out under section 45 of the *Electoral Act*. However, the Act prescribes a range of additional matters that must also be considered by the Commission in undertaking the redistribution.

The matters to be considered under section 46 of the *Electoral Act* include:

- economic, social, regional or other community of interest factors;
- ways of communication and travel within electoral districts;
- physical features of electoral districts;
- boundaries of existing electoral districts; and
- demographic trends in the State, with a view to ensuring electoral districts remain within quota.

The Commission may also consider the boundaries of local government areas in relation to determining community of economic, social, regional or other interests within the local government area.

The Commission is given broad discretion in the way these criteria are to be weighed and applied.

## Matters out of scope

The Commission decides boundaries and names of State electorates, but does not determine the number of electorates (currently 93), as this is set by the Queensland Parliament under legislation.

The Commission notes concerns raised in several submissions regarding potential diminished representation for regional electorates. Some submissions have suggested legislative changes to address this issue, including changes to the calculation of the “additional large district number” applied to large electorates under the *Electoral Act*.

The Commission noted submissions from Katter’s Australian Party (S-105 and CS-433) which suggested the additional large district number for the large districts be calculated based on 4% of the geographical area of the district, rather than the current 2%, and that an additional weighted enrolment be applied to districts which include Indigenous local government areas. However, this is not possible under the current legislative framework.

The Commission also noted some suggestions that amendments be made allowing more time during each consultation stage for members of the public to complete their submissions. The Commission notes the work and level of detail contained in many submissions and acknowledges the effort and dedication of their authors.

While legislative amendments fall outside the scope of the Commission’s work, these matters are identified here should Parliament decide to consider them.

Local government boundaries are reviewed by the Local Government Change Commission in accordance with the *Local Government Act 2009* and the *City of Brisbane Act 2010* and therefore are not within the scope of the current review.

The Commission has not considered voting data or the representation of various political parties in the Queensland Parliament in preparing new boundaries.

# Process undertaken to date

Part 3, division 3 of the *Electoral Act* sets out the procedures to be followed in conducting redistribution, including 4 stages of public consultation. The Commission has completed 2 of the 4 stages, as follows:

## Stage 1 – Invitation for suggestions

In accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission invited suggestions relating to the redistribution, including changes to the electoral boundaries and/or district names. The invitation was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* on Monday, 7 July 2025 and advertised in newspapers and online. Suggestions were open for a period of 30 days, closing on Wednesday, 6 August 2025.

The Commission also published population, projection and mapping data on its website, and released a [discussion paper](#) outlining the purpose, process and statutory basis for the redistribution to assist submitters contribute to the public consultation process.

A total of 116<sup>20</sup> suggestions were received, including 19 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups and the remainder from members of the public.

The suggestions were made available for public inspection (without fee) on the Commission's website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane. The Commission also released an [overview of suggestions](#) received during this stage of consultation, to inform submitters lodging comments on the suggestions.

A list of submissions received in response to the invitation for suggestions is included at **Appendix 2** and all submissions are available in full on the Commission's website.

## Stage 2 – Invitation for comments on suggestions

In accordance with section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission invited comments on the suggestions. The invitation to make a comment was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* on 1 September 2025 and advertised in newspapers and online. Comments were open for a period of 21 days, closing on Monday, 22 September 2025.

A total of 488<sup>21</sup> comments were received, including 21 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups, and the remainder from members of the public.

The comments were made available for public inspection (without fee) on the Commission's website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane. A list of submissions received in response to the invitation for comments on suggestions is included at **Appendix 2** and all submissions are available in full on the Commission's website.

The Commission considered all submissions received during the first 2 stages of public consultation in preparing its proposal for the redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts.

---

20 One submission and one partial submission were accepted after the closing date.

21 One submission was accepted after the closing date and 4 submitters requested the removal of their submission following publication. The total number of submissions reflects these changes.

There will be 2 further stages of public consultation. The third stage of consultation will commence following the publication of the proposed redistribution. Further information about the final 2 stages of consultation, including how the public and stakeholders can provide further comments, is outlined in Part 3 below.

## Outcomes of consultation

The Commission was pleased to see a high level of community engagement with the redistribution process, evidenced by a significantly higher response rate during both stages of consultation compared with the 2017 redistribution.

A number of submissions proposed new boundaries for the whole State, or significant areas of the State, and provided a detailed rationale for the suggestions. These ideas have provided a valuable input to the proposed redistribution. The Commission was particularly interested where suggestions were reached independently in 2 or more submissions, in some cases extending to the placement of new electorates and their names.

The Commission has considered all submissions made during the redistribution and highlighted a number of those submissions throughout this report, including submissions that align to various extents with the Commission’s proposal, provide supplementary evidence, or offer a contrary view. These submissions are identified by their submission number. A list of all submissions received during both stages of consultation is at **Appendix 2**, and full submissions are available on the Commission’s website.

The Commission received submissions from 4 registered political parties. These submissions are identified throughout the report using the following abbreviations:

Registered political party	Submission numbers	Abbreviation
Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	S-115 and CS-466	ALP
Katter’s Australian Party	S-105 and CS-433	KAP
Liberal National Party of Queensland	S-110 and CS-465	LNP
Queensland Greens	S-112 and CS-448	Greens

While not all suggestions can be accommodated in the proposed redistribution, the Commission again thanks all submitters, and particularly those who provided detailed suggestions and thoughtful rationales, for participating in this process.

## Boundary modelling approach

In undertaking the redistribution, the Commission reviewed various boundary models prepared using specialist Geographic Information System (GIS) mapping software. Boundary models were developed by aggregating individual mesh blocks into electoral districts. Mesh blocks are the smallest geographical areas defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) within the Australian Statistical Geography Standard<sup>22</sup>.

<sup>22</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics, *Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3, July 2021-June 2026*



Enrolment data provided by the Australian Electoral Commission and enrolment projections provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office were applied to allow accurate calculation of current and projected enrolment figures for proposed districts in compliance with quota requirements.

Additional spatial layers such as geographical features, transport infrastructure routes, property boundaries, ABS SA1 (Statistical Area Level 1), locality and local government boundaries, planned and current development, satellite imagery, and key community catchments, were also used during the modelling process. These layers provided reference information to assist the Commission in considering community of interest, transport connectivity, and geographical accessibility factors.

The Commission acknowledges the expert contribution provided by the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development to support the finalisation of boundaries and production of maps for the proposed redistribution.

# The Commission's approach

## Consideration and weighting of factors under section 46

As noted above, the Commission is required under section 46 of the *Electoral Act* to take account of a range of factors in addition to enrolment quota in determining electoral boundaries. The Commission has broad discretion in the application of these factors.

General principles applied by the Commission during consideration of boundary models are outlined below, noting the relative weight of each factor is contextual to individual circumstances and has been applied on a holistic basis in determining proposed boundaries.

<p>Communities of interest – section 46(1)(a)</p>	<p>The Commission has given weight to a variety of community of interest considerations, including matters raised in public submissions that identify particular communities of interest in certain electorates. The Commission aimed to unite localities within the same district to the extent practicable.</p> <p>Communities of interest may also be informed by suburb/locality boundaries, local government area boundaries (in accordance with section 46(2)), major industries and other economic connections, community facilities and public infrastructure such as schools, hospitals and shopping centres.</p>
<p>Ways of communication and travel – section 46(1)(b)</p>	<p>The Commission has aimed, to the extent possible, for all parts of the district to be accessible from within the district. Particularly in urban areas, the Commission considered the location and direction of major transport linkages such as roads and rail lines, aiming to draw districts structured around arterials shared by the local community.</p>
<p>Physical features – section 46(1)(c)</p>	<p>Physical or geographical features such as mountain ranges, rivers and creeks or greenspace may provide a boundary that aligns with community expectations due to the way people move about and use the local area.</p>
<p>Current State and local government electoral boundaries – sections 46(1)(d) and 46(2)</p>	<p>The Commission considered the current State electoral boundaries an important input to the proposed redistribution, with the objective of maintaining continuity for Queensland electors and electoral administration where possible.</p> <p>However, no boundary change can be made in isolation. In many cases, the need to make changes to ensure all districts fall within the legislative quota, necessitated consequential changes to electorates both adjacent and further afield.</p> <p>Where practicable and suitable, the Commission has aligned State district boundaries with local government area boundaries, noting these delineations are commonly understood by local residents.</p>

<p>Demographic trends – section 46(1)(e)</p>	<p>The Commission received projected enrolment data for the period to 2032 from the Queensland Government Statistician’s Office to inform the redistribution.</p> <p>To the extent possible, the Commission used this data to inform new boundaries that do not trigger a redistribution under section 39 of the <i>Electoral Act</i> (more than one-third of electorates out of quota for 2 consecutive months) before a redistribution is triggered under section 38 (the later of 7.5 years after the redistribution becomes final, or 2 general elections plus one year).</p> <p>However, the rate of growth (positive or negative) in a limited number of areas means the projected population for a small number of districts will exceed the quota based on the available population projections. The Commission has attempted to limit these instances and the extent of the future impacts to the extent possible.</p>
--	---

## Naming of districts

As noted in the discussion paper prepared to inform consultation on the redistribution, the Commission is also charged with the responsibility of determining the names of electoral districts.

Therefore, in conjunction with reviewing the boundaries of electoral districts, the Commission has reviewed and assessed electorate names to determine whether they are appropriate or whether name changes are required.

In Queensland, electorates have historically been named after places, regions or geographical features. In contrast, established practice for federal redistributions is for electoral divisions to be named after deceased Australians where possible, providing a differing approach from State practice.

Until 1986, electoral districts were named after geographical places, of which many had earlier been named after persons. Subsequently, the 1986 Redistribution Commission named a newly created electorate as Nicklin (after the former Queensland Premier Sir Francis Nicklin) and the 2017 State redistribution named 11 electorates after various distinguished people: Bancroft, Bonney, Cooper, Hill, Jordan, Macalister, McConnel, Miller, Oodgeroo, Theodore and Traeger.

To inform its considerations on electorate names, the Commission sought submissions from the public about current district names, proposed new names, and community preferences for naming electorates after places, people, or on another basis.

# Part 2 – Summary and reasons for the proposed redistribution

## State-wide overview

### Key challenges

As noted in the discussion paper published on 7 July 2025, a number of key issues must be resolved during this redistribution:

- Districts out of quota** – 14 districts were out of quota at the commencement of the redistribution, with 8 being over quota and 6 being under quota. The district of Traeger also dropped below quota shortly afterward, making 15 districts out of quota for the purposes of this redistribution<sup>23</sup>.

As noted above, the Commission is legislatively obligated to bring all districts back within the enrolment tolerance of 10% above or below the average as part of the redistribution. A list of enrolment for all current districts, including 22 September 2025 enrolment and June 2032 projected enrolment figures, is at **Appendix 3**.

As at 22 September 2025, the following districts were out of quota:

Electoralates exceeding quota			Electoralates below quota		
District	Enrolment	Variation %	District	Enrolment	Variation %
<b>Coomera</b>	55,296	+37.59%	<b>Stretton</b>	34,688	-13.69%
<b>Logan</b>	52,004	+29.40%	<b>Toohy</b>	34,749	-13.53%
<b>Jordan</b>	48,721	+21.23%	<b>Mundingburra</b>	35,148	-12.54%
<b>Murrumba</b>	47,586	+18.41%	<b>Oodgeroo</b>	35,267	-12.24%
<b>Caloundra</b>	47,391	+17.92%	<b>Gaven</b>	35,490	-11.69%
<b>Bundamba</b>	47,057	+17.09%	<b>Gregory*</b>	35,498	-11.67%
<b>Hervey Bay</b>	45,575	+13.40%	<b>Traeger*</b>	36,105	-10.16%
<b>Gympie</b>	45,461	+13.12%			

\* Weighted enrolment inclusive of the “additional large district number”.

<sup>23</sup> Enrolment for the district of Morayfield exceeded quota as at 30 January 2026, however, enrolment data as at 22 September 2025 is used for the purposes of the redistribution.

- 2. Population growth in south-east Queensland** – Population growth since the previous redistribution has not been uniform across the State. Growth has been generally concentrated in select areas including the band of electorates stretching west from the northern Gold Coast, through Logan to Ipswich; the Moreton Bay area; and parts of the Sunshine Coast.

Enrolment projections provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office are an important input applied during the redistribution to quantify expected enrolment growth in each electorate in Queensland. The Commission must consider this information with a view to ensuring that a redistribution is not triggered in the coming years because one-third of districts have exceeded the minimum or maximum enrolment threshold.

The districts of Coomera, Logan and Jordan are the most heavily populated districts in the State, and the adjacent district of Bundamba is the sixth most populous. The Commission is legislatively required to adjust the boundaries of these and surrounding districts to bring their enrolment back into quota. Noting these 4 districts are currently home to over 200,000 electors, enough electors for 5 districts, and are projected to continue growing strongly over the coming 7 years, significant changes to their boundaries are required.

- 3. Regional representation** – As noted above, population growth since the 2017 redistribution has been largely concentrated in south-east Queensland and in select regional centres along the coast. The Commission noted the many submissions received on the topic of regional representation, including from Katter's Australian Party (S-105 and CS-433).

The Townsville-based district of Mundingburra is currently out of quota, with several other electorates in this region including Townsville, Thuringowa and Burdekin at risk of deviating more than 10% below the State average in the future.

The Commission reviewed all suggestions and considered several approaches to balance the number of electors in the northern Queensland electorates, noting the need to ensure regional communities maintain appropriate representation based around clear communities of interest. However, the Commission must ensure all districts in Queensland meet the enrolment quota under current legislative requirements.

- 4. Large districts** – The large districts of Traeger and Gregory are also currently out of quota, and the large district of Warrego is projected to fall below quota by 2032. The Commission was conscious of the geographical area of these districts and the challenges this creates for local representatives servicing large and diverse areas and constituencies. These matters were highlighted in the submissions from Katter's Australian Party (S-105 and CS-433).

With adjacent north Queensland districts also below the average enrolment, the Commission considered a range of options to bring the large districts back into quota, constrain their relative geographical expansion, improve the enrolment of neighbouring districts, and future-proof the boundaries to the extent possible.

## Proposed changes

There are several significant changes in this redistribution which are necessary due to the changing nature of Queensland's demography as noted above. The reasons for the Commission's decisions and additional contextual information are outlined below.

The major proposed changes are:

1. The district of Stretton is proposed to be absorbed into the surrounding districts of Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey) and Algester, rebalancing enrolment across the Brisbane south area.
2. The district of Hill is proposed to be absorbed into the surrounding districts of Mulgrave, Hinchinbrook and Flinders (formerly Traeger), allowing the transfer of electors to sustain enrolment in the current 4 large districts and other north Queensland electorates including the current 3 Townsville-based districts.
3. A new district named Springfield is proposed to be created. The district is centred on the highly populated Springfield area, currently in the district of Jordan, providing additional capacity to absorb the current and continuing high enrolment growth in this area.
4. A new district named Caboolture is proposed to be created. The district incorporates the central portion of Caboolture, expanding through the western growth corridor toward Woodford and D'Aguilar aligned to the local government area boundary between Moreton Bay and Sunshine Coast councils, providing additional capacity to absorb the current and continuing high enrolment growth in this area.

These changes, particularly where districts are proposed to be absorbed into the surrounding districts, are not made lightly. The Commission has carefully weighed the various factors prescribed in section 46 of the *Electoral Act* and considered all submissions received during community consultation to formulate the proposed redistribution.

While some significant changes were unavoidable due to the challenges of maintaining equitable enrolment, the Commission was also cognisant of the need to limit voter confusion and promote continuity in electoral administration. As such, existing boundaries have been maintained where allowable based on individual district enrolment, coupled with consideration of enrolment trends in surrounding districts.

The Commission proposes no changes to the boundaries of 8 districts, and minimal changes to a further 35 districts, meaning almost half of Queensland's 93 electoral districts will have limited changes to their boundaries.

With 15 of the remaining districts out of quota (8 over quota and 7 under quota), changes were necessary to these and their neighbouring districts. Enrolment projections also indicate that 37 districts would be out of quota by June 2032 (15 over projected quota and 22 under projected quota), by rates of up to almost 60% above the State average.

The changes proposed by the Commission ensure all districts fall within the required enrolment range, and improve the projected enrolment outlook considerably, with only 5 districts expected to exceed the projected quota by June 2032.

The Commission sought to ensure proposed districts remained within quota on projected enrolment figures to the extent possible. However, due to the need to satisfy current enrolment

quota requirements, it was not always possible to simultaneously limit projected enrolment in high growth areas. All 5 districts projected to exceed quota by 2032 are located in high growth areas of south-east Queensland.

### **Brisbane South and Ipswich – district of Stretton and new district of Springfield**

The neighbouring districts of Stretton and Toohey were below the minimum enrolment threshold (over 13% below quota) with the lowest enrolment of all State districts at the commencement of this redistribution. In addition, several nearby districts including Miller, Chatsworth and Springwood were around 7% to 8% below the State average and projected to drop below the minimum threshold in the future.

By contrast, the districts immediately to the south of the Brisbane local government area including Bundamba, Jordan and Logan were significantly over quota with further strong growth projected.

Many public submissions identified a need for an additional district in the Ipswich area, with several suggestions for a district focussed on the shared community of interest around the Springfield area. The Commission agreed with the broad intent of these suggestions and has proposed the establishment of a new district named Springfield.

The creation of the proposed new district of Springfield has been achieved by reducing the number of districts in southern Brisbane by one. The current district of Stretton is proposed to be absorbed into Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey), which comprises portions of the current Toohey and Stretton districts. The northern portion of the current Toohey is transferred to Annerley (formerly Miller) and the southern portion of the current Stretton district, including the locality of Stretton, is transferred to Algester.

The consolidation of Toohey and Stretton was suggested in a number of public submissions and has enabled the creation of the proposed new district of Springfield a short distance to the south-west of these districts. The proposed Springfield district has been created from parts of the current districts of Jordan and Bundamba, both of which are currently significantly over quota and expected to continue to grow.

The location of the proposed new district of Springfield in this high growth corridor assists in relieving enrolment pressure in the Logan and Ipswich regions, while more equitably distributing electors in the urban districts of southern Brisbane.

### **Moreton Bay area – new district of Caboolture**

The 7 districts in the Moreton Bay region had enrolment rates above the State average at the commencement of this redistribution, with the district of Murrumba significantly over quota. Several more districts in this area were projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The Commission received several suggestions that a district be added in this area to manage current enrolment and future growth. The most commonly suggested approach for the new district included the central Caboolture area (currently in Morayfield and Pumicestone) and extended west to include the southern territory of the district of Glass House including Woodford and its surrounds.

The Commission agreed with the need for an additional district in this high growth area and has broadly adopted the suggested approach with the creation of a proposed new district of Caboolture.

## North Queensland and large districts – district of Hill

The whole-of-state suggestions received by the Commission included a commonly expressed view that the addition of a district in the Moreton Bay region should be balanced by the loss of a district in northern Queensland. The Commission considered this issue seriously, noting the importance of suitable representation for all Queenslanders.

As previously noted, a number of north Queensland electorates are already below or are at risk of dropping below quota in the future. The below-quota large districts of Traeger and Gregory were also a significant factor in considering changes to the districts in this region.

Ultimately, the Commission agreed that to balance the number of electors in each district across the State, the number of districts in north Queensland should be reduced by one.

The Commission received a number of suggestions to broadly combine the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin (submissions including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101), with the proposed district typically including the southern portions of urban Townsville, extending south and east along the coast. The Commission also reviewed suggestions including that the districts of Hill (S-110 LNP), Thuringowa (S-112 Greens), Mirani (S-114) or Whitsunday (CS-491) be abolished.

The Commission decided that it was important to retain 3 districts based on urban Townsville, noting the city's position as a significant regional centre with a population base sufficient to sustain 3 districts. The Commission also determined that it should retain the current 4 large districts, and that those districts should not significantly expand in size geographically as far as possible.

In reaching this conclusion, the Commission considered submissions advocating for maintaining the current representation of Townsville electorates (including S-115 ALP), challenges of regional representation in large districts (including S-105 KAP), and from numerous submitters opposing the abolition of the district of Hill (including CS-223, Mr Shane Knuth MP, Member for Hill).

The Commission also considered the factors prescribed under section 46 of the *Electoral Act*, giving particular consideration to the communities of interest within each district.

The Commission has proposed that the district of Hill be absorbed into the proposed districts of Mulgrave, Hinchinbrook and Flinders (formerly Traeger) at this redistribution. Factors influencing this decision included:

- The coastal portion of the existing Hill district does not hold a strong community of interest with its western bulk. The coastal portion of the district has been transferred to Hinchinbrook, which becomes a district characterised by the coastal towns situated between Townsville and Cairns, including the entirety of the Hinchinbrook Shire Council and Cassowary Coast Regional Council.
- The district of Mulgrave had capacity to absorb the eastern portion of the Tablelands area, including the towns of Atherton and Tolga, which share a stronger connection to the coastal communities, as noted by the Tablelands Regional Council in its submission (CS-427).
- The transfer of the western portion of the district to Flinders (formerly Traeger) has enabled a reciprocal transfer of Charters Towers and its surrounds to Burdekin. This has dual benefits of bringing Flinders back into quota while slightly decreasing its geographical footprint and allowing for a revised Burdekin with improved enrolment and which shares a stronger community of interest. The Commission notes the Charters Towers Regional Council was supportive of this proposal (CS-069).

- The approach allows for the retention of 3 districts focussed on urban Townsville. The Commission noted that the bulk of development and growth in Townsville is situated on the north-western side of the city, currently in the district of Hinchinbrook. By transferring these localities from Hinchinbrook and reconfiguring shared boundaries around the city centre, the 3 proposed Townsville-based districts reflect strong shared communities of interest and are projected to remain in quota.

The reallocation of electors from Hill has also allowed the Commission to retain the existing 4 large districts, while limiting increases to their geographical size. However, the Commission is aware that, based on current enrolment projections, maintaining the enrolment viability of these districts while minimising their expansion toward coastal areas will present an ongoing challenge for future redistributions.

## Electorate names

As outlined above, the discussion paper released to inform consultation on the redistribution noted the Commission's role in determining the names of electorates, seeking public input on this issue.

The Commission has a wide discretionary power under section 44(2) of the *Electoral Act* in deciding the names of electoral districts under a proposed redistribution. That power must, of course, be exercised reasonably. The Commission has carefully considered and applied that essential requirement.

The Commission considers that voter recognition of an electorate's location is essential. Electorate names which do not identify or suggest the location of an electorate may cause unnecessary confusion. That is also likely if an electorate were named after a geographical place but the name lacked voter recognition or is no longer suitable (for example, because of boundary changes or to differentiate it from a local government area). In some cases, new names were considered where districts were named for a geographical feature or locality no longer contained within the proposed district.

The Commission also considered historical practice in naming Queensland electorates and has determined that a reversion to the longstanding approach of naming electorates after geographical locations, features or places is most appropriate.

In reaching this view, the Commission carefully examined the decisions and approaches of the Electoral and Administrative Review Commission and previous Queensland Redistribution Commissions, as well as taking into account and considering all public suggestions (including comments on suggestions) which the Commission invited and received about electorate names.

The Commission also noted the submission to the 2017 redistribution from the former Committee of the Legislative Assembly, submitted on behalf of all Members of the then Parliament, expressing the view that the tradition of naming electorates for relevant localities remains the most appropriate practice.<sup>24</sup>

---

<sup>24</sup> Queensland Redistribution Commission, *Objections against the proposed redistribution*, March 2017, Committee of the Legislative Assembly (Obj-1303).

**Accordingly, and after due consideration, the Commission proposes to rename the following 19 electorates:**

- Bancroft as *Deception Bay*
- Bonney as *Labrador*
- Bundamba as *Redbank*
- Chatsworth as *Carindale*
- Coomera as *Pimpama*
- Cooper as *Ashgrove*
- Jordan as *Greenbank*
- Macalister as *Beenleigh*
- Maiwar as *Indooroopilly*
- McConnel as *Brisbane Central*
- Miller as *Annerley*
- Nicklin as *Nambour*
- Ninderry as *Coolum*
- Oodgeroo as *Cleveland*
- Scenic Rim as *Beaudesert*
- Theodore as *Oxenford*
- Toohey as *Eight Mile Plains*
- Traeger as *Flinders*
- Waterford as *Marsden*.

The names of these districts have been proposed on the basis that they apply a consistent approach to the naming of all Queensland electorates after relevant places, promote voter recognition and clarity of district locations including reinstating some long-standing historical names, and ensure names are appropriate to the district’s present configuration.

## Names and enrolment for all proposed districts

The names and enrolment for each of the 93 proposed districts are detailed in the table below.

Further information about each proposed district and the reasons for the Commission’s proposals can be found in the regional and district summaries below.

Proposed district name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment of proposed district (as at 22 September 2025) <sup>#</sup>	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Algeria		42,778 (+6.44%)	45,403 (+1.62%)
Annerley	Miller	40,871 (+1.70%)	42,966 (-3.83%)
Ashgrove	Cooper	42,543 (+5.86%)	45,057 (+0.85%)
Aspley		40,989 (+1.99%)	42,818 (-4.17%)
Barron River		42,410 (+5.53%)	45,457 (+1.74%)
Beaudesert	Scenic Rim	40,495 (+0.76%)	44,089 (-1.32%)
Beenleigh	Macalister	40,526 (+0.84%)	45,516 (+1.87%)
Brisbane Central	McConnel	38,517 (-4.16%)	48,958 (+9.58%)
Broadwater		38,994 (-2.97%)	44,144 (-1.20%)
Buderim		38,022 (-5.39%)	45,739 (+2.37%)
Bulimba		39,915 (-0.68%)	41,516 (-7.08%)
Bundaberg		39,649 (-1.34%)	41,535 (-7.04%)

Proposed district name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment of proposed district (as at 22 September 2025) <sup>#</sup>	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Burdekin		38,819 (-3.41%)	41,724 (-6.61%)
Burleigh		41,363 (+2.92%)	44,949 (+0.60%)
Burnett		40,427 (+0.59%)	42,651 (-4.54%)
Caboolture	New district	37,867 (-5.78%)	47,201 (+5.64%)
Cairns		43,581 (+8.44%)	47,786 (+6.95%)
Callide		40,858 (+1.67%)	42,081 (-5.81%)
Caloundra		38,011 (-5.42%)	54,058 (+20.99%)
Capalaba		42,286 (+5.22%)	42,607 (-4.64%)
Carindale	Chatsworth	41,308 (+2.79%)	41,872 (-6.28%)
Clayfield		39,624 (-1.40%)	45,287 (+1.36%)
Cleveland	Oodgeroo	41,836 (+4.10%)	45,168 (+1.09%)
Condamine		38,593 (-3.97%)	42,829 (-4.14%)
Cook*		43,017 (+7.04%)	45,692 (+2.27%)
Coolum	Ninderry	42,858 (+6.64%)	45,585 (+2.03%)
Currumbin		38,756 (-3.56%)	41,528 (-7.05%)
Deception Bay	Bancroft	42,689 (+6.22%)	46,430 (+3.92%)
Eight Mile Plains	Toohey	40,243 (+0.14%)	41,963 (-6.08%)
Everton		40,956 (+1.91%)	43,127 (-3.47%)
Ferny Grove		39,927 (-0.65%)	41,227 (-7.73%)
Flinders*	Traeger	39,292 (-2.23%)	40,355 (-9.68%)
Gaven		41,848 (+4.13%)	44,891 (+0.47%)
Gladstone		41,585 (+3.48%)	44,943 (+0.59%)
Glass House		38,398 (-4.45%)	42,096 (-5.78%)
Greenbank	Jordan	39,134 (-2.62%)	53,592 (+19.95%)
Greenslopes		39,352 (-2.08%)	43,663 (-2.27%)
Gregory*		40,324 (+0.34%)	40,672 (-8.97%)
Gympie		41,639 (+3.61%)	44,978 (+0.67%)
Hervey Bay		40,984 (+1.98%)	44,076 (-1.35%)
Hinchinbrook		42,740 (+6.35%)	46,146 (+3.28%)

Proposed district name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment of proposed district (as at 22 September 2025) <sup>#</sup>	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Inala		42,789 (+6.47%)	44,728 (+0.11%)
Indooroopilly	Maiwar	39,234 (-2.37%)	42,319 (-5.28%)
Ipswich		40,974 (+1.96%)	45,425 (+1.67%)
Ipswich West		37,311 (-7.16%)	46,539 (+4.16%)
Kawana		40,770 (+1.45%)	43,762 (-2.05%)
Keppel		38,147 (-5.08%)	44,005 (-1.51%)
Kurwongbah		39,521 (-1.66%)	46,188 (+3.38%)
Labrador	Bonney	38,397 (-4.46%)	49,000 (+9.67%)
Lockyer		40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
Logan		38,778 (-3.51%)	47,364 (+6.01%)
Lytton		40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
Mackay		43,416 (+8.03%)	45,701 (+2.29%)
Mansfield		40,419 (+0.57%)	45,809 (+2.53%)
Maroochydore		43,262 (+7.65%)	47,914 (+7.24%)
Marsden	Waterford	40,703 (+1.28%)	48,188 (+7.85%)
Maryborough		42,908 (+6.77%)	45,771 (+2.44%)
Mermaid Beach		41,210 (+2.54%)	44,700 (+0.05%)
Mirani		41,139 (+2.37%)	43,301 (-3.08%)
Moggill		42,515 (+5.79%)	43,856 (-1.84%)
Morayfield		37,165 (-7.52%)	53,740 (+20.28%)
Mount Ommaney		43,810 (+9.01%)	44,593 (-0.19%)
Mudgeeraba		39,543 (-1.60%)	43,793 (-1.98%)
Mulgrave		42,285 (+5.22%)	48,388 (+8.30%)
Mundingburra		42,624 (+6.06%)	45,140 (+1.03%)
Murrumba		40,144 (-0.11%)	48,585 (+8.74%)
Nambour	Nicklin	38,796 (-3.46%)	42,141 (-5.68%)
Nanango		41,242 (+2.62%)	43,013 (-3.73%)
Noosa		39,281 (-2.26%)	41,089 (-8.04%)
Nudgee		39,925 (-0.65%)	41,674 (-6.73%)

Proposed district name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment of proposed district (as at 22 September 2025) <sup>#</sup>	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Oxenford	Theodore	39,337 (-2.12%)	43,578 (-2.46%)
Pimpama	Coomera	36,717 (-8.64%)	53,116 (+18.88%)
Pine Rivers		42,491 (+5.73%)	46,700 (+4.52%)
Pumicestone		38,720 (-3.65%)	42,755 (-4.31%)
Redbank	Bundamba	37,241 (-7.33%)	51,828 (+16.00%)
Redcliffe		41,327 (+2.83%)	48,925 (+9.50%)
Redlands		39,976 (-0.53%)	43,211 (-3.29%)
Rockhampton		42,408 (+5.52%)	44,738 (+0.13%)
Sandgate		40,825 (+1.59%)	41,752 (-6.55%)
South Brisbane		38,296 (-4.71%)	48,853 (+9.34%)
Southern Downs		39,514 (-1.68%)	40,934 (-8.38%)
Southport		37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
Springfield	New district	38,436 (-4.36%)	48,493 (+8.54%)
Springwood		41,714 (+3.80%)	43,043 (-3.66%)
Stafford		43,441 (+8.09%)	46,487 (+4.05%)
Surfers Paradise		38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
Thuringowa		43,363 (+7.90%)	45,228 (+1.23%)
Toowoomba North		41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
Toowoomba South		41,596 (+3.50%)	42,926 (-3.92%)
Townsville		39,249 (-2.34%)	45,536 (+1.92%)
Warrego*		40,961 (+1.92%)	41,109 (-7.99%)
Whitsunday		39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
Woodridge		43,368 (+7.91%)	45,589 (+2.04%)

<sup>#</sup> In accordance with section 45 of the Electoral Act, the quota for the redistribution is to be calculated based on the total State enrolment at the closing date for the second stage of public consultation (the 21-day period prescribed under section 43(2)(b) of the Electoral Act for comments on suggestions), which was 22 September 2025.

\* Large district enrolment is inclusive of the “additional large district number”.

# Regional and district summaries

The section below outlines the proposed changes to Queensland’s State electoral districts and the reasons for the proposed changes.

References to “current” or “existing” electorates refer specifically to the present 93 districts and boundaries. All other district, name and boundary references, unless otherwise stated, relate to the Commission’s proposed 93 electorates.

This section is structured around regions, consistent with the approach used by previous redistribution commissions, with relevant updates to regional groupings to reflect the current demography of Queensland<sup>25</sup>.

Each section begins with a summary which broadly outlines existing situations and challenges in the region, identifies major changes and their rationale, and lists the proposed districts in the region.

The Commission has also prepared summaries for each district within the region which describes the district, outlines the changes, provides elector numbers and quota deviation (current and projected) and notes relevant public suggestions.

Detailed maps for each proposed district are available in **Appendix 4** and on the Commission’s website.

▲ Gold Coast area

▲ Sunshine Coast area

▲ Redlands area

▲ Southern Queensland

▲ Logan area

▲ Wide Bay and Burnett

▲ Ipswich area

▲ Central Queensland

▲ Brisbane south

▲ Northern Queensland

▲ Brisbane north

▲ Large districts

▲ Moreton Bay area

---

<sup>25</sup> This report includes separate sections for the Logan and Redlands areas, rather than the “Area between Brisbane and the Gold Coast” description used for these areas in previous reports.

## ▲ Gold Coast area

### Summary:

At the commencement of this redistribution, Coomera was the most populous district in the State, being more than 37% above the average State enrolment, while nearby Gaven was below quota.

The Commission explored a variety of approaches to improve the enrolment in the Coomera area and decided that the district should retain the territory situated east of the motorway, with the western balance of the existing district transferred to Beenleigh (formerly Macalister) and Oxenford (formerly Theodore) using the motorway as its western boundary. The former Coomera district has been renamed Pimpama, noting the localities of Coomera and Upper Coomera are now situated in separate electoral districts. The Commission notes this district is one of 5 still projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission decided to maintain the existing boundaries of Surfers Paradise, Southport, Broadwater, and Labrador (formerly Bonney), which are in quota and projected to remain so.

There are 11 districts in the Gold Coast area:

**Currumbin**

**Burleigh**

**Mermaid Beach**

**Surfers Paradise**

**Southport**

**Labrador (formerly Bonney)**

**Broadwater**

**Mudgeeraba**

**Gaven**

**Oxenford (formerly Theodore)**

**Pimpama (formerly Coomera)**

## Currumbin

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,274 (-4.76%)	41,024 (-8.18%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,756 (-3.56%)	41,528 (-7.05%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of the Tallebudgera locality from Burleigh.	
<b>Composition</b>	Coolangatta, Bilinga, Tugun, Currumbin, Currumbin Waters, Currumbin Valley, Elanora, Tallebudgera, Tallebudgera Valley.	

The Commission proposes only **minor changes** to current boundaries of the Currumbin electorate. The proposed approach unites the Tallebudgera locality within the district, and aligns the electorate boundary to the locality boundary. This change is consistent with several suggestions including S-099, S-100, S-108 and S-110 (LNP).

Enrolment in the district is slightly improved by this change, although the Commission notes the district's enrolment is projected to trend toward the lower end of the threshold by 2032. However, the proposed district maintains enrolment continuity for all electors in the current district.

## Burleigh

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,258 (-4.80%)	41,433 (-7.27%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,363 (+2.92%)	44,949 (+0.60%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of the Tallebudgera locality currently in the district to Currumbin.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of the Varsity Lakes locality from Mermaid Beach.	
<b>Composition</b>	Palm Beach, Burleigh Heads, Burleigh Waters, Varsity Lakes.	

The Commission proposes only **minor changes** to current boundaries of the Burleigh electorate. The proposed change unites the Varsity Lakes locality within Burleigh, with the north-western boundary now aligned to the locality boundary. Whole-of-State suggestions regarding this district variously incorporated the balance of Burleigh Waters and/or Varsity Lakes, part of Robina or Miami in Burleigh.

The proposed change greatly improves the current and projected enrolment for the district, unites a clear community of interest and maintains enrolment continuity for the majority of electors in the current district.

## Mermaid Beach

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,541 (-4.10%)	41,808 (-6.43%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,210 (+2.54%)	44,700 (+0.05%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of the Varsity Lakes locality currently in the district to Burleigh.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of the Robina locality from Mudgeeraba.	
<b>Composition</b>	Miami, Mermaid Beach, Mermaid Waters, Robina, a small northern portion of Burleigh Waters and small southern portions of Broadbeach and Broadbeach Waters.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to the district of Mermaid Beach to unite the entire locality of Robina, home to more than 17,000 electors, within the district. The western boundary of the district is now wholly aligned to the motorway.

The Commission received several suggestions, including one submission specific to this electorate (CS-184) that the district gain the locality of Clear Island Waters from Surfers Paradise. This approach was considered but ultimately not implemented, given no changes to the boundaries of Surfers Paradise were required.

Various suggestions were received regarding the locality of Robina including uniting the locality within either Mermaid Beach or a proposed Robina district. The Commission agreed with the suggestion to unite Robina in Mermaid Beach, and has adopted this change.

## Surfers Paradise

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Main Beach, Surfers Paradise, Clear Island Waters, the southern portions of Bundall and Benowa, the bulk of Broadbeach and Broadbeach Waters and a small eastern portion of Carrara.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of Surfers Paradise, noting the district is in quota and projected to remain so. The district unites clear communities of interest between the coastal localities of the central Gold Coast and the canal communities to their west.

While anticipated development in this area will see the district push toward the upper end of the enrolment threshold by 2032, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required noting this will maintain enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

## Southport

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Molendinar, Ashmore, the bulk of Southport and the northern portions of Bundall and Benowa.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing district is well served by internal transit corridors and has clear boundaries aligned to river and major roads.

While the district is currently at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, anticipated development will see the district push closer to the average State enrolment by 2032. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required noting this will maintain enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

## Labrador (formerly Bonney)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,397 (-4.46%)	49,000 (+9.67%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,397 (-4.46%)	49,000 (+9.67%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Labrador, Parkwood, Arundel, the bulk of Biggera Waters, the northern portion of Southport and the southern portion of Helensvale.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. With significant development anticipated in this area over the coming years, the Commission notes enrolment is projected to approach the upper threshold by 2032. However, the district is not projected to exceed the threshold and the proposed approach means the existing boundaries of several surrounding districts can also be retained.

The proposed district retains strong boundaries and unites clear communities of interest between the localities of Labrador, Arundel, Parkwood and their surrounds while maintaining enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

The district has been renamed Labrador in recognition of its largest locality, which is home to more than 13,000 electors. The proposed name was suggested in S-095, S-117 and CS-485.

## Broadwater

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,994 (-2.97%)	44,144 (-1.20%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,994 (-2.97%)	44,144 (-1.20%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Hope Island, Runaway Bay, Hollywell, Paradise Point, South Stradbroke Island, Southern Moreton Bay Islands, the bulk of Coombabah and a portion of Biggera Waters.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The district unites canal communities which share common interests and are well connected by transit corridors. The district retains the Coomera River as a strong northern boundary and maintains enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to increase slightly over the coming years to approach the average by 2032. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

## Mudgeeraba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,878 (+4.21%)	47,085 (+5.39%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,543 (-1.60%)	43,793 (-1.98%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses all territory east of the motorway; the portion of Robina currently in the district is transferred to Mermaid Beach, and Merrimac and the balance of Carrara are transferred to Gaven.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the southern localities from Gaven including Mount Nathan, the balance of Worongary and Gilston and part of Highland Park. Also gains the balance of Clagiraba from Theodore.	
<b>Composition</b>	The south-western bulk of the Gold Coast City Council local government area, broadly comprising the territory north of the Tallebudgera Valley, west of the Pacific Motorway and south of Mount Nathan. Includes the localities of Reedy Creek, Mudgeeraba, Tallai, Worongary, the bulk of Highland Park and the Gold Coast localities situated to their west.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to this district to realign the district's eastern boundary to the motorway and shift the northern boundary further north, forming a district incorporating the Gold Coast's western hinterland and the connected urban areas situated west of the motorway.

The proposed district unites key hinterland communities and retains the well-established western boundary aligned to the Gold Coast local government boundary while improving enrolment sustainability through inclusion of adjoining urban areas.

Enrolment in the proposed district is projected to track closely to the State average in the coming years.

## Gaven

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	35,490 (-11.69%)	37,209 (-16.72%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,848 (+4.13%)	44,891 (+0.47%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the southern localities west of the Pacific Motorway, including Mount Nathan, the balance of Worongary and Gilston and part of Highland Park to Mudgeeraba.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Merrimac and the balance of Carrara from Mudgeeraba, and Guanaba, Maudsland and the balance of Pacific Pines from Theodore.	
<b>Composition</b>	Gaven, Pacific Pines, Maudsland, Guanaba; the bulk of Nerang, Carrara and Merrimac; and a portion of Highland Park.	

The Commission was required to propose **significant changes** to the Gaven district, noting enrolment in the former Gaven district was below quota and projected to fall further against the State average at the commencement of this redistribution.

The Commission explored a variety of approaches, including whether the district should continue to cross the Pacific Motorway, or be absorbed by adjoining districts. Ultimately, the Commission decided to retain the core of the existing district including the motorway crossing and expand the eastern portion of the district, adding the bulk of Carrara and the locality of Merrimac. This approach improves enrolment in Gaven without requiring changes to the boundaries of the coastal districts to its east.

The proposed approach also unites the locality of Pacific Pines, a suggestion made in several submissions including S-014, S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-114 and S-115 (ALP), and incorporates other localities surrounding the Nerang State Forest and Nerang National Park.

Enrolment in the proposed Gaven is significantly improved compared to the current district, being slightly above the average State enrolment and projected to track closer to average by 2032.

## Oxenford (formerly Theodore)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,284 (-2.25%)	46,054 (+3.08%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,337 (-2.12%)	43,578 (-2.46%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the southern localities of Guanaba, Maudsland and the balance of Pacific Pines to Gaven, and the balance of Clagiraba to Mudgeeraba. Also loses the portion of Coomera currently in the district to Pimpama (formerly Coomera).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Upper Coomera in addition to Cedar Creek, Wongawallan, Kingsholme, Willow Vale and the small portion of Pimpama situated west of the Pacific Motorway from Coomera.	
<b>Composition</b>	Oxenford, Upper Coomera, Wongawallan, Willow Vale, Kingsholme Cedar Creek, and the western portions of Helensvale and Pimpama.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to shift the district north as a result of boundary changes to the south, while relieving enrolment pressure in the current district of Coomera, through addition of the south-western portion of that district.

The proposed approach unites communities situated west of the Pacific Motorway, including the balance of the Upper Coomera locality, and significantly improves enrolment in the Pimpama district (formerly Coomera). The district retains a portion of Helensvale situated east of the motorway which is well connected to the Oxenford area.

The proposed district is only slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The district has been renamed Oxenford for the large and recognisable locality within the district. The proposed name was suggested in S-117.

## Pimpama (formerly Coomera)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	55,296 (+37.59%)	71,013 (+58.94%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	36,717 (-8.64%)	53,116 (+18.88%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses all territory situated west of the Pacific Motorway; Ormeau Hills, Luscombe, Yatala and the western portion of Ormeau are transferred to Beenleigh (formerly Macalister), with the remaining localities including Willow Vale, Wongawallan, Cedar Creek, the western portion of Pimpama and the balance of Upper Coomera transferred to Oxenford (formerly Theodore).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the small portion of Coomera currently in Theodore, in addition to the northern portion of Helensvale (north of Hope Island Road).	
<b>Composition</b>	Coomera, the bulk of Pimpama, Jacobs Well, Norwell, Steiglitz, Woongoolba, Alberton, Gilberton, Stapylton, the eastern portion of Ormeau and a small portion of Helensvale.	

The Commission has proposed **significant changes** to the boundaries of the existing district of Coomera, noting its status as the most over-quota district in the State and continued anticipated development and enrolment growth in the area.

The proposed district contracts to the east, losing all territory situated west of the motorway to Oxenford and Beenleigh (formerly Macalister). This approach significantly improves the enrolment in the district, bringing it back into quota. While the current enrolment is at the lower end of the allowable range, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Pimpama is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

As the localities of Coomera and Upper Coomera are now in separate districts, the Commission decided to rename this district Pimpama for the centrally located, highly populated locality. The proposed name was suggested in S-077, S-101 and S-110 (LNP).

## ▲ Redlands area

### Summary:

The district of Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo) was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, meaning changes were required to bring it back into quota.

The major change in this region area is the transfer of the localities of Sheldon and Mount Cotton from Springwood to Capalaba and Redlands respectively.

The Commission received several suggestions requesting these localities be united with a district in the Redland City area and noted objections to their inclusion in Springwood during the 2017 redistribution. The Commission agreed this change unites shared communities of interest and has implemented the change.

There are 3 districts in the Redlands area:

**Capalaba**

**Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)**

**Redlands**

## Capalaba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,951 (-5.57%)	38,210 (-14.48%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,286 (+5.22%)	42,607 (-4.64%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Sheldon from Springwood and the balance of Birkdale from Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).	
<b>Composition</b>	Capalaba, Birkdale, Thorneside, Alexandra Hills, Sheldon and a portion of Wellington Point.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to this district to include the locality of Sheldon and unite the locality of Birkdale within the district.

The proposal to unite Birkdale connects a clear community of interest and is supported by submissions including S-099, S-100, S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and in part by CS-008 (from the former Member for Capalaba). The proposed inclusion of Sheldon in a Redlands-based district is consistent with several of these submissions, and supported by 7 community suggestions and a local councillor (CS-065).

Capalaba was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall below quota by 2032. The proposed changes greatly improve enrolment, and the district is now projected to remain in quota, with relative enrolment declining slightly compared to the State average over the coming years.

## Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	35,267 (-12.24%)	37,824 (-15.34%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,836 (+4.10%)	45,168 (+1.09%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the balance of Birkdale to Capalaba.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Thornlands from Redlands.	
<b>Composition</b>	Thornlands, Cleveland, Ormiston, North Stradbroke Island and the bulk of Wellington Point.	

The Commission was required to make **moderate changes** to this district, noting enrolment was below quota and projected to fall further against the State average at the commencement of this redistribution.

The Commission proposes to unite the entire locality of Thornlands in the district. This change significantly improves the district's enrolment, bringing it back into quota, unites a clear community of interest, and provides a strong southern boundary orientated to the locality boundary and Erapah Creek. Submissions including S-100 and S-114 suggested the entire

Thornlands locality be united within the district, with several other submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-115 (ALP) suggesting that a larger portion of the locality be gained.

Enrolment in the proposed district is projected to remain in quota, declining marginally compared to the State average over the next 7 years.

The district has been renamed Cleveland for the large and recognisable locality centrally located within the district. The proposed name was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117.

## Redlands

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,602 (+8.50%)	47,683 (+6.72%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,976 (-0.53%)	43,211 (-3.29%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the Thornlands locality to Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Carbrook from Beenleigh (formerly Macalister) and Mount Cotton from Springwood.	
<b>Composition</b>	Redland Bay, Victoria Point, Mount Cotton, Carbrook and the southern Redland Bay islands.	

The Commission proposes to make **moderate changes** to this district. The Commission proposes to transfer the locality of Mount Cotton from Springwood to Redlands. This change was supported by 7 community submissions and several large submissions including S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108, S-110 (LNP) and S-114, and unites a clear community of interest. Several of these submissions suggested that Sheldon also be included in Redlands, but the Commission has adopted the suggestion by the local councillor (CS-065) that Sheldon be transferred to Capalaba.

The Commission also proposes to include the locality of Carbrook in the district. This change was suggested in S-101 and S-110 (LNP). This suburb is well connected to the Redland Bay area, and its inclusion improves the district's projected enrolment following the transfer of Thornlands to Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).

The Commission notes the district will cross the local government area boundary, but agreed the improved enrolment outcomes, strong boundaries and connectivity within the district outweighed the opportunity to align the boundaries to the local government areas.

## ▲ Logan area

### Summary:

The electorate of Logan was the second most populous district in Queensland at the commencement of this redistribution, with the adjacent district of Jordan, which was between the Logan and Ipswich local government areas, being the third-most populous.

A significant change in this redistribution sees Jordan being reshaped, losing the heavily populated Springfield area and its surrounds to the new district of Springfield, situated within the Ipswich local government area. Jordan, now named Greenbank, gains the eastern territory from the current Logan district, and the southern territory from the Ipswich and Bundamba districts. This change retains the high growth area of Flagstone in Greenbank (formerly Jordan), while Logan retains the growth areas of Jimboomba and Yarrabilba. The Commission notes the proposed Greenbank will see significant development in the coming years, being one of 5 districts projected to exceed the average State enrolment by 2032.

The transfer of Mount Cotton and Sheldon to a Redlands-based district meant Springwood needed to gain electors from an adjacent district. Several submissions included Underwood in the Springwood district (including S-099, S-100, S-108, S-114 and S-117), and this suggestion has been adopted by the Commission. The transfer of Underwood necessitated changes to ensure Waterford remains in quota. This district, renamed Marsden, and the adjacent Woodridge have been reshaped around major transit corridors.

There are 7 districts in the Logan area:

**Logan**

**Woodridge**

**Marsden (formerly Waterford)**

**Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)**

**Springwood**

**Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)**

**Greenbank (formerly Jordan)**

## Logan

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	52,004 (+29.40%)	67,444 (+50.95%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,778 (-3.51%)	47,364 (+6.01%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of territory west of the Mount Lindesay Highway including Boronia Heights and parts of Hillcrest, Greenbank, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean, South Maclean and Riverbend to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). Loses the northern portion of Park Ridge and the portions of Regents Park and Heritage Park currently in the district to Marsden (formerly Waterford).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Waterford and portions of Waterford West and Bethania from Marsden (formerly Waterford) and Beenleigh (formerly Macalister).	
<b>Composition</b>	Buccan, Logan Village, Belivah, Wolffdene, Cedar Creek, Yarrabilba, Kairabah, Tamborine, Jimboomba, Glenlogan, Stockleigh, Chambers Flat, Waterford and portions of Logan Reserve, Waterford West, Bethania, the eastern portions of Munruben and Park Ridge South, and the southern portion of Park Ridge.	

Enrolment in Logan was significantly above the State average at the commencement of the redistribution, requiring changes to bring it back into quota.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** which contract the district toward the east, transferring the bulk of territory situated west of the Mount Lindesay Highway to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). The district remains broadly constructed around the major north-south arterials of the Mount Lindesay Highway and Waterford Tamborine Road, highlighted in S-115 (ALP), and retains the existing southern boundary of the district.

Glenlogan and the western balance of Jimboomba are retained in Logan, keeping the growth area of Jimboomba united and utilising the strong western boundary north of this area provided by the Mount Lindesay Highway.

The proposed changes significantly improve enrolment in the district, being slightly below the State average and projected toward the upper-middle end of the enrolment threshold by 2032.

## Woodridge

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,538 (+3.36%)	43,828 (-1.90%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,368 (+7.91%)	45,589 (+2.04%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Marsden, Crestmead, Heritage Park and the portions of Browns Plains and Regents Park currently in the district to Waterford.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Slacks Creek, Meadowbrook, Tanah Merah, Bethania, Loganlea, the western portion of Loganholme, a small northern portion of Waterford West and the balance of Kingston from Waterford.	
<b>Composition</b>	Woodridge, Logan Central, Kingston, Slacks Creek, Meadowbrook, Loganlea, Tanah Merah, Bethania, the western portion of Loganholme, the northern portion of Berrinba and a small northern portion of Waterford West.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district. Woodridge will shift broadly to the east, gaining the northern section of the current Waterford district, while transferring its southern localities to the proposed Marsden district (formerly Waterford). The proposed approach balances enrolment for both Woodridge and Marsden necessitated by the transfer of Underwood to Springwood and by uniting Browns Plains and Regents Park within Marsden.

The proposed district incorporates shared communities of interest connected along the major arterials of Kingston Road, the Logan Motorway and the Pacific Motorway, with strong boundaries aligned to these major roads, the local government area boundary and the Logan River. The proposal to align the eastern boundary of the district to the Pacific Motorway was suggested in S-077, S-112 (Greens) and CS-491, and the Commission was persuaded by this approach.

Enrolment in the proposed district is above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly and to track closer to the average by 2032.

## Marsden (formerly Waterford)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,578 (+0.97%)	45,117 (+0.98%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,703 (+1.28%)	48,188 (+7.85%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Underwood to Springwood. Loses Waterford and portions of Waterford West and Bethania to Logan. Loses Slacks Creek, Meadowbrook, Tanah Merah, Bethania, Loganlea, the western portion of Loganholme, a portion of Waterford West and the balance of Kingston to Woodridge.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Marsden, Crestmead, Heritage Park, Browns Plains, Regents Park and the southern portion of Berrinba from Woodridge. Gains the balance of Browns Plains and Regents Park from Algester. Gains the balance of Heritage Park and the northern portion of Park Ridge from Logan.	
<b>Composition</b>	Marsden, Crestmead, Heritage Park, Browns Plains, Regents Park, the southern portion of Berrinba, the western portion of Waterford West and the northern portions of Park Ridge and Logan Reserve.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district, reshaping the district to reflect communities of interest aligned along arterial roads, and uniting previously divided localities within the district.

Although Waterford was close to the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, the proposed transfer of Underwood to improve Springwood's enrolment required the subsequent rebalancing of electors between Waterford and the surrounding districts.

In addition, the Commission determined that the Browns Plains and Regents Park localities, currently divided between multiple districts, would more appropriately be united within a single district, with the north-western boundary aligned to the local government area boundary.

The Commission explored several options for the district including retaining the approximate north-south orientation, but was persuaded that an east-west orientation, informed by the conceptually similar "Marsden" proposed in S-077 and CS-491 and "Berrinba" proposed in S-112 (Greens), united communities of interest linked along east-west arterial roads and improved enrolment outcomes for the district.

The western boundary of the proposed district is aligned to the Mount Lindesay Highway as suggested in S-077 and CS-491 and unites the localities of Browns Plains and Regents Park, the latter of which is currently divided between 3 districts.

The Commission is conscious that the proposed approach impacts a significant proportion of electors in the current Waterford and Woodridge districts, but was persuaded by the improved enrolment outcomes (including for the adjacent Springwood district), shared communities of interest and strongly defined boundaries aligned to major roads afforded by the proposed approach.

As the locality of Waterford is wholly contained within the proposed Logan district, the Commission has renamed the district Marsden for the large and recognisable locality within the district. The proposed name was suggested in S-077, S-114 and CS-491.

## Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,953 (+6.88%)	47,572 (+6.48%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,526 (+0.84%)	45,516 (+1.87%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Carbrook to Redlands. Loses Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the district to Springwood. Loses the portion of Waterford currently in the district to Logan.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Yatala, Luscombe, Ormeau Hills and the portion of Ormeau west of the Pacific Motorway from Coomera.	
<b>Composition</b>	Eagleby, Beenleigh, Edens Landing, Holmview, Bahrs Scrub, Mount Warren Park, Windaroo, Bannockburn, Yatala, Luscombe, Ormeau Hills and the western portion of Ormeau.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district. It retains its existing core around Eagleby and Beenleigh, acknowledging the strong community of interest between these areas. The Commission noted the connection between these localities was also highlighted during the 2017 redistribution, including Beenleigh District Community Development Association (OBJ-1522). Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the district are transferred to Springwood, and Carbrook to Redlands, improving enrolment in both districts and aligning the district's northern boundary to the Logan River.

The proposed district shifts broadly to the south, gaining the north-eastern localities from the over-quota Coomera district. The Commission notes the proposed district incorporates localities from both the Logan and Gold Coast local government areas, however, the improved enrolment for the surrounding districts is a factor favouring the proposed approach.

The proposed district is close to the average State enrolment and projected to continue tracking close to the average in the coming years. The proposed name was suggested in S-077, S-110 (LNP), and S-117.

## Springwood

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,014 (-7.90%)	37,626 (-15.79%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,714 (+3.80%)	43,043 (-3.66%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Mount Cotton to Redlands and Sheldon to Capalaba.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Underwood from Waterford. Gains Cornubia and the eastern portion of Loganholme from Macalister.	
<b>Composition</b>	Underwood, Springwood, Rochedale South, Priestdale, Daisy Hill, Shailer Park, Cornubia and the eastern portion of Loganholme.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district. Springwood was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes to transfer the localities of Mount Cotton and Sheldon to the districts of Redlands and Capalaba respectively, a change suggested in a number of public submissions and during the Objections stage of the 2017 redistribution.

To compensate for this change and improve enrolment in the district, the Commission proposes that the district gains Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the existing district of Macalister, and the locality of Underwood from the current Waterford. These localities have shared communities of interest and transport connections to the broader Springwood district, and the proposed change improves the district's current and projected enrolment.

The proposal to include Cornubia and a portion of Loganholme in the district was suggested in submissions including S-077, S-095, S-100, S-110 (LNP) and S-117. The proposed inclusion of Underwood in the district was suggested in submissions including S-095, S-099, S-100, S-108, S-114 and S-117.

## Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,090 (+7.22%)	46,912 (+5.00%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,495 (+0.76%)	44,089 (-1.32%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of the Ipswich local government area localities currently in the district to Ipswich West including Goolman, Purga, Willowbank, Ebenezer, Calvert and Grandchester and northern portions of Mutdapilly, Mount Forbes and Lower Mount Walker.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Incorporates the entire Scenic Rim local government area; the localities of Mundoolun, Cedar Vale, Cedar Grove, Woodhill, Veresdale and Veresdale Scrub from the Logan local government area; and Peak Crossing, Mount Mort and Mount Walker West from the Ipswich local government area.	

The Commission proposes **minor changes** to transfer a portion of territory in the north-west of the current electorate to Ipswich West. The transferred area is part of the Ipswich local government area and shares a clear community of interest with the Ipswich region.

The proposed change slightly reduces enrolment in the district. Enrolment is close to the State average and projected to remain close to the average over the coming years.

The Commission is of the view that the electorate's name (Scenic Rim) should be changed to differentiate it from the name of the regional council for the area (Scenic Rim Regional Council). Accordingly, the Commission has renamed the district Beaudesert for the centrally located town, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 25 years.<sup>26</sup> The proposed name was suggested in S-101.

<sup>26</sup> [Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament](#), p.236.

## Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	48,721 (+21.23%)	65,796 (+47.26%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,134 (-2.62%)	53,592 (+19.95%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses territory west of the Ipswich local government area boundary, being the greater Springfield area, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Carole Park and Gales to the proposed new Springfield district.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains territory west of the Mount Lindesay Highway including Boronia Heights and parts of Hillcrest, Greenbank, Park Ridge, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean, South Maclean and Riverbend from Logan. Gains Forestdale and the balance of Hillcrest from Algester. Gains South Ripley and the southern portion of Spring Mountain from Ipswich and Redbank (formerly Bundamba).	
<b>Composition</b>	The portions of Park Ridge, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean and South Maclean situated west of the Mount Lindesay Highway; Greenbank, Forestdale, Hillcrest, Boronia Heights, Riverbend, Flagstone, New Beith, Silverbark Ridge and the Logan local government area localities to their west; South Ripley and the southern portion of Spring Mountain.	

The current district of Jordan has significantly exceeded the upper enrolment limit, requiring changes to bring its enrolment back into quota.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district to transfer the heavily populated north-western portion of the existing district, being the locality of Springfield and its surrounds, to a new district named Springfield which is wholly contained within the Ipswich local government area.

To compensate for this change, the Commission proposes the electorate expand east to the Mount Lindesay Highway and Logan River, relieving enrolment pressure in Logan; north to Johnson Road, gaining the localities of Forestdale and the balance of Hillcrest and aligning to the local government area boundary; and west to South Ripley, absorbing enrolments from the high-growth southern Ipswich area.

The Commission acknowledges the South Ripley area's strong community connection to the proposed Redbank district, but considered the proposed approach distributes growth areas more equally between districts. It is noted this district is one of the 5 districts projected to exceed the upper enrolment threshold by 2032.

The Commission has renamed this district Greenbank for the recognisable central locality within the district. The proposed name was suggested in S-101, S-117 and CS-491.

## ▲ Ipswich area

### Summary:

Bundamba and Jordan were significantly over quota at the commencement of this redistribution, with Ipswich and Ipswich West projected to be over quota by 2032. The Ipswich area is projected to continue to grow strongly in the coming years.

The most significant change in this region is the establishment of a new district, Springfield, wholly contained within the footprint of the Ipswich local government area. The proposed district is largely comprised of Ipswich-based territory from the district of Jordan. The creation of a district in this location, and its approximate shape, was supported by several whole-of-State submissions and has a clear community of interest.

The proposed district of Greenbank retains the majority of the territory of the current district of Jordan, but has shifted east to accommodate the establishment of Springfield, becoming a district largely comprised of localities within the Logan local government area.

The proposed district of Ipswich West has expanded in the west to gain more of the Ipswich local government area including Purga and Willowbank, currently in Scenic Rim, uniting these locations with their major community of interest.

The Commission notes the proposed Redbank district (formerly Bundamba) is projected to exceed the quota by 2032 on account of high growth areas such as Ripley and Redbank Plains.

There are 4 districts in the Ipswich area:

**Ipswich**

**Ipswich West**

**Redbank (formerly Bundamba)**

**Springfield (new)**

## Ipswich

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,853 (+1.65%)	52,908 (+18.42%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,974 (+1.96%)	45,425 (+1.67%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Ripley to Redbank (formerly Bundamba), South Ripley to Greenbank (formerly Jordan) and Deebing Heights to Ipswich West.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale and the northern portion of Dinmore and Riverview from Bundamba. Gains a portion of Yamanto from Ipswich West.	
<b>Composition</b>	Ipswich, West Ipswich, Sadliers Crossing, Coalfalls, Woodend, Churchill, East Ipswich, Basin Pocket, Newtown, Eastern Heights, Silkstone, Booval, North Booval, Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale, the bulk of Flinders View, Dinmore and Raceview and portions of Yamanto and Riverview.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to Ipswich, noting the district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

Ipswich is proposed to shift slightly to the north, with its major northern boundary aligned to the Bremer River and its southern boundary aligned to the Cunningham Highway. This general approach was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and CS-491, and the Commission was persuaded by this suggested approach.

The proposed district gains the locality of Bundamba and its surrounds which are well connected to central Ipswich via the Brisbane Road corridor. This approach contributes to the improved enrolment projections in the adjacent district of Redbank (formerly Bundamba).

The proposed district is slightly above the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

## Redbank (formerly Bundamba)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	47,057 (+17.09%)	57,644 (+29.02%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	37,241 (-7.33%)	51,828 (+16.00%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of Bellbird Park to the proposed new Springfield district. Loses Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale, and the northern portions of Dinmore and Riverview to Ipswich. Loses the portions of Spring Mountain and South Ripley currently in the district to Greenbank (formerly Jordan).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Ripley from Ipswich.	
<b>Composition</b>	Redbank, Goodna, Collingwood Park, Redbank Plains, White Rock, Ripley, Swanbank, New Chum, the southern portion of Riverview and portions of Bellbird Park and Flinders View.	

The Commission was required to propose **significant changes** to the Bundamba district, noting the district was above the maximum enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to continue its strong growth.

The Commission proposes that the district shift slightly to the south, orienting its northern boundary to major highways and the Brisbane River. The proposed district unites the localities surrounding Redbank and Redbank Plains, and includes adjoining growth areas such as Ripley and White Rock. The proposed district unites communities of interest shared between these interconnected suburbs, with key boundaries aligned to major roads and waterways.

Current and projected enrolment in the proposed district is significantly improved compared to the current Bundamba. The Commission notes the district is still expected to exceed the enrolment threshold in the coming years, but the extent of the projected deviation has been reduced.

As the locality of Bundamba has been transferred to Ipswich as part of this change, the Commission has renamed the district Redbank for the 2 recognisable localities sharing this name. The proposed name was suggested in S-101 and CS-491.

## Ipswich West

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,416 (+0.57%)	49,817 (+11.50%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	37,311 (-7.16%)	46,539 (+4.16%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Chuwar, Karalee and Barellan Point and a portion of North Ipswich and Tivoli to Moggill. Loses a portion of Yamanto to Ipswich.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the bulk of the Ipswich local government area from Scenic Rim, being territory extending west from Goolman and Purga to the Ipswich/Lockyer Valley local government area boundary at Grandchester. Gains Deebing Heights from Ipswich.	
<b>Composition</b>	The district comprises the western bulk of the Ipswich local government area, being the localities of North Ipswich, Tivoli, North Tivoli, Moores Pocket, Muirlea, Brassall, Wulkuraka, Leichardt, One Mile, a portion of Yamanto, Deebing Heights, Goolman, Purga and the localities to their west, extending to the local government area boundaries with Somerset and Lockyer Valley.	

The existing Ipswich West district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to include the balance of the Ipswich local government area in the current district of Scenic Rim within Ipswich West. This change unites localities including Willowbank and its surrounds which share a clear community of interest and are well-connected to Ipswich. This ensures that Ipswich West remains within the bounds of the Ipswich local government area and therefore remains an Ipswich-based district, as advocated in CS-466 (ALP) .

The Commission also decided to transfer the north-eastern localities of Karalee, Chuwar and Barellan Point to Moggill. This change has enabled the proposed gain of Ipswich local government area territory from Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim), while also improving enrolment in the longer-term for Moggill. The Commission seriously considered this change, noting it crosses the local government area boundary and the Brisbane River, but was persuaded that a community of interest exists between these communities and by the improved enrolment outcomes for the affected districts.

While the proposed district is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, anticipated development will see enrolment push above the State average by 2032.

## Springfield (new district)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,436 (-4.36%)	48,493 (+8.54%)
<b>Losses</b>	N/A	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the bulk of Bellbird Park from Redbank (formerly Bundamba). Gains the greater Springfield area, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Carole Park and Gales from Greenbank (formerly Jordan).	
<b>Composition</b>	Springfield, Springfield Lakes, Springfield Central, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Gales, the bulk of Bellbird Park and the northern portion of Spring Mountain.	

The Commission received several suggestions to establish a new district focussed on the Springfield region, noting this area is currently part of the high-growth region which includes the over-quota districts of Jordan, Bundamba and Logan. The Commission agreed with the general approach put forward by submitters including S-077, S-095, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491, and the proposed district is informed by these submissions.

The proposed district unites clear communities of interest between Springfield and the surrounding localities, helps bring the neighbouring districts back into quota, and has distinct boundaries aligned to major roads and the local government area boundary.

The Commission notes continued development in this growing region. The proposed district is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold and anticipated to near the upper limit, but remain within quota, by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Springfield for the recognisable central locality. The proposed name was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117.

## ▲ Brisbane south

### Summary:

The Stretton and Toohey districts were significantly below quota at the commencement of this redistribution, while several nearby districts including Mount Ommaney, Chatsworth and Miller were on the lower end of the threshold and projected to drop more than 10% below the State average by 2032. By contrast, the district of South Brisbane was projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The most significant change in this region is the reduction in the total number of districts by one, with Stretton absorbed into the districts of Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey) and Algester. This change has allowed for the transfer of various suburbs into the surrounding districts, improving overall enrolment and enabling the establishment of a district centred around Springfield in Ipswich.

The Commission noted diverse views in the public submissions regarding the district of Mount Ommaney. Public submissions variously suggested all or part of the Chelmer peninsula be included in the district, or suggested the district expand west to include Wacol.

On balance, the Commission decided to include the whole Chelmer peninsula in Mount Ommaney. This approach employs the strong natural boundaries presented by the Brisbane River to the north and Oxley Creek to the east, and unites the Centenary suburbs, Oxley and the Chelmer peninsula suburbs. Most of those areas were included in the former Division/Shire/Electorate of Sherwood from 1891 until 1986, after which part of the peninsula area was excised. These suburbs continue to share mutual communities of interests and are logical inclusions in the same electorate. The northern part of Darra and the industrial part of Sumner are transferred to Inala to ensure both districts remain in quota.

There are 11 districts in the Brisbane south area:

**Lytton**

**Carindale (formerly Chatsworth)**

**Bulimba**

**South Brisbane**

**Annerley (formerly Miller)**

**Greenslopes**

**Mansfield**

**Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey)**

**Algester**

**Inala**

**Mount Ommaney**

## Lytton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Lytton, Wynnum, Manly, Lota, Wynnum West, Manly West, Hemmant, and the northern portion of Tingalpa.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing district is well served by internal transit corridors and has clear and recognisable boundaries aligned to waterways and major roads. The Commission agreed with several submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-115 (ALP) which suggested no changes to this district are required.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly compared with the average over the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

## Carindale (formerly Chatsworth)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,015 (-7.90%)	37,468 (-16.14%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,308 (+2.79%)	41,872 (-6.28%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a portion of Camp Hill, east of Boundary Road, from Greenslopes. Gains the balance of Carindale from Mansfield.	
<b>Composition</b>	Carindale, Belmont, Chandler, Gumdale, Wakerley and Ransome, and parts of Camp Hill, Carina, Carina Heights and Tingalpa.	

The Commission proposes to make **minimal changes** to this district. Chatsworth was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission proposes to improve enrolment in the district by transferring the portion of Camp Hill situated east of Boundary Road from Greenslopes and the balance of Carindale from Mansfield.

The proposed approach unites communities of interest and means Camp Hill is split between only 2 districts, compared with 3 currently, with a clear boundary aligned to a major road. The proposed alignment to Boundary Road was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-095, S-099, S-110 (LNP) and S-114.

The Commission considered S-115 (ALP) which noted Camp Hill's strong connection with Carina, Carina Heights and Carindale and suggested the whole locality of be included in the district. This change has been implemented to the extent practicable while also minimising the impact on the district of Greenslopes.

The proposed district is slightly above the average State enrolment and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

Noting Chatsworth Road is no longer within the district, the Commission has named the district Carindale for the most populous locality within the district. The proposed name was suggested in S-077, S-101, S-112 (Greens) and S-117.

## Bulimba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,103 (+4.77%)	43,846 (-1.86%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,915 (-0.68%)	41,516 (-7.08%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of Camp Hill currently in the district to Greenslopes.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Bulimba, Balmoral, Hawthorne, Morningside, Seven Hills, Cannon Hill, Murrarie, the bulk of Norman Park, and part of Carina.	

The Commission proposes a **minor change** to transfer the portion of Camp Hill currently in the district to Greenslopes. The proposed change is similar to the approach taken in S-095. This change means the locality of Camp Hill is split between 2 districts, compared with 3 currently.

No other changes are proposed, as the existing boundaries unite communities with shared interests along the Wynnum Road corridor and around the Brisbane River.

Enrolment in the proposed Bulimba is close to the State average and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

## South Brisbane

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,746 (+3.88%)	52,790 (+18.15%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,296 (-4.71%)	48,853 (+9.34%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the balance of Annerley to Annerley (formerly Miller). Loses a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda train station to Greenslopes.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	South Brisbane, West End, Highgate Hill, Dutton Park, Kangaroo Point, East Brisbane, and the bulk of Woolloongabba.	

The existing South Brisbane district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district to transfer a portion of Woolloongabba, broadly reflecting the territory around Buranda station to Greenslopes, and the balance of Annerley to the district of Annerley (formerly Miller). These changes were suggested in several public submissions.

The Commission considered alternate approaches including that the district transfer the suburb of East Brisbane to Greenslopes (S-099 and S-101) or Bulimba (S-112 Greens), but the area's connection to South Brisbane and opportunity to retain the strong border aligned to Norman Creek, identified in S-115 (ALP), were factors against this proposal. The Commission also agreed Dutton Park should not be transferred to Annerley (formerly Miller), suggested in S-110 (LNP) noting the area's connection to Woolloongabba and Highgate Hill.

These changes unite areas that share connected interests and mean South Brisbane's enrolment is projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

## Annerley (formerly Miller)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	36,721 (-8.63%)	38,600 (-13.61%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,871 (+1.70%)	42,966 (-3.83%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Chelmer, Graceville and the balance of Sherwood to Mount Ommaney.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Annerley from South Brisbane. Gains Nathan, Salisbury, a portion of Rocklea and the balance of Moorooka from Toohey.	
<b>Composition</b>	Fairfield, Annerley, Yeronga, Yeerongpilly, Tennyson, Moorooka, Tarragindi, Nathan, Salisbury and the bulk of Rocklea.	

Miller was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to the district to gain the balance of Annerley from South Brisbane, and the northern portion of the former Toohey district including Salisbury, Nathan and the balance of Moorooka. The Commission also proposes to align the district's western boundary to Oxley Creek, transferring the Chelmer peninsula to Mount Ommaney.

The Commission was persuaded by the similar approach taken in submissions including S-077, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-114. The proposed approach also accommodates suggestions in S-115 (ALP) that the district gain the balance of Annerley and transfer the balance of Sherwood to Mount Ommaney.

The proposed district unites connected communities of interest and has well defined boundaries aligned to major roads and waterways. Enrolment in the district is greatly improved, being close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly compared to the average over the coming years.

The Commission received suggestions for this district to be named Yeerongpilly (S-110 LNP), Tarragindi (S-114) and Yeronga (S-117). The Commission also considered the name Moorooka, for the most centrally-located suburb within the district, but wished to avoid confusion as the name is already allocated to a Brisbane City Council ward. The Commission has named the district Annerley for the most populated and well-recognised locality within the district.

## Greenslopes

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,792 (-3.47%)	42,832 (-4.13%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,352 (-2.08%)	43,663 (-2.27%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the eastern portion of Camp Hill to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda train station from South Brisbane. Gains a portion of Camp Hill from Bulimba.	
<b>Composition</b>	Greenslopes, Stones Corner, Coorparoo, Holland Park, Holland Park West, and portions of Woolloongabba, Camp Hill, Norman Park and Mount Gravatt.	

The Commission proposes relatively **minor changes** to improve enrolment within the district and better define the boundaries between Greenslopes and the surrounding districts.

Greenslopes gains the balance of Camp Hill currently in Bulimba, while transferring the portion of Camp Hill situated east of Boundary Road to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth). Under the proposed model, the locality of Camp Hill is split between 2 districts, compared to 3 currently, with a clear boundary aligned to Boundary Road. The proposed alignment to Boundary Road was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-095, S-099, S-110 (LNP) and S-114.

The Commission also proposes that the district gain a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda Station, noting this area's connection to the adjacent Stones Corner and improved enrolment projection for the district of South Brisbane. Submissions including S-014, S-077, S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) suggested Greenslopes gain territory in this area to various extents.

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

## Mansfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	36,694 (-8.69%)	41,586 (-6.92%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,419 (+0.57%)	45,809 (+2.53%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of Carindale currently in the district to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the locality of MacGregor and the portion of Eight Mile Plains situated east of the Pacific Motorway from Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey).	
<b>Composition</b>	Mansfield, Mackenzie, Burbank, Rochedale, Wishart, MacGregor, Mount Gravatt East, Upper Mount Gravatt, a portion of Mount Gravatt and the portion of Eight Mile Plains situated east of the Pacific Motorway.	

Mansfield is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, and the district needs to gain electors both to improve its current enrolment and compensate for the proposed transfer of the locality of Carindale from the district.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Mansfield to expand the district slightly west to gain the locality of MacGregor and the portion of Eight Mile Plains east of the Pacific Motorway, which are well connected to Upper Mount Gravatt and Wishart. The proposed gains compensate for the transfer of Carindale and greatly improve enrolment in the district.

Submissions including S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117 suggested the inclusion of MacGregor in Mansfield. The Commission also agreed with the suggestion in S-115 (ALP) that any required changes to the district should focus on retaining the suburbs of Mount Gravatt East, Mansfield, Upper Mount Gravatt, Wishart and Rochedale.

The proposed district is close to the average State enrolment and projected grow slightly in coming years.

## Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	34,749 (-13.53%)	36,832 (-17.56%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,243 (+0.14%)	41,963 (-6.08%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses MacGregor to Mansfield. Loses the western portion of Coopers Plains to Algester. Loses Nathan, Salisbury and the portions of Moorooka and Rocklea currently in the district to Annerley (formerly Miller).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Kuraby, Runcorn, Sunnybank Hills and the eastern portion of Acacia Ridge from the former district of Stretton.	
<b>Composition</b>	Robertson, Sunnybank, Sunnybank Hills, Runcorn, Kuraby, the bulk of Eight Mile Plains, and the eastern portions of Acacia Ridge and Coopers Plains.	

The districts of Toohey and Stretton were below the minimum enrolment threshold at the commencement of this redistribution, bounded by other low enrolment districts, and projected to fall further below the average State enrolment in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission was required to consider **significant changes** in this area.

The proposed district broadly replaces Toohey and absorbs the northern bulk of Stretton, which has not been retained. The proposed approach unites connected localities including Sunnybank, Sunnybank Hills and Runcorn which share a community of interest. The proposal to broadly consolidate the districts of Toohey and Stretton appeared in several submissions including S-014, S-077, S-095, S-100, S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-117 and CS-491, and formed a major part of submissions including S-041 and S-089.

The proposed district is close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline toward the mid-point of the threshold in coming years. The Commission has named the district Eight Mile Plains for the large, recognisable locality within the district.

The proposal to reduce the number of districts in this area by one has enabled the establishment of an additional district in the high-growth corridor between Logan and Ipswich.

## Algerster

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,009 (+2.04%)	43,750 (-2.08%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,778 (+6.44%)	45,403 (+1.62%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Hillcrest and Forestdale to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). Loses Browns Plains and Regents Park to Marsden (formerly Waterford). Loses a small portion of Forest Lake to Inala.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Stretton, Karawatha, Drewvale and the balance of Calamvale from the former district of Stretton. Gains the western portion of Coopers Plains from Toohey. Gains the balance of Heathwood from Greenbank (formerly Jordan).	
<b>Composition</b>	Algerster, Calamvale, Parkinson, Stretton, Drewvale, Karawatha, Larapinta, Heathwood, Pallara, Willawong, Archerfield, the bulk of Acacia Ridge, and small portions of Rocklea and Forest Lake.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to Algerster to expand the district to the east, gaining Stretton, Drewvale, Karawatha and the balance of Calamvale from the district of Stretton, which has not been retained, and a portion of Coopers Plains from Toohey.

The southern boundary of the proposed district is aligned to the local government area boundary, with the localities of Hillcrest and Forestdale transferred to Greenbank (formerly Jordan), and Browns Plains and Regents Park united in the district of Marsden (formerly Waterford). The proposed approach unites localities and their shared communities of interest to the extent practicable and is broadly similar to the approach taken in submissions including S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114 and CS-491.

Enrolment in the proposed district is improved compared with the former district, being above the average State enrolment and projected to track closer to average by 2032.

## Inala

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,503 (-1.70%)	41,264 (-7.64%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,789 (+6.47%)	44,728 (+0.11%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner from Mount Ommaney. Gains a portion of Forest Lake from Algerster.	
<b>Composition</b>	Inala, Durack, Doolandella, Richlands, Ellen Grove, Wacol, the bulk of Darra, a portion of Sumner, the bulk of Forest Lake and a small portion of Oxley.	

Inala is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to decline further toward the minimum threshold by 2032.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to the district to gain the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner from Mount Ommaney. These areas are well connected to the rest of the Inala district, and the proposed changes greatly improve enrolment. The Commission noted several suggestions that Inala expand north across the Ipswich Motorway to variously gain parts of Darra, Sumner and/or Oxley including S-077, S-100, S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-117.

This change also enables further changes to the boundaries of Mount Ommaney. Enrolment in the proposed district is above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly to track closer to the average by 2032.

## Mount Ommaney

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,122 (-7.63%)	37,659 (-15.71%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,810 (+9.01%)	44,593 (-0.19%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner to Inala.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Chelmer, Graceville and the balance of Sherwood from Annerley (formerly Miller ).	
<b>Composition</b>	Mount Ommaney, Jindalee, Westlake, Riverhills, Middle Park, Jamboree Heights, a portion of Sumner, Sinnamon Park, Seventeen Mile Rocks, the bulk of Oxley, Corinda, Sherwood, Graceville and Chelmer.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district. Mount Ommaney was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission received and considered a variety of suggestions and approaches regarding the boundaries of this district.

The Commission ultimately decided to include the whole Chelmer peninsula in Mount Ommaney, with the eastern boundary of the district wholly aligned to Oxley Creek. The Commission noted the strong community of interest and historical connections to the broader electorate, and was persuaded by the improved enrolment outcomes both for Mount Ommaney and neighbouring Inala. The proposed approach is similar to submissions including S-077, S-100, S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-117.

The proposed district is toward the higher end of the enrolment threshold but remains in quota, and is projected to decline comparatively to be close to the State average by 2032.

## ▲ Brisbane north

### Summary:

Districts in the Brisbane North region have generally been changed incrementally, given all districts were in quota at the commencement of the redistribution. The proposed changes have aimed to improve the projected enrolment balanced against the factors described in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

The district of Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel), previously projected to exceed the quota by 2032, has contracted geographically to focus on the Brisbane central business district. Other minor exchanges have improved enrolment for Ferny Grove, Moggill and Sandgate, which were projected to drop below the State average enrolment by 2032.

There are 11 districts in the Brisbane north area:

**Moggill**

**Nudgee**

**Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)**

**Sandgate**

**Ashgrove (formerly Cooper)**

**Aspley**

**Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel)**

**Everton**

**Stafford**

**Ferny Grove**

**Clayfield**

## Moggill

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	36,559 (-9.03%)	37,015 (-17.15%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,515 (+5.79%)	43,856 (-1.84%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the balance of the Indooroopilly locality to Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Chuwar, Barellan Point, the bulk of Karalee and portions of Tivoli and North Ipswich from Ipswich West.	
<b>Composition</b>	Chapel Hill, Kenmore, Kenmore Hills, Brookfield, Pullenvale, Pinjarra Hills, Bellbowrie, Moggill and localities situated to their west within the Brisbane City Council local government area. Extends south across the Brisbane River, incorporating Chuwar, Barellan Point, the bulk of Karalee and portions of Tivoli and North Ipswich from the Ipswich local government area.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to this district. Moggill was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has adopted the suggestion in S-065 for the Indooroopilly Woods precinct to be transferred from Moggill to Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar), noting the strong argument for this area's connection to the rest of the district.

To address enrolment shortfalls in the district, the Commission proposes that the district gains the localities of Chuwar (including a remaining small portion of the Brisbane City Council local government area), Karalee and Barellan Point from Ipswich West, significantly improving enrolment. Submissions S-110 (LNP) and S-114 suggested these localities be included in Moggill.

The Commission also considered suggestions that Fig Tree Pocket be transferred to Moggill, but decided against this change due to the area's connection and community of interest with Indooroopilly.

Enrolment in the proposed district, including the longer-term enrolment outlook, is significantly improved by these changes, being above the average State enrolment, projected to decline to be slightly below average by 2032.

## Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,763 (+1.43%)	43,948 (-1.64%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,234 (-2.37%)	42,319 (-5.28%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Mount Coot-tha and the bulk of Bardon to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Indooroopilly from Moggill.	
<b>Composition</b>	Fig Tree Pocket, Indooroopilly, St Lucia, Taringa, Toowong, Auchenflower and portions of Bardon and Paddington.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to this district. The Commission has adopted the suggestion in S-065 for the district to gain the Indooroopilly Woods precinct, currently in Moggill, noting the strong argument for this area's connection to the rest of the district.

The Commission also proposes that a portion of Bardon and the locality of Mount Coot-tha be transferred to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper), improving enrolment in that district and reflecting the strong community of interest connections between these areas, Paddington and Ashgrove.

The Commission considered suggestions for the district to cross the Brisbane River, gaining a portion of the Chelmer peninsula, but was persuaded by several submissions which argued against this approach on the grounds that the primary community of interest in the district is north of the river.

The Commission is of the view that the electorate's name (Maiwar) does not readily identify or suggest the electorate's location. Accordingly, the Commission has renamed the district Indooroopilly for the central locality, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 25 years.<sup>27</sup> The proposed name was suggested in S-110 (LNP).

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to decline to the mid-point compared to the average, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

<sup>27</sup> [Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament](#), p.263.

## Ashgrove (formerly Cooper)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,712 (-3.67%)	40,955 (-8.34%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,543 (+5.86%)	45,057 (+0.85%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Mount Coot-tha and the bulk of Bardon from Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar). Gains the bulk of Petrie Terrace from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel). Gains a portion of Ashgrove from Ferny Grove, in addition to Jollys Lookout from Pine Rivers.	
<b>Composition</b>	Red Hill, Milton, The Gap, Mount Coot-tha, Enoggera Reservoir, Jollys Lookout, the bulk of Ashgrove, Paddington, Petrie Terrace and Bardon, and portions of Kelvin Grove and Newmarket.	

The current district of Cooper was slightly below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, with a further decline in relative enrolment projected.

The Commission proposes relatively **minimal changes** to incorporate more of the Ashgrove and Bardon localities within the district, improving enrolment and uniting connected communities. A portion of Petrie Terrace is transferred from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel), continuing the boundary along Kelvin Grove Road and Countess Street. This area shares a stronger community of interest with the lower density Red Hill and Paddington areas than the largely high-density Brisbane Central district, and the proposed change eases enrolment pressure in the growing Brisbane Central district.

The Commission noted submissions including S-109 and S-112 (Greens) which identified portions of Bardon currently inaccessible from within the district. These areas are better connected to the rest of the district under the proposed model. Mount Coot-tha and Jollys Lookout are also transferred from Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar) and Pine Rivers respectively, noting these areas are accessible from within the district.

The Commission has named the district Ashgrove for the central locality, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 75 years.<sup>28</sup> Other names suggested for this district included Victoria Park (S-110 LNP) and Paddington (S-114).

<sup>28</sup> [Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament](#), p.234.

## Brisbane Central (formerly McConnell)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,427 (+5.57%)	53,664 (+20.11%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,517 (-4.16%)	48,958 (+9.58%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the northern portions of Herston and Kelvin Grove to Stafford. Loses the bulk of Petrie Terrace to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Brisbane City, Spring Hill, Fortitude Valley, New Farm, Teneriffe, Newstead, Bowen Hills and portions of Petrie Terrace, Herston and Kelvin Grove.	

The current district of McConnell is projected to significantly exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years due to ongoing development within the district.

The Commission proposes relatively **minimal changes** to contract the district toward the Brisbane central business district, transferring the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston to Stafford, and a portion of Petrie Terrace to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper). The proposed changes mean enrolment in the district is projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The proposed approach retains the existing boundary with Ashgrove (formerly Cooper) aligned to Kelvin Grove Road, suggested in S-115 (ALP).

The Commission has named the district Brisbane Central, reflecting the district's geographical location and reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for almost 40 years<sup>29</sup>. The proposed name was suggested in S-110 (LNP).

<sup>29</sup> [Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament](#), p.239.

## Stafford

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,046 (+2.13%)	43,601 (-2.41%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,441 (+8.09%)	46,487 (+4.05%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the northern portion of Chermside to Aspley.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Gordon Park from Clayfield, and the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel).	
<b>Composition</b>	Stafford, Stafford Heights, Kedron, Gordon Park, Grange, Wilston, the bulk of Newmarket and portions of Herston, Kelvin Grove, Chermside and Chermside West.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Stafford to gain the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston, reducing the projected enrolment in the district of Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel), and the suburb of Gordon Park, relieving enrolment pressure in the high-enrolment district of Clayfield.

Gordon Park shares a community of interest with suburbs including Grange situated west of Kedron Brook. This change was suggested in several submissions including S-099, S-106, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) S-114, S-115 (ALP), S-117 and CS-491. The Commission also considered the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston surrounding Ballymore share a connection to Newmarket and Wilston.

To compensate for these gains, the northern boundary of the district has been wholly aligned to Hamilton Road, transferring a portion of Chermside to Aspley. This approach was suggested in submissions including S-101 and CS-491.

The proposed district is toward the higher end of enrolment tolerance, trending closer to the average in the coming years.

## Clayfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,914 (+6.78%)	48,705 (+9.01%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,624 (-1.40%)	45,287 (+1.36%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Gordon Park to Stafford.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Windsor, Lutwyche, Woolloowin, Kalinga, Albion, Clayfield, Ascot, Hamilton, Hendra, Eagle Farm, Pinkenba, Brisbane Airport, and a portion of Kedron.	

Enrolment in Clayfield is currently above the State average, projected to further increase in the coming years.

The Commission proposes a **minimal change** to transfer Gordon Park from Clayfield to Stafford. The proposed change was suggested in several submissions including S-099, S-106, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-115 (ALP), S-117, and CS-491.

This change brings the district's current and projected enrolment closer to the State average with minimal changes to existing boundaries.

## Nudgee

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,583 (+0.98%)	42,328 (-5.26%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,925 (-0.65%)	41,674 (-6.73%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Zillmere to Sandgate.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a portion of Taigum from Sandgate. Gains a portion of Geebung from Aspley.	
<b>Composition</b>	Nudgee, Nudgee Beach, Banyo, Northgate, Nundah, Virginia, Boondall, the bulk of Wavell Heights and portions of Geebung, Zillmere and Taigum.	

While Nudgee's current and projected enrolment are in quota, the neighbouring district of Sandgate is projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes a relatively **minimal change** to transfer a portion of Zillmere from Nudgee to Sandgate in exchange for a portion Taigum. This approach improves Sandgate's projected enrolment while minimising the number of electors impacted. The Commission also proposes that Nudgee gain a further portion of Geebung to compensate for this change.

Nudgee's current and projected enrolment are not significantly impacted by these changes, being close to the State average and projected to decline over the mid-point below the average in the coming years.

## Sandgate

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,228 (-2.39%)	40,142 (-10.15%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,825 (+1.59%)	41,752 (-6.55%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Taigum to Nudgee.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a portion of Zillmere from Nudgee.	
<b>Composition</b>	Sandgate, Shorncliffe, Brighton, Deagon, Bracken Ridge, Fitzgibbon, and portions of Zillmere, Taigum and Bald Hills.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Sandgate, largely retaining its existing boundaries, with the only proposed change being the transfer of a portion of Taigum to Nudgee in exchange for a portion of Zillmere. This change improves enrolment in the district, meaning Sandgate is no longer projected to fall out of quota in the coming years, and retains the existing strong boundaries including the rail line, waterways and major transport corridors.

The Commission noted suggestions including S-095, S-099, S-101, S-109, S-112 (Greens), S-117 and CS-491 that the district should gain all or part of Bald Hills from Aspley, but decided to retain the existing northern boundaries aligned to transport corridors and waterways.

## Aspley

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,527 (+0.84%)	42,017 (-5.96%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,989 (+1.99%)	42,818 (-4.17%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Geebung to Nudgee. Loses a portion of McDowall to Everton. Loses the portion of Albany Creek currently in the district to Everton.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Brisbane Downs from Everton. Gains the northern portion of Chermside from Stafford.	
<b>Composition</b>	Aspley, McDowall, Bridgeman Downs, Carseldine, the bulk of Bald Hills and portions of Zillmere, Chermside, Chermside West and Wavell Heights.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Aspley to gain a portion of Chermside from Stafford and transfer a portion of McDowall to Everton. The proposed changes better balance enrolment across these districts, and create a continuous, recognisable boundary aligned to Hamilton Road. The proposed alignment is similar to the suggestion in CS-491.

The Commission has also adopted S-042 which suggested the localities of Albany Creek and Bridgeman Downs be united within Everton and Aspley respectively, arguing these areas are currently not accessible from within their current district.

The Commission noted several suggestions that the district transfer the northern portion of Bald Hills to Sandgate but has not adopted the change, agreeing the area is more strongly connected to the rest of Aspley along the shared transport corridor connecting to the Bruce Highway. This approach retains strong boundaries aligned to major arterial roads, waterways and the Brisbane City Council boundary as highlighted in S-115 (ALP).

The proposed district is slightly above the State average and projected to decline marginally in the coming years.

## Everton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,361 (+0.43%)	42,649 (-4.54%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,956 (+1.91%)	43,127 (-3.47%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Enoggera, Gaythorne and a portion of Mitchelton to Ferny Grove. Loses the balance of Bridgeman Downs to Aspley.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a portion of McDowall and Albany Creek from Aspley. Gains the balance of Bunya from Ferny Grove.	
<b>Composition</b>	Everton Park, Everton Hills, Albany Creek, Eatons Hill, Bunya, and portions of Mitchelton, Keperra, Arana Hills, Warner and Brendale.	

To compensate for the proposed transfer of Enoggera, Gaythorne and part of Mitchelton to improve enrolment in Ferny Grove, the Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Everton to gain a further portion of McDowall from Aspley. The proposed boundary along Hamilton Road aligns with the northern boundary of Stafford. Submissions including S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) suggested more of McDowall be included in Everton.

The Commission has also adopted the change suggested by the Honourable Mark Furner MP, Member for Ferny Grove (S-079) and others including S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-115 (ALP) that the locality of Bunya be united within Everton.

The Commission noted S-042 which suggested the localities of Albany Creek and Bridgeman Downs be united within Everton and Aspley respectively, arguing these areas are currently not accessible from within their current district. The Commission has adopted this suggestion, aligning the boundary in this area to the locality boundary.

The proposed changes improve Everton's current and projected enrolment, which is slightly above the State average and projected to decline marginally in the coming years.

## Ferny Grove

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,437 (-4.36%)	39,645 (-11.27%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,927 (-0.65%)	41,227 (-7.73%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Ashgrove to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper). Loses Bunya to Everton.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Enoggera and Gaythorne and a further portion of Mitchelton from Everton.	
<b>Composition</b>	Ferny Grove, Ferny Hills, Upper Kedron, Enoggera, Gaythorne, the bulk of Arana Hills, Keperra and Mitchelton, and portions of Alderley, Ashgrove and Newmarket.	

Ferny Grove is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, projected to fall out of quota in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district to gain the balance of Enoggera and Gaythorne, aligning the district's inner-northern boundary to Kedron Brook, and a portion of Mitchelton from Everton. The proposed change unites these connected communities within one district using the waterway as a boundary to the extent practicable. The proposed approach is informed by submissions including S-100, S-101, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP).

The portion of Bunya contained in the district is transferred to Everton, uniting the locality within one district. The Commission was assisted by a submission from the local Member of Parliament, the Honourable Mark Furner MP (S-079) supporting this change. The Commission has in-part adopted the MP's other suggestions with the inclusion of Gaythorne and part of Mitchelton in the district. Enrolment in the proposed district is improved, being close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline toward the lower end of the threshold, but remaining in quota, in the coming years.

## ▲ Moreton Bay area

### Summary:

The creation of the new district of Caboolture in this region has enabled the Commission to reduce the number of electors in the surrounding districts, bringing the district of Murrumba back into quota. The new district, situated west of the Bruce Highway, includes the central portion of the Caboolture locality, and expands west with the D'Aguilar Highway as its core transport spine.

Pumicestone and Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft) have shifted east, strengthening their character as districts shaped around the area's northern coastal communities.

The Commission received approximately 135 comments during the second stage of consultation, including a submission from the local Member of Parliament, Ms Nikki Boyd MP (CS-287), which argued against changes to the district of Pine Rivers proposed in S-110 (LNP). These changes would have removed portions of Strathpine, Lawnton and Bray Park from the district. The Commission agreed with the public sentiment, noting Pine Rivers is in quota and projected to remain so, and has made only one minor change to the boundaries of the district to include Ocean View, an outcome supported in public submissions (S-040, CS-075 and CS-287).

The Commission notes this region is expected to continue to experience strong growth in the coming years. The proposed district of Morayfield is one of 5 districts expected to exceed the quota by 2032 on account of significant ongoing development.

There are 8 districts in the Moreton Bay area:

**Pine Rivers**

**Kurwongbah**

**Murrumba**

**Redcliffe**

**Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft)**

**Morayfield**

**Pumicestone**

**Caboolture (new)**

## Pine Rivers

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,657 (+3.66%)	45,774 (+2.45%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,491 (+5.73%)	46,700 (+4.52%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Jollys Lookout to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Ocean View from Glass House.	
<b>Composition</b>	Strathpine and the bulk of Lawnton, Bray Park, Brendale and Warner; Cashmere, the Samford Valley area, Dayboro and the surrounding localities extending west to the Moreton Bay local government area boundary and north to Ocean View.	

The Commission proposes a **minimal change** to include the locality of Ocean View in Pine Rivers. This change was suggested in several submissions including S-040, CS-002, CS-075 and a submission from the local Member of Parliament (CS-287).

The Commission agreed with the approximately 135 comments, including the submission from Ms Nikki Boyd MP, Member for Pine Rivers (CS-287) and CS-466 (ALP), which argued against further changes to Pine Rivers suggested in S-110 (LNP).

Noting the district is in quota and projected to remain so, no other changes are proposed.

## Kurwongbah

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,662 (+6.16%)	50,224 (+12.41%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,521 (-1.66%)	46,188 (+3.38%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Narangba and a portion of Burpengary to Morayfield. Loses a small portion of Petrie to Murrumba.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the bulk of Dakabin from Murrumba.	
<b>Composition</b>	Kurwongbah, Whiteside, Joyner, the bulk of Petrie, Dakabin and Narangba and portions of Lawnton, Bray Park and Burpengary.	

Kurwongbah is currently above the average State enrolment and projected to exceed the quota by 2032. The district shares a boundary with the significantly over-quota Murrumba, and the growing Morayfield district.

The Commission proposes relatively **minimal changes** intended to improve enrolment in the surrounding districts. Kurwongbah gains the bulk of Dakabin, relieving enrolment pressure in the over-quota Murrumba. A portion of Burpengary and Narangba are proposed to be transferred to Morayfield, so that district remains in quota following the establishment of the new Caboolture district further north.

Submissions including S-014, S-099, S-100, S-114 and S-117 included suggestions that Kurwongbah gain territory from Dakabin and transfer a portion of Burpengary to Morayfield. The proposed approach aligns in part with S-115 (ALP) which suggested parts of Burpengary be moved into Morayfield should electors be moved out of Kurwongbah. These changes also mean Kurwongbah is projected to remain in quota, being slightly below the average enrolment, projected to grow to around the mid-point above the average by 2032.

## Murrumba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	47,586 (+18.41%)	56,550 (+26.57%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,144 (-0.11%)	48,585 (+8.74%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of Dakabin to Kurwongbah. Loses the portions of Rothwell and Kippa-Ring currently in the district to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft), and the small portion of Newport currently in the district to Redcliffe.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains a small portion of Petrie from Kurwongbah.	
<b>Composition</b>	Kallangur, Murrumba Downs, Griffin, the bulk of Mango Hill and small portions of Petrie and Dakabin.	

Murrumba was significantly above the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, requiring significant changes to bring the district back into quota.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to the district to contract to the core suburbs of Murrumba Downs, Kallangur, Mango Hill and Griffin. These localities have shared communities of interest, and the proposed approach enables the retention of existing boundaries aligned to the rail line, waterways and major roads to the extent practicable.

The district contracts in the west, transferring the bulk of Dakabin to Kurwongbah, and in the north-east, transferring Rothwell and the portion of Kippa-Ring currently in the district to the adjacent district of Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). The proposed approach is broadly similar to several submissions including S-014, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP) and CS-491. The proposed alignment of the north-eastern boundary to Saltwater Creek was suggested in both S-110 and S-115.

Enrolment in the proposed district is significantly improved, being close to the State average and projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

## Redcliffe

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,565 (+5.91%)	50,004 (+11.92%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,327 (+2.83%)	48,925 (+9.50%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses a portion of Kippa-Ring to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Newport from Murrumba.	
<b>Composition</b>	Redcliffe, Scarborough, Margate, Woody Point, Clontarf, Newport, Moreton Island and a portion of Kippa-Ring.	

Redcliffe is another district in the Moreton Bay region projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district to gain the balance of the Newport locality, correcting an issue where the current boundary bisects a newly developed estate not aligned to a recognisable feature or other boundary. This change will improve clarity for electors and administration of the electoral roll.

Submissions regarding this district suggested various portions of Kippa-Ring and Newport be exchanged between Redcliffe and the adjacent district. The Commission proposes to transfer an additional portion of Kippa-Ring to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). This change means the district is projected to remain in quota in the coming years, approaching but not exceeding the maximum enrolment threshold and maintains the area's natural peninsula boundary as advocated in S-115 (ALP).

## Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,697 (+3.75%)	46,871 (+4.91%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,689 (+6.22%)	46,430 (+3.92%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the northern portion of Burpengary East (north of Burpengary Creek) and the portion of Morayfield currently in the district to Pumicestone.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Rothwell and Kippa-Ring from Murrumba and a further portion of Kippa-Ring from Redcliffe.	
<b>Composition</b>	Deception Bay, North Lakes, Rothwell, and portions of Burpengary East, Narangba, Mango Hill and Kippa-Ring.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to shift the district slightly south to focus on the communities of interest around the southern portion of Deception Bay. The district transfers territory north of Burpengary Creek to Pumicestone, gaining the balance of Rothwell and a portion of Kippa-Ring from Murrumba and Redcliffe.

The proposed district retains the strong western boundary along the Bruce Highway, and relieves enrolment pressure in Murrumba, which is currently over quota, and Redcliffe which is projected to become so by 2032. The proposed approach broadly aligns with several public suggestions including S-014, S-110 (LNP) and CS-491.

The Commission has named this district Deception Bay in recognition of the district's bayside location and for the recognisable, central locality of the same name.

## Morayfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,825 (+9.05%)	54,119 (+21.13%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	37,165 (-7.52%)	53,740 (+20.28%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Caboolture and Bellmere to the proposed new Caboolture district.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains portions of Burpengary and Narangba from Kurwongbah. Gains Upper Caboolture, Moorina, Lilywood, Rocksberg, Greenstone and a portion of Wagtail Grove from Glass House.	
<b>Composition</b>	Morayfield, Caboolture South, Upper Caboolture, Moorina, Lilywood, Rocksberg, Greenstone, and portions of Burpengary and Wagtail Grove.	

Noting the continued high growth in this area, the Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district with the populated northern localities of Caboolture and Bellmere transferred to the new district of Caboolture, allowing the Morayfield district to remain centred on the locality of the same name. The general approach is similar to that proposed in several submissions which suggested the establishment of a new district based around Caboolture.

The Commission proposes the district gains more of Burpengary, incorporating areas connected via Morayfield Road and wholly aligning the eastern boundary to the Bruce Highway. The district is also proposed to expand west, gaining Upper Caboolture and its surrounds from Glass House. These areas are well connected and share a community of interest with central Morayfield.

While the current enrolment is at the lower end of the allowable range, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Morayfield is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

## Pumicestone

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,384 (+7.95%)	47,881 (+7.17%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,720 (-3.65%)	42,755 (-4.31%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Moodlu and the portions of Caboolture and Elimbah situated to the west of the Bruce Highway to the proposed new Caboolture district.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains part of Burpengary East and Morayfield from Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). Gains Coochin Creek and the eastern portion of Beerburrum from Glass House and Caloundra.	
<b>Composition</b>	Coochin Creek, Donnybrook, Meldale, Toorbul, Ningi, Sandstone Point, Godwin Beach, Beachmere; portions of Burpengary East, Morayfield, Caboolture, Elimbah and Beerburrum; and the entirety of Bribie Island.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to Pumicestone to transfer all territory west of the Bruce Highway to the new Caboolture district, wholly aligning the western boundary of Pumicestone to the motorway.

The district's southern border is proposed to shift further south to align with Burpengary Creek, while to the north the district gains the eastern portion of Beerburrum and the whole locality of Coochin Creek from Glass House and Caloundra.

The boundaries of the proposed district are aligned to major features including waterways and the Bruce Highway. The proposed approach is similar to that suggested in submissions including S-115 (ALP), CS-491, and several other submissions which suggested the establishment of a new district centred on Caboolture.

The proposed district incorporates the entire Pumicestone Passage for which the district is named, reflecting a clear community of interest. The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

## Caboolture (new district)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	37,867 (-5.78%)	47,201 (+5.64%)
<b>Losses</b>	N/A	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the portion of Caboolture west of the Bruce Highway and Bellmere from Morayfield. Gains Moodlu and the portions of Caboolture and Elimbah west of the Bruce Highway from Pumicestone. Gains the remaining Moreton Bay local government area localities from Glass House.	
<b>Composition</b>	The portions of Caboolture and Elimbah situated west of the Bruce Highway; Corymbia, the northern portion of Wagtail Grove; Moodlu, Bellmere, Waraba, Wamuran, Campbell's Pocket and Mount Mee, extending north-west to gain the remaining localities from Moreton Bay local government area including Woodford and D'Aguilar.	

The Commission received several suggestions including S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) to establish a new district in the Moreton Bay area, noting a total of 7 districts between the Moreton Bay and nearby Sunshine Coast regions are projected to exceed the quota by 2032. The Commission agreed with the general approach put forward by submitters, and the proposed district is informed by these submissions.

Situated to the west of the Bruce Highway, the proposed district incorporates the central portion of the Caboolture locality and its surrounds, and expands west with the D'Aguilar Highway as its core transport corridor, gaining the southern bulk of the current Glass House district. The boundaries of the proposed district are aligned to the Moreton Bay local government area boundaries to the north and west, the Caboolture River to the south, and the Bruce Highway to the east. The proposed district is similar to the approach suggested in submissions including S-077, S-095, S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP), and CS-491.

The proposed district is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, projected to grow to around the mid-point above the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Caboolture for the highly populated, recognisable locality contained within the district and reinstating a previous electorate name that existed for almost 24 years.<sup>30</sup>

<sup>30</sup> [Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament](#), p.245.

## ▲ Sunshine Coast area

### Summary:

The Sunshine Coast is another region that has grown significantly since 2017, with the district of Caloundra being significantly above the State average enrolment at the commencement of this redistribution. The Commission decided to transfer the portions of Caloundra situated west of the Bruce Highway to the revised Glass House district, and the southern locality of Coochin Creek to Pumicestone, resulting in a more compact district. The Commission notes significant development is ongoing in this district, and Caloundra is projected to exceed the average State enrolment by 2032.

The districts of Glass House and Nambour (formerly Nicklin) have shifted north to accommodate the new district of Caboolture in the Moreton Bay region, and absorbed some enrolment pressure from the over-quota Caloundra and Gympie as part of this change.

The Commission received a number of submissions requesting Cooroy be transferred to Noosa, with submissions highlighting the community of interest between these areas. The Commission also noted submissions were received during this 2017 redistribution regarding this issue.

The Commission considered including Cooroy in Noosa, but ultimately decided it should remain with Nambour (formerly Nicklin) to better balance the number of electors in both districts. The town of Eumundi has also been transferred from Coolum (formerly Ninderry) to Nambour to balance current and projected population in these districts.

There are 8 districts in the Sunshine Coast area:

**Glass House**

**Caloundra**

**Kawana**

**Buderim**

**Maroochydore**

**Coolum (formerly Ninderry)**

**Nambour (formerly Nicklin)**

**Noosa**

## Glass House

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,829 (-0.89%)	53,613 (+20.00%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,398 (-4.45%)	42,096 (-5.78%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Ocean View to Pine Rivers. Loses Coochin Creek and the portion of Beerburrum east of the Bruce Highway to Pumicestone. Loses the southern-most localities surrounding Upper Caboolture to Morayfield. Loses the remaining Moreton Bay local government area localities to the proposed new Caboolture district. Loses the northern localities of Flaxton, Cambroom, Kenilworth, a portion of Curramore and the balance of Kidaman Creek and Obi Obi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview and the portion of Palmview west of the Bruce Highway from Caloundra. Gains Palmwoods, Chevallum, Ilkley, the balance of Hunchy, and the western portion of Tanawha from Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
<b>Composition</b>	Glass House Mountains, the western portion of Beerburrum, Peachester, Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview, Tanawha, Ilkley, Chevallum, Palmwoods, Mooloolah Valley, and localities to the west, extending to the local government area boundary, including Maleny, Montville, Witta, Reesville, Conondale and their surrounds.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to align the eastern boundary of Glass House wholly to the Bruce Highway.

The proposed district gains the portion of Caloundra situated west of the highway, uniting the communities connected by Steve Irwin Way within the district, and the southern portion of Nicklin including Palmwoods. These gains offset the transfer of the southern bulk of the current district to the new electorate of Caboolture.

The proposed district is characterised by Sunshine Coast hinterland communities west of the highway, reflecting shared interests of these communities. The southern and western boundaries of the proposed district are aligned to the Moreton Bay and Somerset local government area boundaries respectively, and the eastern boundary to the Bruce Highway. The proposed approach is broadly similar to that proposed in submissions including S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP) and CS-491.

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

## Caloundra

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	47,391 (+17.92%)	64,892 (+45.24%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,011 (-5.42%)	54,058 (+20.99%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses all territory situated west of the Bruce Highway to Glass House, being Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview and the western portion of Palmview. Loses Coochin Creek to Pumicestone.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Caloundra, the bulk of Caloundra West, Kings Beach, Shelly Beach, Moffat Beach, Dicky Beach, Battery Hill, Golden Beach, Pelican Waters, Baringa, Bells Creek, Nirimba, Banyan, Gagalba, Corbould Park and portions of Little Mountain and Meridan Plains.	

The Commission has proposed **significant changes** to the boundaries of the existing district of Caloundra, noting it is significantly over-quota with continued development and enrolment growth projected in the area.

The proposed district contracts to the east, losing all territory situated west of the Bruce Highway to Glass House, and contracts north, transferring Coochin Creek to Pumicestone. The proposed changes significantly improve enrolment in the district, bringing it back into quota. The proposed changes are similar to the approach proposed in submissions including S-100, S-114, S-117 and CS-491.

While enrolment in the proposed district is below the average State enrolment, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Caloundra is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

## Kawana

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,572 (+0.96%)	43,517 (-2.60%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,770 (+1.45%)	43,762 (-2.05%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Mooloolah River National Park portion of Sippy Downs, Lower Mooloolah River Environment Reserve and Palmview Conservation Area and connecting portions of Palmview from Buderim.	
<b>Composition</b>	Minyama, Buddina, Parrearra, Warana, Bokarina, Birtinya, Wurtulla, Currimundi, Aroona, the bulk of Meridan Plains and portions of Palmview, Sippy Downs, Caloundra West and Little Mountain.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district of Kawana. The district is proposed to gain portions of Sippy Downs around the Mooloolah River National Park, and Palmview around the Palmview Conservation Park, from the high-growth district of Buderim.

The proposed district is close to the average State enrolment, declining slightly compared to the average over the coming years.

## Buderim

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	42,780 (+6.45%)	50,640 (+13.34%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,022 (-5.39%)	45,739 (+2.37%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the southern portion of Mountain Creek to Maroochydhore.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Sippy Downs, Buderim, the bulk of Palmview and portions of Mountain Creek, Tanawha and Mons.	

Buderim is currently above the average State enrolment and projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to contract the district slightly to the north, transferring the southern portion of Mountain Creek to Maroochydhore, and territory around the Mooloolah River National Park and Palmview Conservation Park to Kawana.

Mountain Creek is well connected to the Maroochydhore area, and this transfer significantly improves projected enrolment in Buderim. Submissions including S-077 and S-117 suggested the relevant portion of Mountain Creek be transferred to Kawana, but the Commission considered this area's stronger connection is to Mooloolaba.

The proposed district is below the average State enrolment, projected to grow to be slightly above the average in the coming years.

## Maroochydore

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,702 (-3.70%)	43,258 (-3.18%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,262 (+7.65%)	47,914 (+7.24%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the southern portion of Mountain Creek from Buderim.	
<b>Composition</b>	Mooloolaba, Alexandra Headland, Twin Waters, Mudjimba and Point Arkwright; the bulk of Maroochydore, Yaroomba, Mount Coolum, Marcoola Pacific Paradise; and portions of Coolum Beach and Mountain Creek.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Maroochydore to gain a portion of the Mountain Creek locality from the growing district of Buderim. This area is well connected to central Maroochydore, and the proposed change means Buderim is now projected to remain in quota in the coming years.

The remainder of Maroochydore's boundaries are unchanged, and the district remains focussed around the central coast of the Sunshine Coast, north of the Mooloolah River.

The proposed district is toward the higher end of the enrolment threshold, and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

## Coolum (formerly Ninderry)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,970 (+9.41%)	46,880 (+4.93%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,858 (+6.64%)	45,585 (+2.03%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses part of Eumundi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Kuluin, Kunda Park, Forest Glen, portions of Buderim and Mons and the Sunshine Coast local government area localities to their north bordered by the Sunshine Motorway in the east, the local government area boundary in the north and the Bruce Highway in the west (excluding a portion of Eumundi), in addition to the bulk of the Coolum Beach locality.	

Ninderry is currently very close to exceeding the maximum enrolment threshold, although the Commission notes its relative enrolment is projected to slightly decline in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to transfer the central portion of Eumundi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin), with the boundary aligned to the rail line. The proposed change ensures the district will remain in quota, and is similar to the approach taken in submissions including S-019, S-095 and S-110 (LNP). The Commission notes submissions from several community members arguing for the inclusion of Eumundi in the district of Noosa but this change would require more substantial boundary changes to ensure the surrounding districts remain in quota.

The Commission is of the view that the electorate's name (Ninderry) does not readily identify or suggest the electorate's location. Accordingly, the Commission has renamed the district Coolum for the large, recognisable locality within the district. The proposed district name was suggested in CS-491.

## Nambour (formerly Nicklin)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,036 (-0.38%)	44,099 (-1.30%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,796 (-3.46%)	42,141 (-5.68%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Palmwoods, Chevallum, Ikley, Hunchy and Tanawha to Glass House. Loses the northern portion of Federal to Noosa.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the northern localities from the current Glass House stretching west from Flaxton to Kenilworth. Gains the southern localities from the current Gympie including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south.  Gains a portion of Eumundi from Coolum (formerly Ninderry).	
<b>Composition</b>	The north-western portion of the Sunshine Coast local government area, broadly being all localities north and west of Woombye, bordered in the east by the Bruce Highway, in addition to a portion of Eumundi situated east of the Highway. The southern portion of the Gympie local government area including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south. The Noosa local government area localities surrounding Black Mountain including the bulk of Cooroy and a portion of Federal.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to locate the district broadly north, transferring Palmwoods and its surrounds to Glass House, and gaining the southern portion of the Gympie district including Imbil, Kandanga and Amamoor.

The proposed approach accommodates the newly established district of Caboolture and associated northerly shift of Glass House, while also relieving enrolment pressure in the currently over-quota district of Gympie. The Commission considered Palmwoods and the surrounding area has a strong community of interest with the hinterland localities to the south including Landsborough and Beerwah.

The proposed district also gains the central portion of Eumundi, slightly reducing enrolment in Coolum (formerly Ninderry) which is very close to the maximum enrolment threshold. This change locates Eumundi to connected hinterland towns of Yandina and Cooroy which are also in Nambour.

The Commission considered public suggestions for Cooroy to be transferred to Noosa, but decided the locality should remain to better balance enrolment.

The Commission has named the district Nambour for the large, recognisable town within the district. The proposed district name was suggested in S-114.

## Noosa

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,909 (-3.18%)	40,690 (-8.93%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,281 (-2.26%)	41,089 (-8.04%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the northern portion of Federal from Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
<b>Composition</b>	The bulk of the Noosa local government area, excluding a portion of Cooroy and the localities situated west of the Bruce Highway.	

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district of Noosa, noting the current boundaries are largely aligned to the local government area boundary and represent a clear community of interest. The Commission proposes a small change to transfer a portion of Federal from Nambour (formerly Nicklin) to Noosa, aligning the district boundary in this area to the road.

The Commission received a submission from Ms Sandy Bolton MP, Member for Noosa (S-067) noting the district is projected to remain in quota and that changes may not be required. The Member suggested that any changes should incorporate areas already part of the Noosa community, such as Federal, Cooroy and Black Mountain. The Commission noted the number of electors in Noosa Shire is too high to accommodate the whole local government area within the district of Noosa, noting the need to maintain quota in the surrounding districts.

The Commission considered public suggestions for Noosa to gain the town of Cooroy from Nambour (formerly Nicklin), noting similar submissions during the 2017 redistribution. However, the Commission decided the locality should remain in Nambour (formerly Nicklin), maintaining the current boundaries, and balancing enrolment between the 2 districts. The Commission noted further public suggestions that Noosa gain northern territory from Coolum (formerly Ninderry) but has retained the existing boundary aligned to the local government area.

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

## ▲ Southern Queensland

### Summary:

The Commission has made generally limited changes to the districts in this region.

Approximately 132 specific submissions were received during the second stage of consultation which argued against the suggestion in S-115 (ALP) to transfer the locality of Highfields from Toowoomba North. Many submissions highlighted the shared community of interest between Highfields and the rest of Toowoomba. The Commission agreed with these comments and the suggestion was not adopted.

Several submissions including S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP) suggested the locality of Drayton be transferred from Condamine to Toowoomba South. The Commission has adopted this proposal, noting the shared community of interest.

There are 5 districts in the Southern Queensland area:

**Southern Downs**

**Toowoomba North**

**Lockyer**

**Toowoomba South**

**Condamine**

## Southern Downs

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,983 (-3.00%)	40,404 (-9.57%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,514 (-1.68%)	40,934 (-8.38%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the localities situated west of Clifton from Condamine including Ellangowan, Ryeford and surrounds.	
<b>Composition</b>	The entire Southern Downs and Goondiwindi local government areas. Also includes the south-western portion of the Toowoomba local government area, broadly being the localities situated west of Clifton and south of Cecil Plains.	

The current Southern Downs district is slightly below the average State enrolment, and projected to approach the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to Southern Downs to largely retain its existing boundaries, with a minor change to gain of a small portion of territory west of Clifton from the Condamine district.

The proposed change slightly improves the projected enrolment in the district with minimal impact on the surrounding districts.

## Lockyer

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	The entire Lockyer Valley local government area, in addition to the southern localities of the Somerset local government area including Fernvale, Lowood, Minden and their surrounds.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The district unites connected communities, with boundaries largely aligned to the local government area boundary.

The district is close to the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

## Condamine

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,216 (+7.53%)	47,911 (+7.23%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,593 (-3.97%)	42,829 (-4.14%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Drayton to Toowoomba South. Loses the north-eastern localities of Crows Nest, Ravensbourne, Grapetree and surrounds to Nanango. Loses the localities situated west of Clifton to Southern Downs. Loses Grassdale and the portion of Cecil Plains currently in the district to Warrego.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	A significant portion of the Toowoomba local government area situated to the north, west and south of central Toowoomba. The district extends south as far as Clifton, west to Bowenville and north Haden and Quinalow, with population centres including Westbrook, Kingsthorpe, Oakey, Goombungee, Wyreema, Pittsworth, Cambooya and Clifton.	

The district of Condamine is currently at the higher end of the enrolment threshold, projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** intended to improve enrolment in the adjoining districts. These changes include the transfer of Crows Nest and its surrounds to Nanango (suggested in S-077 and S-110 LNP), a small portion of territory west of Clifton to Southern Downs, and the locality of Drayton to Toowoomba South (suggested in S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 ALP).

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment, with projected enrolment remaining comparatively stable.

## Toowoomba North

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	Toowoomba City, East Toowoomba, North Toowoomba, Redwood, Prince Henry Heights, Mount Lofty, Harlaxton, Wilsonton, Wilsonton Heights, Cranley, Mount Kynoch, Birnam, Highfields, Woolmer, Spring Bluff, the bulk of Newtown and portions of Meringandan, Kleinton, Cabarlah and Cawdor.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so.

The Commission considered the existing boundaries unite connected communities the proposed approach maintains enrolment continuity. The Commission also agreed with the sentiment expressed in a large number of public submissions, including from the former Member of Parliament representing the district and current Toowoomba Regional Council councillor, Cr Kerry Shine (CS-402), supporting the retention of Highfields and its surrounds in the district.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, with relative enrolment projected to slightly decline in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

## Toowoomba South

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,178 (-0.02%)	41,039 (-8.15%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,596 (+3.50%)	42,926 (-3.92%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Drayton from Condamine.	
<b>Composition</b>	South Toowoomba, Harristown, Centenary Heights, Middle Ridge, Rangeville, Drayton and portions of Kearneys Spring, Darling Heights, Glenvale and Newtown.	

The current Toowoomba South district is close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline over the coming years to approach the minimum enrolment threshold by 2032.

The Commission proposes a relatively **minimal change** to transfer the locality of Drayton to Toowoomba South. This area is well connected to Toowoomba South, sharing a community of interest and improving enrolment in the district. The proposed change was suggested in several submissions including S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP).

Enrolment in the proposed district is improved by the proposed change, being above the average State enrolment, projected to decline to be slightly below the average by 2032.

## ▲ Wide Bay and Burnett

### Summary:

Both Gympie and Hervey Bay had enrolment above the State average, while Bundaberg was below quota at the commencement of the redistribution.

As the district of Bundaberg is wholly encircled by Burnett, enrolment in this district has been improved by transferring the balance of the suburban localities of Kensington and Branyan from Burnett. Similarly, given the district is wholly bordered by Maryborough, the Commission's options to improve enrolment in Hervey Bay were limited. The Commission ultimately decided to transfer Eli Waters and part of Urraween to Maryborough to improve Hervey Bay's enrolment (proposed in S-110 LNP and S-115 ALP respectively), retaining K'gari and its primary transport hub of River Heads.

The district of Burnett has shifted south, transferring the northern localities to Callide and gaining Burrum Heads, Howard and Torbanlea from Maryborough. This change was necessary to ensure the district of Callide, which was at risk of dropping below the average State enrolment, retains sufficient elector population in the coming years.

There are 6 districts in the Wide Bay and Burnett area:

**Gympie**

**Maryborough**

**Hervey Bay**

**Bundaberg**

**Burnett**

**Nanango**

## Gympie

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	45,461 (+13.12%)	48,938 (+9.53%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,639 (+3.61%)	44,978 (+0.67%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses southern localities including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	The city of Gympie and the eastern bulk of the Gympie local government area. From the city, the district extends east to the coast, including Tin Can Bay, Rainbow Beach and Cooloola; north to include Bauple and its surrounds; west to Glen Echo, Sexton, Lower Wonga and Widgee; and south to Kybong, Langshaw and their surrounds.	

Gympie is one of 8 existing districts that has exceeded the quota, meaning the Commission is required to make changes to reduce its enrolment.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to transfer the southern portion of the current Gympie district including Imbil, Kandanga and Amamoor, to Nambour (formerly Nicklin). The proposed change improves enrolment in both districts, bringing Gympie back into quota and increasing the number of electors in Nambour. Submissions including in S-101 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the southern portion of the current district be transferred to Nambour.

Enrolment in the proposed district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

## Maryborough

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	44,091 (+9.71%)	47,601 (+6.54%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,908 (+6.77%)	45,771 (+2.44%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the western portion of the current district, broadly being the localities situated west of Duckinwilla, Gungaloon, Thinoomba and St Mary to Callide. Loses portions of Nikenbah and Susan River and the balance of Bunya Creek to Hervey Bay. Loses the northern localities of Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River, Burrum Town and Cherwell to Burnett.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Eli Waters and a portion of Urraween from Hervey Bay.	
<b>Composition</b>	The city of Maryborough, north to the coastal communities of Toogoom, Cragnish, Dundowran Beach and Eli Waters, east to the Great Sandy Strait, south to Tinnanbar and Tiaro, and west to Duckinwilla, Gungaloon, Thinoomba and St Mary.	

Maryborough is currently toward the high end of the enrolment threshold, although the Commission notes its relative enrolment is projected to slightly decline in the coming years. As the only district sharing a land border with the over-quota district of Hervey Bay, Maryborough's boundaries require adjustment to better balance enrolment between the two districts.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to Maryborough to gain Eli Waters (as suggested in S-110 LNP) and part of Urraween (as suggested in S-115 ALP) from Hervey Bay, in exchange for some territory around Nikenbah, bringing Hervey Bay back into quota. The overall approach is similar to that taken in S-095.

To balance the number of electors between Maryborough and the surrounding districts, the Commission proposes the northern localities of Burrum Heads (suggested in S-115 ALP), Howard, Torbanlea (suggested in S-110 LNP) and their surrounds be transferred to Burnett, and the western localities be transferred to Callide.

Enrolment in the proposed district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

## Hervey Bay

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	45,575 (+13.40%)	48,342 (+8.20%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,984 (+1.98%)	44,076 (-1.35%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Eli Waters and a portion of Urraween to Maryborough.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Bunya Creek and portions of Nikenbah and Susan River from Maryborough.	
<b>Composition</b>	K'gari, Point Vernon, Pialba, Scarness, Torquay, Urangan, Booral, River Heads, Kawungan, Wondunna, Bunya Creek and portions of Urraween, Nikenbah and Susan River.	

The Commission was required to make changes to the boundaries of Hervey Bay, noting the district is currently out of quota.

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to transfer Eli Waters and part of Urraween to Maryborough (incorporating suggestions including S-95, S-110 LNP and S-115 ALP), while Hervey Bay gains the balance of Bunya Creek and portions of Nikenbah and Susan River in exchange. The Commission agreed that K'gari, in addition to its primary transport hub in River Heads, should remain in the Hervey Bay district.

The proposed changes bring Hervey Bay back into quota, being slightly above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

## Bundaberg

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,010 (-5.42%)	39,803 (-10.91%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,649 (-1.34%)	41,535 (-7.04%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of Woongarra currently in the district to Burnett.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of Kensington and Branyan from Burnett.	
<b>Composition</b>	Bundaberg Central, Bundaberg North, Bundaberg West, Bundaberg South, Bundaberg East, Kalkie, Ashfield, Kepnock, Walkervale, Avenell Heights, Thabeban, Norville, Svensson Heights, Millbank, Avoca, Kensington and Branyan.	

The Commission proposes that Bundaberg largely retain its existing boundaries, with the only proposed **minimal changes** being for the district to gain the balance of Branyan and Kensington from Burnett. This change was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP).

The Commission considered suggestions including S-077, S-110 (LNP) and S-114 to expand Bundaberg toward the coast, but was not persuaded and determined the current configuration of the electorate should be largely retained, focused on the regional urban centre of Bundaberg as advocated in CS-466 (ALP).

The proposed change unites a community of interest, aligns with several large and whole-of-State submissions and improves enrolment in the district, meaning Bundaberg is no longer projected to fall out of quota in the coming years.

## Burnett

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	43,047 (+7.11%)	45,230 (+1.23%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,427 (+0.59%)	42,651 (-4.54%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Kensington and Branyan to Bundaberg. Loses all of the Gladstone local government area currently in the district, in addition to the locality of Monduran, to Callide.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River, Burrum Town and Cherwell from Maryborough. Gains the balance of Woongarra from Bundaberg.	
<b>Composition</b>	The bulk of the Bundaberg local government area outside central Bundaberg, excluding the town of Gin Gin, its surrounds and the territory to its west. Also includes the northern localities from the Fraser Coast local government area including Cherwell, Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River and Burrum Town.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to shift Burnett slightly to the south to improve enrolment in the surrounding districts. The southern border of the district shifts further south, gaining Burrum Heads, Howard, Torbanlea and their surrounds from Maryborough. This change enables Maryborough to absorb more enrolment from the over-quota district of Hervey Bay.

To the north, all territory from the Gladstone local government area currently in the district is transferred to Callide. The proposed change significantly improves enrolment in Callide, which was projected to drop below the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years. This change was suggested in several submissions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491.

The proposed boundary between Bundaberg and Burnett is largely retained, with a minor change to transfer the balance of Branyan and Kensington to Bundaberg, improving its projected enrolment.

Enrolment in the proposed district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

## Nanango

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,763 (+3.92%)	43,623 (-2.36%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,242 (+2.62%)	43,013 (-3.73%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses all the Gympie local government area currently in the district, including Kilkivan and Goomeri, to Callide. Loses the north-western localities of Mondure, Proston, Durong and the surrounding areas to Callide.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the localities of Crows Nest, Ravensbourne, Grapetree and surrounds from Condamine.	
<b>Composition</b>	The bulk of the Somerset local government area, the southern bulk of the South Burnett local government area, the Cherbourg Aboriginal Shire Council area, and the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes**, with the northern portion of the current Nanango district, including the portion of the Gympie local government area currently in the district and a portion of the South Burnett local government area, to be transferred to Callide. The proposed change improves enrolment in Callide, which was projected to drop below the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years. Submissions including S-101 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the northern portion of the current district be transferred to Callide.

The Commission proposes that Nanango gains Crows Nest and its surrounds from Condamine. This area is connected to the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area already in Nanango, and its inclusion in the district compensates for the transfers to Callide. Crows Nest was part of the Nanango district prior to the 2017 redistribution, a community of interest factor favouring this change. S-077 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the district gain Crows Nest.

Enrolment in the proposed district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

## ▲ Central Queensland

### Summary:

The Commission received 13 suggestions from individuals suggesting Calliope, currently situated in the district of Callide, should be transferred to Gladstone. A number of submissions also suggested the locality of Benaraby should be wholly contained within Gladstone. The Commission noted objections were also raised during the 2017 redistribution regarding the inclusion of Calliope in the Callide electorate. On this occasion, the Commission was able to accommodate this change.

The districts of Mirani and Callide were at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. These districts cover large geographical areas, and the Commission considered a variety of approaches to improve their projected enrolment.

Some suggestions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491 suggested that Callide expand north-west to the coast, gaining the northern parts of the current Burnett electorate around Miriam Vale, Seventeen Seventy and Agnes Water. The Commission found this approach improved enrolment without requiring significant changes to other surrounding districts. The approach also connects Gin Gin, which is currently not well connected to the rest of the district, with southern communities from the Gladstone local government area which share a connection to the Bruce Highway.

Mirani has gained the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area from the revised Burdekin district, including the towns of Moranbah and Nebo.

There are 5 districts in the Central Queensland area:

Callide

Rockhampton

Gladstone

Mirani

Keppel

## Callide

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,991 (-7.96%)	38,463 (-13.91%)
Proposed boundaries	40,858 (+1.67%)	42,081 (-5.81%)
Losses	Loses the territory situated north of Dalby including the towns of Jandowae and Bell to Warrego. Loses Calliope, Benaraby, Taragoola and River Ranch to Gladstone. Loses the northern portion of the Banana Shire local government area surrounding Wowan to Mirani.	
Gains	Gains the portion of the Gladstone local government area currently in Burnett, including Rosedale, Miriam Vale and Agnes Water. Gains the northern localities of the South Burnett local government area, including Proston and Durong and the western portion of the Gympie local government area, including Kilkivan and Goomeri, from Nanango. Gains the western portion of the current Maryborough district, being Doongul, Aramara, Woocoo, Glenbar, Mount Urah and the localities to their west.	
Composition	The North Burnett local government area, the bulk of Banana local government area, the northern portions of the Western Downs and South Burnett local government areas, the western portions of the Gympie, Fraser Coast and Bundaberg local government areas, and the southern bulk of the Gladstone local government area.	

Callide was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission noted public submissions during the first two stages of consultation, and during the 2017 redistribution, for Calliope and the balance of Benaraby to be transferred to Gladstone and was able to accommodate this change.

To improve enrolment in the district, the Commission proposes **significant changes** to Callide to expand south-east to gain portions of the Fraser Coast, Gympie and South Burnett local government areas from the districts of Maryborough and Nanango; and east to gain the portion of the Gladstone local government area currently in Burnett. The proposed inclusion of Gladstone localities including Miriam Vale, Seventeen Seventy and Agnes Water was suggested in several large and whole-of-State submissions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491 and significantly improves enrolment in the district.

Enrolment in the proposed district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline toward the mid-point of the threshold below the average in coming years.

## Gladstone

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,661 (-6.29%)	40,521 (-9.31%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,585 (+3.48%)	44,943 (+0.59%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Calliope, Benaraby, Taragoola and River Ranch from Callide.	
<b>Composition</b>	The city of Gladstone and northern portion of the Gladstone local government area broadly being Calliope, Benaraby, Tannum Sands and all localities to their north.	

The Commission proposes that Gladstone largely retain its existing boundaries, with **minimal changes** to gain Calliope and the balance of Benaraby from the Callide district.

This change was supported by 13 public submissions, several whole-of-State submissions, and during the 2017 redistribution, and improves Gladstone's enrolment such that no further changes are required. The Commission notes the strong community views expressed during successive redistributions, and has been able to unite this community of interest in response to those views.

Enrolment in the proposed district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly to approach the average in the coming years.

## Keppel

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,165 (+2.43%)	47,029 (+5.26%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,147 (-5.08%)	44,005 (-1.51%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses portions of Frenchville and Koongal to Rockhampton. Loses the portion of Shoalwater Bay currently in the district to Mirani.	
<b>Gains</b>	No gains.	
<b>Composition</b>	The southern portion of the Livingstone local government area, broadly being the territory south of Shoalwater Bay and east of Milman and Rockyview. Also incorporates the portion of the Rockhampton local government area situated immediately to the west of central Rockhampton including Limestone Creek and Mount Archer and portions of Parkhurst, Norman Gardens, Frenchville and Lakes Creek.	

While Keppel's enrolment is above the State average and growing, neighbouring Rockhampton is slightly below the average and projected to decline in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to transfer further portions of Koongal and Frenchville from Keppel to Rockhampton. These areas are well connected to central Rockhampton, and the proposed change balances enrolment between the two districts. The proposed approach is similar to that suggested in S-100.

The Commission also proposes to transfer the portion of Shoalwater currently in the district to Mirani, uniting the locality within one district.

The proposed district of Keppel is below the average State enrolment, projected to grow toward the average State enrolment by 2032.

## Rockhampton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,228 (-2.39%)	41,540 (-7.03%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,408 (+5.52%)	44,738 (+0.13%)
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the locality of Pink Lily from Mirani. Gains further portions of Frenchville and Koongal from Keppel.	
<b>Composition</b>	Rockhampton City, West Rockhampton, Wandal, The Range, Allenstown, Depot Hill, Fairy Bower, Gracemere, Park Avenue, Berserker, Kawana, The Common, Koongal and portions of Parkhurst, Frenchville, Norman Gardens and Port Curtis.	

The current Rockhampton district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to further decline in the coming years.

The Commission proposes **minor changes** to Rockhampton by transferring additional portions of Frenchville and Koongal from Keppel, and Pink Lily from Mirani. These areas are well connected to central Rockhampton, and the proposed change improves enrolment in the district while largely maintaining the existing boundaries.

The Commission noted that the Rockhampton airport is currently split between the Rockhampton and Mirani districts, and the proposed inclusion of Pink Lily means the whole airport is now within the Rockhampton district.

The proposal for the district to gain portions of Koongal and Frenchville is similar to the approach suggested in S-100, while submissions including S-077 and S-110 (LNP) incorporated Pink Lily in Rockhampton.

## Mirani

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,821 (-5.89%)	40,094 (-10.26%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	41,139 (+2.37%)	43,301 (-3.08%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Paget, Ooralea and Racecourse to Mackay. Loses Pink Lily to Rockhampton.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the northern portion of the Banana Shire local government area from Callide including Wowan and surrounds. Gains the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area from Burdekin including Nebo, Coppabella and Moranbah. Gains the balance of Shoalwater Bay from Keppel.	
<b>Composition</b>	The eastern bulk of the Isaac local government area, the southern portion of the Mackay local government area, the north-western bulk of the Livingstone local government area, the bulk of the Rockhampton local government area outside central Rockhampton, and the northern portion of the Banana local government area.	

Mirani is currently below the average State enrolment and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to Mirani to expand to the north-west, incorporating more of the Isaac local government area within the district. This area is arguably better connected to Mirani than to the current district of Burdekin. The proposal to expand the district further into Isaac was suggested in submissions including S-077, S-078, S-095, S-108 and S-110 (LNP).

The expansion of Mirani into Isaac has also enabled the transfer of suburban Mackay localities including Paget and Ooralea to Mackay.

Enrolment in the proposed district is close to the State average, declining slightly over the coming years.

## ▲ Northern Queensland

### Summary:

With the proposed loss of the district of Hill and the transfer of its western bulk to Flinders (formerly Traeger), the surrounding north Queensland districts have been reconfigured to varying degrees.

The Commission received suggestions that Atherton and its surrounds share a close community of interest with the Cairns area, including a submission from the Tablelands Regional Council (CS-427) arguing that, should the district of Hill not be retained, the entire local government area should be transferred to Mulgrave.

The Commission found it was unable to accommodate these changes in their entirety, but ultimately agreed Atherton shared a greater connection with coastal communities than with the proposed Flinders district and favoured its inclusion in Mulgrave.

Hinchinbrook has shifted north, transferring some of the suburban districts around its southern border to Townsville and Thuringowa, while gaining coastal localities from the former Hill district including the remainder of the Cassowary Coast Regional Council (including Innisfail and Tully) and southern portion of the Cairns City Council (including Babinda). The Commission noted submissions from Babinda community groups requesting the inclusion of this community in Mulgrave, but has proposed its inclusion in the reconfigured Hinchinbrook, reuniting the community with similar coastal localities.

The Commission noted suggestions that the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin be broadly combined (including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101), or that Thuringowa not be retained (S-112 Greens). However, the proposed approach, combined with some minor changes around central Townsville, have brought Mundingburra back into quota and improved the projected enrolment, allowing for the retention of the current 3 suburban Townsville districts as advocated in submissions including S-115 (ALP).

The revised Burdekin has contracted to the north, transferring the portions of the Isaac local government area currently in the district to Gregory and Mirani. Burdekin gains Charters Towers and its surrounds, which shares a community of interest with the Burdekin region.

The Commission notes that the Charters Towers Regional Council is supportive of this change (CS-069) and that the 2017 redistribution commission also agreed that the Charters Towers community shares a greater commonality of interests with Burdekin than with its current district of Traeger<sup>31</sup>. Due to the changes proposed to the north Queensland and large districts, the Commission is able to achieve this change in the current redistribution.

There are 10 districts in the Northern Queensland area:

**Mackay**

**Whitsunday**

**Burdekin**

**Mundingburra**

**Townsville**

**Thuringowa**

**Hinchinbrook**

**Mulgrave**

**Cairns**

**Barron River**

<sup>31</sup> Queensland Redistribution Commission, *Determination of Queensland's Legislative Assembly Electoral Districts*, Queensland Government Gazette Vol.375, No.20, 26 May 2017, page 161.

## Mackay

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,465 (+0.69%)	42,481 (-4.92%)
Proposed boundaries	43,416 (+8.03%)	45,701 (+2.29%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains Paget, Ooralea and Racecourse from Mirani.	
Composition	Mackay, North Mackay, East Mackay, South Mackay, West Mackay, Paget, Ooralea, Racecourse, Foulden, Cremorne, Mackay Harbour, Slade Point, Andergrove, Beaconsfield, Mount Pleasant and a portion of Glenella.	

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to Mackay to gain the suburban localities of Paget, Ooralea and Racecourse from Mirani. These areas share a clear community of interest and are well connected to central Mackay. The proposed change was suggested in submissions including S-114 and S-115 (ALP) and the Commission was persuaded by the proposed approach.

Enrolment in the proposed Mackay is toward the upper end of the enrolment threshold and projected to decline slightly to approach the average by 2032.

## Whitsunday

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
Proposed boundaries	39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	The northern bulk of the Mackay local government area, broadly being Richmond and the territory to its north, bordered in the west by the Great Dividing Range. The eastern portion of the Whitsunday local government area, broadly being the territory situated east of Lake Proserpine and south of Hideaway Bay and Cape Gloucester.	

The Commission proposes **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing boundaries unite coastal communities between Mackay and Bowen, and the proposed approach maintains enrolment continuity.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, with relative enrolment projected to grow slightly in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

## Burdekin

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	36,887 (-8.21%)	39,570 (-11.43%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	38,819 (-3.41%)	41,724 (-6.61%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area including Nebo, Coppabella and Moranbah to Mirani, and the western portion including Clermont to Gregory.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the bulk of the Charters Towers local government area, from Flinders (formerly Traeger). Gains Wulguru, Murray, Roseneath and a portion of Stuart from Mundingburra.	
<b>Composition</b>	The Burdekin local government area, the bulk of the Charters Towers local government area, the western bulk of the Whitsunday local government area, and the southern portion of the Townsville local government area.	

Burdekin was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to re-orient Burdekin to the north-west, gaining the bulk of the Charters Towers local government area and some outer suburban and rural areas of the Townsville local government area. The proposed change unites areas which share a community of interest and improves enrolment in the district. The Commission noted the submission from the Charters Towers Regional Council which supports this change (CS-069) and the commentary from the 2017 Queensland Redistribution Commission noting the strong community of interest between the Charters Towers and Burdekin areas.

To compensate for this change, the Commission proposes that the portion of the Isaac local government area currently in the district is transferred to Mirani and Gregory.

Enrolment in the proposed Burdekin is slightly below the State average and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

## Mundingburra

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	35,148 (-12.54%)	37,854 (-15.28%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,624 (+6.06%)	45,140 (+1.03%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Wulguru, Murray, Roseneath and a portion of Stuart to Burdekin.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Currajong, Pimlico, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Hermit Park, the balance of Rosslea and Gulliver from Townsville. Gains Heatley from Thuringowa.	
<b>Composition</b>	Mundingburra, Rosslea, Oonoomba, Hermit Park, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Pimlico, Currajong, Gulliver, Vincent, Heatley, Cranbrook, Aitkenvale, Douglas, Annandale, Idalia and the bulk of Stuart and Cluden.	

The Commission was required to make changes to the boundaries of Mundingburra, noting the district is currently out of quota. The Commission noted several suggestions that the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin broadly be combined (submissions including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101), but decided the 3 existing districts focussed on urban Townsville, including the district of Mundingburra, should be retained.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to move the district north toward central Townsville, gaining Heatley from Thuringowa and several localities from the south-east corner of the current Townsville district. To balance the number of electors in the district, the portion of the current district situated south of the Bruce Highway and east of James Cook University are transferred to Burdekin, improving enrolment in that district.

The proposed changes significantly improve enrolment in Mundingburra, bringing the district back into quota. Enrolment in the district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly to approach the average in the coming years.

## Townsville

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	37,845 (-5.83%)	39,614 (-11.34%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,249 (-2.34%)	45,536 (+1.92%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Currajong, Pimlico, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Hermit Park, the balance of Rosslea and Gulliver to Mundingburra.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Burdell, Bushland Beach and a small portion of Mount Low from Hinchinbrook.	
<b>Composition</b>	Townsville City, South Townsville, North Ward, Castle Hill, West End, Belgian Gardens, Rowes Bay, Garbutt, Mount Louisa, Cosgrove, Mount St John, Bohle, Burdell, Bushland Beach, Town Common, Pallarenda, Shelly Beach, Magnetic Island, Palm Island, Railway Estate and a portion of Mount Low.	

Townsville was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to the district to expand to the west, gaining the growing suburbs of Burdell and Bushland Beach from Hinchinbrook. Localities in the south-east of the current district including Hyde Park and its surrounds are transferred to Mundingburra. The district retains Palm Island, which has its primary transport link to central Townsville.

The Commission gave consideration to whether Palm Island should be included in Townsville or Hinchinbrook, noting the 2017 proposed redistribution included Palm Island in Hinchinbrook but ultimately decided it should remain in Townsville following consideration of objections to the proposal. The Commission also noted the Palm Island Aboriginal Shire Council resolved to lodge an objection highlighting Palm Island's social, cultural, and service connections with Townsville should the Commission propose its transfer to Hinchinbrook. The Commission will consider any submissions received on this matter.

The proposed changes significantly improve enrolment in Townsville and support the Commission's approach (as suggested by S-115 ALP) to retain and sustain 3 districts focussed on the urban Townsville area, reflecting the city's role as a major regional centre. Enrolment in the proposed Townsville district is slightly below the State average, projected to grow moderately in the coming years.

## Thuringowa

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,861 (-3.30%)	39,624 (-11.31%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,363 (+7.90%)	45,228 (+1.23%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Heatley to Mundingburra.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Shaw, Bohle Plains, Deeragun, a portion of Jensen, Rangewood, Alice River, Hervey Range and a portion of Black River from Hinchinbrook.	
<b>Composition</b>	Thuringowa Central, Kirwan, Condon, Rasmussen, Kelso, Shaw, Deeragun, Bohle Plains, Rangewood, Hervey Range, Alice River, Gumlow, Pinnacles, and portions of Black River and Jensen.	

Thuringowa, like the adjacent district of Townsville, was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to the district to expand to the west, gaining suburban Townsville localities connected by Hervey Range Road and around the Bruce Highway from Hinchinbrook. These areas are well connected to the existing district. The locality of Heatley is proposed to be transferred to Mundingburra.

The proposed changes significantly improve enrolment in Thuringowa, which is toward the high end of the enrolment threshold and projected to decline moderately to approach the State average in the coming years.

## Hinchinbrook

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	39,644 (-1.35%)	47,547 (+6.42%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,740 (+6.35%)	46,146 (+3.28%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses Burdell, Bushland Beach and a small portion of Mount Low to Townsville. Loses Shaw, Bohle Plains, Deeragun, Rangewood, Alice River, Hervey Range and portions of Black River and Jensen to Thuringowa.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the balance of the Cassowary Coast local government area from Hill and a portion of the Cairns local government area south of Gordonvale from Mulgrave.	
<b>Composition</b>	Hinchinbrook and Cassowary Coast local government areas, the southern portion of the Cairns local government area and the northern portion of the Townsville local government area.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to Hinchinbrook to shift north, gaining the coastal portion of the former district of Hill, including the balance of the Cassowary Coast local government area and the southern portion of Cairns local government area. The proposed Hinchinbrook unites the coastal communities situated between Townsville and Cairns, which share a connection along the Bruce Highway. Submissions including S-069, S-087, S-102, S-103 and S-111 suggested Babinda be included in Mulgrave, but this community is proposed to be included in Hinchinbrook, noting the district unites the local government area and coastal communities.

Submissions S-108 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the district expand north toward Innisfail while transferring southern suburban localities to a Townsville-based district. Submissions including S-095 and S-117 suggested Hinchinbrook gain Tully.

The Commission also proposes that several growth suburbs around western Townsville that are currently in Hinchinbrook be transferred to the districts of Thuringowa and Townsville. The proposed approach supports the retention of 3 urban Townsville districts and consolidates their longer-term enrolment outlook.

Enrolment in the proposed district is above the State average and projected to decline moderately to approach the State average in the coming years.

## Mulgrave

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,133 (-0.14%)	45,921 (+2.78%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,285 (+5.22%)	48,388 (+8.30%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of Cairns local government area south of Gordonvale currently in the district to Hinchinbrook. Loses Woree and Bayview Heights and a portion of White Rock to Cairns.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the north-eastern portion of the Tablelands local government area including Atherton, Tolga, Yungaburra and surrounds from the former Hill.	
<b>Composition</b>	Includes the Yarrabah Aboriginal Shire Council local government area, the north-eastern portion of the Tablelands local government area including Atherton, Tolga and Yungaburra and surrounds, and a portion of the Cairns local government area, broadly including Mount Sheridan, Bentley Park, Edmonton, Gordonvale and surrounds.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to expand Mulgrave west to gain the eastern portion of the Tablelands area formerly in the district of Hill. The Commission noted the suggestion from the Tablelands Regional Council (CS-427) to include the entire local government area within Mulgrave should the district of Hill not be retained. The Commission agreed that approach was desirable and appropriate, but was unable to accommodate the whole local government area due to the need to maintain enrolment quota. However, the Commission decided to include the eastern areas including Atherton and Tolga which have a close connection to Cairns and the coast.

The district's northern boundary has been updated to transfer Bayview Heights, Woree and a portion of White Rock to Cairns. Submissions including S-095, S-101 and S-114 suggested the transfer of parts of Bayview Heights and Woree to Cairns. These areas have a clear community of interest and are well connected to central Cairns, and the proposed change has enabled the inclusion of the eastern Tablelands areas in the district.

Enrolment in the proposed Mulgrave is projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.



## Barron River

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	41,999 (+4.51%)	44,984 (+0.68%)
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	42,410 (+5.53%)	45,457 (+1.74%)
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in the district to Cook, being Kuranda, Speewah, Mona Mona and Koah.	
<b>Gains</b>	Gains Brinsmead and Aeroglen from Cairns.	
<b>Composition</b>	The northern bulk of the Cairns local government area including Machans Beach, Stratford, Brinsmead, Redlynch, the northern portion of Lamb Range, and all localities extending north to the boundary with Douglas local government area.	

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to Barron River to contract the district toward the coast, aligning the western boundary of the district to the local government area boundary with Mareeba Shire and transferring Kuranda and its surrounds to Cook. The Commission also proposes that the district gains Brinsmead and Aeroglen from Cairns. The proposed approach is similar to the suggestion in S-095.

The proposed changes improve the balance of enrolment between the 3 districts centred on Cairns, and focus Barron River on the coastal suburbs north of the city. The proposed district is slightly above the average State enrolment, projected to decline toward the average by 2032.

## ▲ Large districts

### Summary:

The Commission reviewed options for the large districts, including a range of suggestions and differing approaches put forward in whole-of-State submissions. Ultimately, the Commission considered that it was in the overall public interest to retain the existing 4 large districts, noting the significant challenges of regional representation in Queensland which were raised in several public submissions. The districts of Cook and Flinders (formerly Traeger) have reduced in geographical size, while Gregory and Warrego have increased in area only slightly.

The Commission considered sufficient elector population exists to retain these large districts and aimed to limit both the encroachment of these districts into coastal regions, and constrain their geographical expansion to the extent possible. These goals were balanced against the various criteria set out in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

The Commission notes the large geographical size of these districts means several separate communities of interest may exist within each district. The Commission considered transit corridors within the districts, noting the important role of transport in supporting interconnected communities.

The 3 districts of Warrego, Gregory and Flinders (formerly Traeger) remain broadly aligned to the existing east-west axis, mirroring the major highways following the same orientation through each district. The Commission noted submissions from the Burke and Etheridge Shire Councils (CS-457 and CS-463) supporting the retention of an east-west alignment for Flinders, uniting the local government areas of the Gulf region which are connected via the Savannah Way corridor to the Tablelands.

The Commission notes that retaining these districts while minimising significant increases to their geographical size has been possible due to the reallocation of enrolment from the former district of Hill. In view of current demographic trends and low enrolment projections for these districts, the composition of large districts is expected to remain a challenge for future Commissions.

There are 4 large districts:

**Cook**

**Gregory**

**Flinders (formerly Traeger)**

**Warrego**

## Cook

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	40,802 (+1.53%)	43,372 (-2.93%)	196,835
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	43,017 (+7.04%)	45,692 (+2.27%)	188,870
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the southern portion of Mareeba local government area to Flinders (formerly Traeger).		
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in Barron River, being Kuranda, Speewah, Mona Mona and Koah. Gains the balance of Yagoonya and Maramie from Flinders (formerly Traeger).		
<b>Composition</b>	The Douglas local government area, the northern bulk of the Mareeba local government area, the northern portion of the Carpentaria local government area and all territory situated north of these regions. Includes the Cook, Aurukun, Hope Vale, Kowanyama, Lockhart River, Mapoon, Napranum, Northern Peninsula Area, Pormpuraaw, Torres Shire, Torres Strait Island, and Wujal Wujal local government areas.		

\*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to the district of Cook, exchanging some sparsely populated territory around its southern border with Flinders (formerly Traeger), and gaining the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in Barron River, including Kuranda and its surrounds. The Commission was persuaded by the recommendation in S-105 (KAP) that the district should remain focussed on Cape York to ensure a socially and economically cohesive electorate.

The proposed changes increase enrolment in Cook while slightly reducing its area, reducing the number of notional electors added to the district's enrolment via the "additional large district number".

The proposed district is toward the higher end of the enrolment threshold but remains in quota, and is projected to decline toward the average enrolment in the coming years.

## Flinders (formerly Traeger)

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	36,105 (-10.16%)	36,916 (-17.38%)	428,911
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	39,292 (-2.23%)	40,355 (-9.68%)	395,770
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the bulk of the Charters Towers local government area to Burdekin.		
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the western bulk of the current Hill district, including Malanda, Millaa Millaa, Ravenshoe and the territory to their west. Gains the southern portion of Mareeba local government area from Cook and Hill.		
<b>Composition</b>	The Mount Isa, Burke, Doomadgee, Mornington, Cloncurry, McKinlay, Croydon, Etheridge, Richmond and Flinders local government areas, in addition to portions of Carpentaria, Tablelands, Mareeba and Charters Towers local government areas.		

\*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The district of Traeger was below the minimum enrolment threshold at the commencement of this redistribution and bounded by other low enrolment districts, meaning the Commission was required to consider changes.

The Commission proposes **significant changes** to this district. The proposed approach retains the existing east-west alignment, adding the western bulk of the former Hill district and the southern portion of the Mareeba local government area from Cook. These additions enable the bulk of the Charters Towers local government area to be transferred to Burdekin, improving enrolment in both districts.

The proposed changes reduce the geographical area of the district by 7.7%, thereby reducing the number of notional electors added to the district's enrolment via the "additional large district number". The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment, and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Flinders for the highway connecting several centres through the district.

## Gregory

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	35,498 (-11.67%)	35,926 (-19.59%)	459,680
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,324 (+0.34%)	40,672 (-8.97%)	481,217
<b>Losses</b>	Loses the southern portion of the Blackall Tambo local government area, including the town of Tambo, to Warrego.		
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the western portion of the Isaac local government area from Burdekin.		
<b>Composition</b>	The Boulia, Diamantina, Winton, Barcoo, Longreach, Barcaldine, Central Highlands and Woorabinda local government areas, and portions of the Isaac and Blackall Tambo local government areas.		

\*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The district of Gregory is currently below the minimum enrolment threshold, meaning the Commission is required to make changes to bring the district back into quota.

The Commission proposes **moderate changes** to this district. The proposed changes add the western portion of the Isaac local government area, currently in Burdekin, and transfer the southern portion of the Blackall Tambo local government area to Warrego. These changes serve to rebalance the number of electors between the large districts, retain the existing boundaries to the extent practicable, and limit the geographical expansion of the district. Submissions including S-078, S-101, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-115 (ALP) suggested Gregory expand into the Isaac local government area to various extents.

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment, and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

## Warrego

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )
<b>Existing boundaries</b>	38,597 (-3.96%)	38,725 (-13.33%)	337,811
<b>Proposed boundaries</b>	40,961 (+1.92%)	41,109 (-7.99%)	351,296
<b>Losses</b>	No losses.		
<b>Gains</b>	Gains the eastern portion of the Western Downs local government area including the towns of Jandowae and Bell from Callide. Gains the southern portion of Blackall Tambo local government area, including the town of Tambo, from Gregory.		
<b>Composition</b>	The Bulloo, Quilpie, Paroo, Murweh, Maranoa and Balonne local government areas, and portions of the Western Downs, Blackall Tambo, and Toowoomba local government areas.		

\*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district of Warrego, with two areas of territory added to the district to improve projected enrolment.

A portion of the Western Downs local government area, including Jandowae and Bell is proposed to be transferred to Warrego from Callide. Submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-114 suggested these towns be included in Warrego. These areas are well connected and share a community of interest with Dalby. The southern portion of Blackall Tambo local government area, including the town of Tambo is also proposed to be transferred from Gregory.

The proposed changes serve to balance the number of electors between Warrego and Gregory, retain the existing boundaries to the extent possible, and limit the geographical expansion of the district.

The proposed district is slightly below the average State enrolment, and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

# Part 3 – Objections against the proposed redistribution

The electoral redistribution includes 2 further stages of community consultation as required by the *Electoral Act*.

- **Stage 3 – Invite objections against the proposed redistribution.** Objections will be open for a period of 30 days. All properly made objections will be made available for public inspection (without fee) through publication on the Commission’s website and at the office of the Electoral Commission of Queensland.
- **Stage 4 – Invite comments on the objections.** After the publication of objections, comments on the objections will be invited for a period of 10 days. All properly made comments on objections will be made available for public inspection (without fee) through publication on the Commission’s website and at the office of the Electoral Commission of Queensland.

In accordance with sections 47 and 48 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission has made its proposed redistribution available for public inspection (without fee) on the Commission’s website, at the office of the Electoral Commission of Queensland and at electorate offices, and invites objections against the proposed redistribution.

Objections and comments can be made:

- Online: [ecq.qld.gov.au/QRCconsultation](https://ecq.qld.gov.au/QRCconsultation)
- Email: [QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au](mailto:QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au)
- Post: Queensland Redistribution Commission, GPO Box 1393, Brisbane, QLD, 4001

Objections and comments must be received no later than 12 midnight AEST on the final day of the consultation period. In accordance with the *Electoral Act*, all submissions will be published on the Commission’s website, including the name and organisation (if applicable) of the submitter.

For more information about the redistribution and making a submission, please refer to the submission guidelines published on the Commission’s website.

# Glossary

Term/acronym	Definition
<i>Electoral Act</i>	The <i>Electoral Act 1992</i> is Queensland's governing electoral legislation which provides the framework for the conduct of State electoral redistributions.
Commission or QRC	The Queensland Redistribution Commission is the independent body established under the <i>Electoral Act</i> to undertake periodic redistributions of Queensland's electoral boundaries.
Commissioners	<p>The members who collectively comprise the Queensland Redistribution Commission under section 6 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>: the chairperson (a judge or former judge who had been a judge for at least 3 years) and the chief executive of a department or equivalent (the non-judicial member), both appointed by the Governor in Council, and the Electoral Commissioner (ex-officio member).</p> <p>Under section 8 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>, when performing functions under part 3 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>, the commission is known as the Queensland Redistribution Commission.</p>
Redistribution	A State electoral redistribution is the process of redrawing the boundaries of electoral districts, considering changes in enrolment and other factors to ensure equal representation. Triggers for redistributions are set out in the <i>Electoral Act</i> .
ECQ	The Electoral Commission of Queensland is an independent statutory authority established under the <i>Electoral Act</i> to conduct and regulate State and local government elections in Queensland. The ECQ provides administrative support for the QRC in conducting State electoral redistributions.
Electoral district (or electorate)	<p>Electoral districts (also known as electorates) are the geographic areas defined and named through State electoral redistributions. Each electoral district is represented by a Member of Parliament.</p> <p>Under the <i>Constitution of Queensland 2001</i> and the <i>Electoral Act</i>, there are 93 Members of the Parliament and corresponding electoral districts.</p> <p>The current electoral boundaries came into effect at the 2017 State general election and were used to elect Members of the Queensland Parliament at the State general elections held in November 2017, October 2020 and October 2024.</p>

Term/acronym	Definition
Quota	<p>When undertaking a redistribution, the <i>Electoral Act</i> requires the Commission to ensure that enrolment numbers for all electorates fall within a specified range of the average State enrolment, known as the “quota”.</p> <p>The quota is calculated by dividing the total number of enrolled electors in the State by the number of electoral districts (93) to determine the average State enrolment. The Commission must ensure that enrolment for all individual electorates is within a maximum range of 10% above or below the average to remain within quota.</p> <p>Special provision is made for large electoral districts with an area of 100,000 km<sup>2</sup> or more.</p>
Large districts	<p>Large districts are electoral districts which have a geographical area of 100,000 km<sup>2</sup> or more and have an “additional large district number” applied to their enrolment when calculating quota.</p> <p>In Queensland, there are currently 4 large districts: Cook, Gregory, Traeger and Warrego.</p>
Additional large district number	<p>The “additional large district number” forms part of the legislative formula applied under section 45 of the <i>Electoral Act</i> to calculate quota for large electoral districts.</p> <p>A number, equivalent to 2% of the number of km<sup>2</sup> in the district, is added to the actual enrolment for large districts.</p> <p>The total “weighted enrolment” is used for the purposes of calculating quota for large districts.</p>
Queensland Government Statistician’s Office or QGSO	<p>Queensland Government Statistician’s Office, located in Queensland Treasury, is the Queensland Government’s lead statistical agency, providing statistical and demographic research services for government.</p>

# Appendix 1 - Statutory notices

[617]



## Queensland Government Gazette

**GENERAL**

**PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY**

ISSN 0155-9370

---

---

**VOL. 398]**

**THURSDAY 24 APRIL 2025**

**[No. 79**

---

---

*Electoral Act 1992*

### **NOTICE OF QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION**

The *Electoral Act 1992* establishes the Queensland Redistribution Commission to undertake periodic redistributions of the boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 35(3) of the *Electoral Act*, this notice advises that an electoral redistribution is required and of the membership of the Queensland Redistribution Commission.

The need for a redistribution has arisen under section 38(1)(b) of the *Electoral Act*, due to the passage of 7.5 years since the previous redistribution became final.

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is constituted by the following members in accordance with section 6 of the *Electoral Act*:

- Mr Gregory Koppenol, retired Judge of the District Court (chairperson)
- Mr Patrick Vidgen, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland, and
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning.

Further information about the redistribution is available at [www.ecq.qld.gov.au/redistribution](http://www.ecq.qld.gov.au/redistribution).

Gregory Koppenol  
Chairperson  
Queensland Redistribution Commission

---

[517]



# Queensland Government Gazette

**EXTRAORDINARY**

**PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY**

ISSN 0155-9370

**VOL. 399]**

**MONDAY 7 JULY 2025**

**[No. 55**

*Electoral Act 1992*

## **QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION INVITATION FOR SUGGESTIONS**

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is undertaking a redistribution of the electoral boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act 1992*, the Commission invites written suggestions from all interested persons and bodies, relating to the boundaries or names of electoral districts.

Suggestions can be lodged for a period of 30 days and must be received by the Commission by Wednesday, 6 August 2025.

Suggestions must be in writing and can be lodged by:

- Online submission: **[redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au](http://redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au)**
- Email: **[QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au](mailto:QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au)**
- Mail: Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4001

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission is required to make available for public inspection all suggestions received during the consultation period. The Commission has released guidelines on making a submission, including how submitters' personal information will be managed when submissions are published.

In preparing its proposed redistribution, section 45 of the *Electoral Act* requires the Commission to ensure electoral districts remain within specified enrolment limits. Further information about current and projected enrolment, factors that must be considered by the Commission and the redistribution process is outlined in the discussion paper released to support the invitation for suggestions.

Submitters are encouraged to review the discussion paper, submission guidelines and enrolment statistics available at **[www.redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au](http://www.redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au)** when making a submission.

Gregory J. Koppenol  
Chairperson  
Queensland Redistribution Commission

© The State of Queensland 2025

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to:  
Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE  
7 July 2025

[1]



# Queensland Government Gazette

**EXTRAORDINARY**

**PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY**

ISSN 0155-9370

**VOL. 400]**

**MONDAY 1 SEPTEMBER 2025**

**[No. 1**

*Electoral Act 1992*

**QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION  
INVITATION FOR COMMENTS ON SUGGESTIONS**

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is undertaking a redistribution of the electoral boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act 1992*, a notice was published in the Queensland Government Gazette on 7 July 2025 inviting suggestions relating to the redistribution for a period of 30 days until 6 August 2025. Advertisements were also placed in print and online newspapers circulating throughout the State from 8 July 2025.

All suggestions properly made have been published on the Commission's website and can be viewed at the Commission's office.

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission now invites comments on the suggestions. Comments can be lodged for a period of 21 days and must be received by the Commission by **Monday, 22 September 2025**.

Comments must be made in writing and can be lodged by:

- Online submission: **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Email: **QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Mail: Queensland Redistribution Commission  
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4001

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission is required to make available for public inspection all comments received during the consultation period. The Commission has released guidelines on making a submission, including how submitters' personal information will be managed when submissions are published. All comments will be available for public inspection as soon as practicable after the closing date for submissions.

In preparing its proposed redistribution, section 45 of the Electoral Act requires the Commission to ensure electoral districts remain within specified enrolment limits. Further information about current and projected enrolment, factors that must be considered by the Commission and the redistribution process is available on the Commission's website.

Submitters are encouraged to review the suggestions about the redistribution, submission guidelines and enrolment statistics available at **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au** when making a submission.

Gregory J. Koppel  
Chairperson  
Queensland Redistribution Commission

© The State of Queensland 2025

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to:  
Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE  
1 September 2025

# Appendix 2 - Submissions

## List of suggestions

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-001	Michael McNeilly	Boundaries	Cook; Traeger
S-002	Kayleen Guascoine	Boundaries	Callide
S-003	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>		
S-004	Stewart Evans	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-005	Shane Gibson	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-006	Deborah Walters	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-007	Richmond Walters	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-008	Jemma Manwaring	Boundaries	Callide
S-009	Gregory Brown	Boundaries	Callide
S-010	Nicholas Adnum	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-011	Helen Watson	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-012	Kerith Roby	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-013	Matthew Norton	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba South
S-014 #	Caleb Brook	Boundaries	Aspley; Bancroft; Broadwater; Bundaberg; Caloundra; Capalaba; Chatsworth; Clayfield; Coomera; Cooper; Ferny Grove; Gaven; Greenslopes; Hervey Bay; Kurwongbah; Logan; Macalister; Mansfield; McConnel; Miller; Moggill; Mount Ommaney; Murrumba; Nudgee; Oodgeroo; Pine Rivers; Redcliffe; Redlands; Rockhampton; Sandgate; South Brisbane; Springwood; Toohay
S-015	<i>Consolidated with S-014</i>		
S-016	Sven Lotzvie	Names	McConnel
S-017	Mitchell Trimmer-Rowan	Boundaries	Gympie; Hervey Bay; Maryborough
S-018	Steven Macklin	Boundaries	Murrumba; Redcliffe
S-019 #	Nether Portal @ The Tally Room	Boundaries and Names	All
S-020	Rowanne McKenzie	Boundaries	Redlands
S-021	Rikki Gee	Boundaries	Glass House
S-022	Anthony Bellion	Names	All
S-023	Dieter Hitchins	Boundaries	Condamine
S-024	Suzanne Miles	Boundaries	Springwood
S-025	Tim Winter	Boundaries	Springwood
S-026	Emma Gibson	Boundaries	Redlands
S-027	Heath Low	Boundaries	Springwood
S-028	Erica Harris	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood
S-029	Jodie Walshe	Boundaries	Springwood

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-030	Simon Cole	Boundaries	Clayfield; Inala; Jordan; Logan; McConnell; South Brisbane; Stretton; Toohey
S-031	John Talty	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood
S-032	Chris Cox	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill
S-033	Andrew McLean	Boundaries	Glass House
S-034	Zachary Wales	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-035	Sheridan Thompson	Boundaries and Names	Callide; Gladstone
S-036	Patricia Bunting	Boundaries and Names	All; Callide
S-037	Julie West	Boundaries and Names	Burnett; Gladstone
S-038	Sherri Sanders	Boundaries	Callide
S-039	Priscilla Lillyman	Boundaries	Callide
S-040	Dr Paul Inglis	Boundaries	Pine Rivers
S-041	Tian Yi Jordan Dai	Boundaries and Names	Algester; Inala; Miller; Mount Ommaney; Springwood; Stretton; Toohey; Woodridge
S-042	Arush Saraswat	Boundaries	Aspley; Everton
S-043	Adam Bailey	Boundaries and Names	Mount Ommaney
S-044	Aurira Daniels	Boundaries	Noosa
S-045	Diana Morrison	Boundaries	Noosa
S-046	Sally Devlin	Boundaries	Noosa
S-047	David Nelson	Boundaries	Noosa
S-048	Darcy Ringland	Boundaries	Maroochydore
S-049	Lidewij Koene-Sloss Western Downs Regional Council	Boundaries	All
S-050	Rosemary Baker	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa
S-051	Claudia Gaber	Boundaries	Noosa
S-052	Aaron Chambers Sante Medical Services Pty Ltd trading as Growlife Medical	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
S-053	Julie Ainscough	Boundaries	Noosa
S-054	Joy Ringrose	Boundaries	Noosa
S-055	Leonie Bradbury	Boundaries	Noosa
S-056	Lee McAlister-Smiley White Lies Brewing Company	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-057	Karen Hayes Sherwood Neighbourhood Centre Inc	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
S-058	Cecile Saraiva	Boundaries	Noosa
S-059	Marie Sulda	Boundaries	Noosa
S-060	Kent Burchard Harmonic Futures Pty Ltd	Boundaries	Callide
S-061	Christopher Wolfs	Boundaries	Callide; Gregory; Keppel; Mirani; Rockhampton
S-062	Paul Casbolt	Boundaries	Macalister; Waterford
S-063	Kristie Virgo	Boundaries	Noosa
S-064	Jonathan Anstock	Boundaries	Ninderry
S-00065	Andrew Stewart	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill
S-00066	Belinda Anstock	Boundaries	Ninderry
S-00067	Sandy Bolton MP Member for Noosa	Boundaries	Noosa
S-00068	Dale Knuth	Boundaries	Traeger
S-00069	Mark Nucifora	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-00070 #	William Bates Rexit Australia Inc	Boundaries	All
S-00071	Ray Seres	Boundaries	All
S-00072	Richard Mills	Boundaries	Burleigh; Mermaid Beach
S-00073	Bruce Stephen Stevenson	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa
S-00074	Morrison Lakey	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House; Nicklin
S-00075	Sue Brooks	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
S-00076 #	Mark James Spencer	Boundaries	Coomera; Logan; Scenic Rim
S-00077	Jonathon Pont	Boundaries and Names	All
S-00078	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and Names	All
S-00079	Mark Furner MP Member for Ferny Grove	Boundaries	Ferny Grove
S-00080	Nick Dametto MP Member for Hinchinbrook	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
S-00081	Blair Martin	Boundaries	Cooper
S-00082	Alana McEwen	Boundaries	Caloundra; Kawana
S-00083	Louise Smith	Boundaries	Caloundra

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-00084	Lindsay Brewer	Boundaries	Caloundra; Kawana
S-00085	Meaghan Wheatley	Boundaries and Names	Caloundra
S-00086	Lindsay Elliott	Boundaries	Bundaberg
S-00087	Fiona Baverstock	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-00088	Robert Joubert	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa
S-00089	Luke Robinson	Boundaries and Names	Algerier; Inala; Jordan; Logan; Miller; Scenic Rim; Stretton; Toohey; Woodridge
S-00090 ^#	Robert Richardson	Boundaries and Names	Barron River; Bundaberg; Cairns; Callide; Condamine; Cook; Gladstone; Gregory; Hill; Hinchinbrook; Keppel; Lockyer; Mackay; Mirani; Mulgrave; Mundingburra; Nanango; Rockhampton; Southern Downs; Thuringowa; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South; Townsville; Traeger; Warrego; Whitsunday
S-00091	Janes Madden	Boundaries and Names	Ipswich
S-092	Pierina Dalle Court Burdekin Shire Council	Boundaries and Names	Burdekin
S-093	Brett Walsh Longreach Regional Council	Boundaries	Gregory
S-094	Pamela Beitz	Boundaries and Names	Jordan
S-095	Wayne Window	Boundaries and Names	All
S-096	Graham Page	Boundaries	All
S-097	Paula Ridler	Boundaries	Cooper
S-098	Jessica Harvey	Boundaries	Caloundra
S-099	Brendan Andrews	Boundaries and Names	All
S-100	Jeffrey Waddell	Boundaries and Names	All
S-101	Phillip Pease	Boundaries and Names	All
S-102	Patsy Hannam Babinda Visitor Information Centre Inc	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-103	Tanya Tuttle Babinda District Community Association Inc trading as Babinda Taskforce	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-104	Abraham Gubler	Boundaries	Springwood

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-105	Cameron Parker Katter's Australian Party	Boundaries	All
S-106 #	Tyler Rolls	Boundaries	Maiwar; Stafford
S-107	Lachlan O'Neill	Boundaries	Coomera
S-108	Luke Dixon	Boundaries and Names	All
S-109	Corey Baker	Boundaries and Names	Aspley; Clayfield; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Maiwar; McConnell; Moggill; Nudgee; Sandgate; Stafford
S-110	Ben Riley Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and Names	All
S-111	Melanie Baverstock	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-112	Gemmia Burden Queensland Greens	Boundaries and Names	Alger; Aspley; Bulimba; Bundamba; Capalaba; Chatsworth; Clayfield; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Greenslopes; Inala; Ipswich; Jordan; Logan; Lytton; Macalister; Maiwar; Mansfield; McConnell; Miller; Moggill; Mount Ommaney; Nudgee; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Sandgate; South Brisbane; Springwood; Stafford; Toohey; Waterford; Woodridge
S-113	Sarah Vogler Local Government Association of Queensland	Boundaries	All
S-114	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and Names	All
S-115	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries	All
S-116	Alicia Ruhl	Boundaries	Cook; Gregory; Traeger; Warrego
S-117	Mark Yore	Boundaries and Names	All
S-118 ^	Liz Schmidt Charters Towers Regional Council	Boundaries	Traeger

\* *Electoral districts and topics are as identified by submitters, or by the Commission where the submission was received by email or letter. May not be exhaustive of all matters raised in the submission.*

# *Includes multiple submissions consolidated under one Submission ID.*

^ *Submission or partial submission received after the deadline of 6 August 2025 accepted by the Commission*

# List of comments on suggestions

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-001	Rudolf Braasch	Boundaries and names	Ipswich West; Maiwar; Maryborough; Moggill	S-110
CS-002	Dr Paul Inglis	Boundaries	Glass House	
CS-003	John Hartley	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	S-044, S-045, S-046, S-047, S-050, S-051, S-053, S-054, S-055, S-058, S-059, S-063, S-067, S-073
CS-004	Bruce Stephen Stevenson	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	
CS-005	John Talty	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood	
CS-006	Sue Bond	Boundaries and names	Cooper; Ferny Grove	S-110, S-079
CS-007	Graeme Orr	Names	All	S-110
CS-008	Don Brown	Boundaries	Capalaba; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	
CS-009	Ken Wilson	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-010	Erica Harris	Boundaries	Springwood	
CS-011	Alicia Hyland	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-012	Cr Joel Richters Southern Downs Regional Council	Names	Southern Downs	
CS-013	Robert Koch	Boundaries and names	Hinchinbrook	
CS-014	Paul Welsh	Boundaries	All	
CS-015	Mathew Henley	Boundaries	Hill; Traeger	
CS-016	Mr Robert Jewell	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-017	Luke Petersen	Boundaries	All	
CS-018	Rhyce Bullimore	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-019	Susan Taig	Names	Hill	
CS-020	Alex Brown	Boundaries	All	S-110
CS-021	Paul Teichert	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-022	Nicholas Pinna	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-023	Chris Abbruzzese	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-024	Allen Parlby	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CS-025	William Hampton	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-026	Nick Elze	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-027	Rhys Bosley	Boundaries	All	
CS-028	Sheilah Carr	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-029	Chris Baker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-030	William English	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-031	Denison Hilla	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-032	Grant Russell	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-033	Ray Seres	Boundaries and names	All; Barron River; Broadwater; Burdekin; Cairns; Cook; Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mackay; Mirani; Mulgrave; Mundingburra; Rockhampton; Thuringowa; Townsville; Traeger; Whitsunday	
CS-034	Mark McConnell	Names	Ipswich West	
CS-035	Paul Hefferan	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-036	Matthew Lenihan	Boundaries	Redcliffe	
CS-037	Darryl Pedersen	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-038	Ben Gold	Boundaries and names	Cooper; Maiwar; Moggill; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	
CS-039	John Auger	Boundaries	Cairns	
CS-040	John O'Neil	Boundaries	Mundingburra; Thuringowa; Townsville	
CS-041	Lyn Groth	Boundaries	All	
CS-042	Joanne Vonhoff	Boundaries	Currumbin	
CS-043	Deborah Pergolotti	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-044	Peter Dendy	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-045	Peter Garcia	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-046	Debbie McMillan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-047	Peter Pulbrook	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-048	Adrian Smith	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-049	Andrew Miller	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-050	Christopher Walker	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-051	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-052	Laurie Hoffman	Boundaries	Noosa	S-050, S-054, S-059, S-067
CS-053	Alan Lander	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-054	Luke Barrowcliffe	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-055	Leslie Rooke	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-056	Gerda Rooke	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-057	Janette Fitzgerald	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-058	Donna Bowering	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-059	Govinda Lange	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-060	Kellyn Yates	Boundaries	All	
CS-061	Martin Turner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-062	Shaun Walsh	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-063	Jeremy Wilson	Names	Nicklin	
CS-064	Patricia Radge	Boundaries	Noosa	S-050
CS-065	Cr Jason Colley	Boundaries	Capalaba; Redlands; Springwood	S-024, S-031, S-104
CS-066	Anne Darben	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-067	John Prescott	Names	Stretton	
CS-068	Robert Holden	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-069	Cr Liz Schmidt Charters Towers Regional Council	Boundaries	Traeger	S-077, S-095, S-100, S-101, S-108, S-110, S-114
CS-070	Simon Klein	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-071	Daniel Grono	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-072	Patrick Coleman	Boundaries	All	
CS-073	Danielle Wright	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-074	Hamish McDowall	Boundaries	Bancroft; Caloundra; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Glass House; Ipswich; Ipswich West; Kurwongbah; Maiwar; Moggill; Morayfield; Murrumba; Pine Rivers; Pumicestone	S-110
CS-075	Dianne Evans	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-076	Peter Storer	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-077	Eli Thurtel	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-078	Sherryn Beidham Strathpine Community Kindergarten	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-079	Courtney Robinson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-080	Cali Court	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-081	Steve Parry	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-082	Nerida Kingsford	Names	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-083	Vanessa Hayward	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-084	Susan Hunter	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-085	Brett Lyons	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-086	Laura Falla	Boundaries	Condamine; Nanango	
CS-087	Ann-Maree Kuhn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-088	Henry McMaster	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-089	John Sybenga	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-090	Barbara Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-091	Iris Irwin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-092	David Melandri	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-093	Bill Moroney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-094	Tatiana Moroney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-095	Cassandra Fraser	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-096	Col Askin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-097	Melinda Buckley	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-098	Caroline Vermaak	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-099	Peter Fisher	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-100	Michael Coverdale	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-101	Michael Knight	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-102	Julie Campbell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-103	Sarah Bishop	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North	
CS-104	Lynne Bennett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-105	Mark Bennett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-106	Keryll Ehrlich	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-107	Mary-Anne Marrington	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-108	Anne Hogan	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-109	Jesse Jones	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-110	Janis Trigg	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-111	Bronwyn Armitage	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-112	David Riwoe	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-113	Chris Protheroe	Boundaries	Condamine; Nanango; Toowoomba North	S-110, S-115
CS-114	Terence Agostinelli	Boundaries	Southern Downs; Toowoomba North	
CS-115	Kathleen Gerchow	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-116	Rhiannon-Lea Edwards	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-117	Troy Barnes	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-118	Malcolm Neilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-119	Robin Ramage	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-120	Michelle Ford	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-121	Wilhelmus Brakels	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-122	Jennifer Young	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-123	Mark Molachino	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	
CS-124	Chris Winter	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-125	Richard Barrett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-126	Melissa Doran	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-127	Susan Howe	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-128	Adam Zahra	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-129	Daniel Howe	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-130	Nancy Sommerfield	Boundaries	All	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-131	Jeff Thomas	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-132	Jason Krienke	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-133	Sue McElhinney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-134	Eleanor Burns	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-135	Todd Evans	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-136	Janet Brewer	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	
CS-137	Julianna Neilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-138	Katrina Lloyd	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-139	Mark Bousfield	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-140	Johan Hoffman	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-141	Stacey Kelso-smith	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-142	Mary Bell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-143	Michael Robinson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-144	Chris Thompson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-145	Carla Burkitt	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	
CS-146	Barbara Penberthy	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-147	John Franklin	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-148	Darryl Rice	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-149	Reginald Rubie	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-150	Karly Merker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-151	Lorelie Javier	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-152	Ricardo Javier	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-153	Nicholas Porta	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-154	Cheryl Bodimeade	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-155	Leonard Bodimeade	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-156	Brenda Kelly	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-157	Anne Chidgey	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-158	Steven Paull	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-159	Marie Green	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-160	Nadine Wynn	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-161	Arthur Hallam	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-162	Maureen Hawkins	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-163	Kymerley Evans	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-164	Cynthia McBride	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-165	Michael Csallo	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-166	Gail Wockner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-167	Lyn Collin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-168	Tim O'Brien	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-169	Karen Murdoch	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-170	Robyn Jeffery	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-171	Richard Brown All Doors	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-172	David Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-173	N V	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-174	Jan Granfield	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-175	Steve Chaney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-176	Linda Barrett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-177	Kimberley Reeve	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-178	Michelle Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-179	Barbara Robbie	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-180	John Wilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-181	Mirella Scotney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-182	Kathryn Christofis	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-183	Tara Mitchell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-184	Luke Henderson	Boundaries	Mermaid Beach	
CS-185	Vicki Travers	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-186	Peter and Judy Graham	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-187	Laura and Kelvin Falla	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-188	Daniel Seymour	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-189	Russell Callander	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-190	Joe Korts	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-191	Jeff Waddell	Boundaries and names	All	Multiple
CS-192	Stephen Tate	Boundaries	Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	
CS-193	Adam Burling	Boundaries	Callide	S-002, S-004, S-005, S-006, S-007, S-010, S-011, S-012
CS-194	Laurence Choate	Boundaries and names	All	S-001, S-049, S-068, S-069, S-077, S-078, S-087, S-090, S-095, S-100, S-101, S-102, S-103, S-105, S-110, S-111, S-112, S-114, S-115, S-116, S-117
CS-195	Jennifer Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-196	Kathryn Klan	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-197	Kathleen Bizzell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-198	Matt Norton	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-199	Roslyn J Skerman	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-200	David Stone	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-201	Gayle Stone	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-202	Pemay Johnsen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-203	Stephen Kolera	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-204	Denise Kolera	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-205	Donna Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-206	Thelma Pedersen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-207	Brent Williamson	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-208	Mavis Cooper	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-209	Catherine Mitchell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-210	Tadhg Sheehan	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-110, S-115
CS-211	David Meiklejohn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-212	Ian Matheson	Boundaries	All	
CS-213	Jenny Clement	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-214	Craig Dawson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-215	Derek Story	Boundaries	Maroochydore; Ninderry	S-088, S-115
CS-216	Heather Esplin	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	S-110
CS-217	Pat Shannon Sunshine Coast Alliance Inc	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa	
CS-218	Richard Raabe	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-219	Mark Morwood	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill	
CS-220	Lynette Richards	Names	Stretton	S-110
CS-221	Ryan Nunn	Names	Stretton	S-110
CS-222	Kathy	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-223	Shane Knuth MP Member for Hill	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-224	Beryl Finn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-225	Paul Finn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-226	Curtis Perri	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-227	Reid Ralph-Mason	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-228	Eleanor Smith	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-229	Timothy O'Sullivan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-230	Ciaran Mac Cana	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-231	Julie Rae	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-232	Amanda Wager	Boundaries	Burnett	
CS-233	Wayne Bruce	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-234	Stephen Godfrey	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-235	Chantel Abbott	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-236	Brian and Daisy Raue	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-237	Roxanne Kennedy	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110
CS-238	Michele Freeman	Boundaries	Callide	
CS-239	G.J. and J.A. Tait	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-240	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-241	Mark Barnes	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-242	Natalie Brown	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-243	Julie Gorring	Boundaries	Callide	
CS-244	Tracey Cruickshank	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-245	Johanna Clegg	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-246	Nicholas Crowther	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-247	Michelle Lyons	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-248	Yvonne Allison	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-249	Jane Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-250	Karl Ferrero	Boundaries and names	Hinchinbrook	
CS-251	Blair Bonato	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-252	Jeffery Gall	Boundaries	All	
CS-253	Anne Rudder	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-254	Michael Myer	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-255	Kirsty Turmine	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-256	Joanna Brett	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-257	Julene Verkooijen	Boundaries	Thuringowa	
CS-258	Neville Burton	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-259	Scott Ferdinands	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-260	Anne McManus	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-261	Vanessa Spotswood	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-262	Marie Kiley	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-263	Yasmin Mcquade	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-264	Katherine Jansz	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-265	Rhonda Sutton	Boundaries	Bundaberg; Gladstone	
CS-266	David Grogan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-267	Peter Markham	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-268	Jonathan Hamilton	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-269	Kylie Mcconnell	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-270	Coral Keogh	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-271	Abraham Gubler	Boundaries	Springwood	S-110, S-112, S-115
CS-272	Brendan Bolton	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-273	Jeff Becker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-274	Tania Bishop	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-275	Jamie Mellen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-276	Gidyea Venner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-277	James Woodger	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-278	Mark Walder	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-279	Angela Church	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-280	Narelle Nolan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-281	Jeffrey Richard Adrian Eacersall	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-282	Ken Dyer	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House; Gympie; Kawana; Maroochydore; Morayfield; Nanango; Nicklin; Ninderry; Noosa; Pine Rivers; Pumicestone	
CS-283	Terrence Heinemann	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-284	Teresa Millwood	Boundaries and names	Hill; Mulgrave	
CS-285	Sue Kaarilaakso	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-286	Wendy Barnes	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-287	Nikki Boyd MP Member for Pine Rivers	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-040, S-110
CS-288	David Brothers	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-289	Rebecca Leeson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-290	Craig Bellchambers	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	
CS-291	Belinda Booth	Boundaries	Maiwar	
CS-292	Mark Norvall NorAg Consulting	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-293	Sundaram Krishnan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-294	Benjamin Jackman	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-295	Karen Lindsey	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-296	Tamara Conradi	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-297	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-298	Natasha Moore	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-299	Kat Griffin	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-300	Thomas Nightingale	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-301	Ian Dickson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-302	Stephanie Barber	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-303	Richard Hagen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-304	Halle Peltohaka	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-305	Noel Jackson	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-306	Daniel Frank	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-307	Michael O'Shea	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-308	Sue McLean	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-309	Jason Davidson	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-310	Jess Walker	Boundaries and names	Cairns	
CS-311	Raymond Perkes	Boundaries	Cairns; Cook; Hill	
CS-312	Bruce Morris	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-313	Shendell Williams	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-314	John Ross	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-315	Dawn Hills	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-316	Gus Grobler	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-317	Esther McLaren	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-318	Helen Walsh	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-319	Julie Charles	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-320	Kevin Oliver	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-321	Jamie Cannon	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-322	Barry Fisher	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-323	Ashlea Hepburn	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-324	Jodie Shaw	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-325	Andrea Pricd	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-326	Duncan Wood	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-327	Lynn Jahnke	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-328	Ron Elms	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-329	Kirsten Ivoevac	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-330	Jenny Burgess	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-331	Richard Giuliani	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-332	Deborah Moore	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-333	Joshua Symes	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-334	Diana Winters	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-335	Isioma Meme	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-336	Sharryn Gilmour	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-337	Gary White	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-338	Julie Johnson	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-339	Michael Spartalis	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-340	Bernadette Nielsen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-341	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-342	Iris Lambert	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-343	Heather Johnson	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-344	Makayla Bell	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-345	Kurt Jensen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-346	Steve Grist	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-347	Renata Egan	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-348	Mitch Waters	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-349	Jodie Logli	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-350	Helen Lum	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-351	Sandra Fleming	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-352	Stephen Poyser	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-353	Emma Thirkell	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-354	Ashleigh Hanlon	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-355	Paul McBurney	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-356	Bradley Wah	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-357	Heidi Chapman	Boundaries and names	Ferny Grove	
CS-358	Kieren Marr	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-359	Sherril-Lea Wallace	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-360	Jessica Spencer	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-361	Daniel Spencer	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-362	Garry Nichols	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-363	Geordie Bierach	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-364	Riley Kernaghan	Boundaries and names	Algerster; Logan; Woodridge	S-112
CS-365	Donna Turner	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-366	Robyn Davies	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-367	Denise McGucken	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-368	Terry Merker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-369	Amanda Fitzgerald	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-370	Karen Margaret Plant	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-371	Joel Cooper	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House	
CS-372	Kylie Gascoigne	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-373	Zach White	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-374	Adrian Waters	Names	All; Everton	
CS-375	Ash Phayer	Boundaries	Ipswich; Ipswich West; Moggill	S-110
CS-376	Shannon Reynolds	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-377	Alan Johnson	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-378	Melody Spidy	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-379	Louise Knol Winton Shire Council	Boundaries	Gregory	S-093
CS-380	Robert Woods	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-381	Stacey Taylor Hewitt	Boundaries	All; Pine Rivers	
CS-382	Robert Nielsen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-383	Roxanne Bewick	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-384	Ann Pavett	Names	McConnel	
CS-385	Rodney Ferdinands	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-386	Janet Ferdinands	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-387	Craig Wright	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-388	Mary Thorpe	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-389	Leigh Davis	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-390	Ross MacLeod	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-391	Brigitte Daley	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-392	Kim Ottone	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-393	Luke Wilcox	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-394	Duane Bakker	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-395	Mitchell Humphries	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-396	Jason Dawson	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-397	Julie Hanrahan	Boundaries and names	Caloundra; Glass House; Kawana	S-019, S-033, S-110
CS-398	Andrea Bailey	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-399	Leichelle McMahon Pulse Vet Warner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-400	Joanne Stevens	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-401	Catherine Hickey	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-402	Kerry Shine	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-110, S-117
CS-403	Bernessa Walsh	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-404	Robin Mesotten	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill	
CS-405	Pamela Clearwater	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-406	Zhou Mu	Boundaries	Maiwar	S-110
CS-407	Troy Thompson	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-408	Tamioka Barlow	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-409	Isaac Towers	Boundaries	South Brisbane	S-014, S-100, S-101, S-110, S-112
CS-410	Peter Axelrod	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-411	Avril Brown	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-412	Masepah Banu	Boundaries and names	Miller; Mount Ommaney	
CS-413	Louise Anderson-Clemence	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-414	Linda Seres	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-415	Rebecca White	Boundaries and names	Bulimba; Greenslopes; South Brisbane	
CS-416	Ashley Cupitt AFSM OAM	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-417	Graeme Taylor	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-418	Chloe Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-419	Bradyn Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-420	Shannae Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-421	Janelle Smithurst	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-422	Tabbatha Reed	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-423	Keith Taylor	Boundaries	All	
CS-424	Peter Rudder	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-425	Alison Peachey	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-426	Lincoln and Roxanne Bewick	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-427	Nikola Stepanov Tablelands Regional Council	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-428	Natasha Zabel	Boundaries	Hill	S-001
CS-429	Allyn Zabel	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-430	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-431	Andrew Reeson	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-115
CS-432	Gina Meibusch	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	Multiple
CS-433	Cameron Parker Katter's Australian Party	Boundaries	All	
CS-434	TD Sanderson	Boundaries	All	
CS-435	Phil Peachey	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-436	Peter Murphy	Boundaries	Inala; Jordan	S-110
CS-437	Riky Price	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-438	Andrew Douglas	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-439	Melinda Bailey	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110
CS-440	Ian Jessep	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-441	Marian McCreath	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-442	Max Douglas	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110, S-112
CS-443	Geoffrey White	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-444	Alistair Mylne	Boundaries and names	Burdekin; Hill; Mansfield; Mirani; Stretton; Thuringowa; Toohey	S-110, S-112
CS-445	Benn Harvey-Walker	Boundaries	Miller; Mount Ommaney	S-110, S-112
CS-446	Brandan Holt	Boundaries and names	Mount Ommaney	
CS-447	Gavin Keeley	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	
CS-448	Gemmia Burden Queensland Greens	Boundaries and names	All	Multiple
CS-449	Robyn Davies	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	Multiple
CS-450	Nick Dametto MP Member for Hinchinbrook	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	S-110
CS-451	Roula van der Linde	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-452	Mark Ansell	Boundaries	Jordan	S-110
CS-453	Francis Ireland	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-454	George Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-455	Don Morris	Names	All	
CS-456	Chloe Brockett	Boundaries and names	Greenslopes	
CS-457	Dan McKinlay Burke Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Traeger	
CS-458	Virginia Barbour	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-459	Heidi Holt	Boundaries and names	Bundamba	S-110, S-112
CS-460	Andrew Graffen Cassowary Coast Regional Council	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-461	Gerhardt Pearson Balkanu Cape York Development Corporation	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-462	Penelope White	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-463	Cr Barry Hughes Etheridge Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Traeger	
CS-464	Christine Tainsh Friends of Lake Weyba Inc	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa	
CS-465	Ben Riley Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-466	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries and names	All	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-467	Bill Tainsh	Boundaries	Ninderry	
CS-468	Jason Fernandez	Boundaries and names	Maiwar; Miller; Mount Ommaney; South Brisbane	Multiple
CS-469	Graham Page	Boundaries	All	
CS-470	Rowena Specht-Whyte	Boundaries and names	Everton; Ferny Grove; Stafford	
CS-471	Sandra Perry	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-472	Geoff Glead	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-473	Su Tindall	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-474	Kaitlyn Hutchison	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-475	Rodney Hutcheon	Boundaries	All	
CS-476	Maryannd Tranter	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-477	Chase Porter	Boundaries	Capalaba; Macalister; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	S-010, S-024, S-025, S-026, 2-027, 2-028, S-029, S-031, S-104, S-110, S-112
CS-478	Robyn Evans	Boundaries and names	Greenslopes; Miller; South Brisbane	
CS-479	Tom Lillyman	Boundaries and names	Everton; Ferny Grove; Stafford	
CS-480	Jasper Every	Boundaries	Capalaba; Macalister; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	S-020, S-024, S-025, S-026, S-027, S-028, S-029, S-031, S-104, S-110, S-112
CS-481	Rachael Cruwys	Boundaries	Cook; Gregory; Traeger; Warrego	
CS-482	Chenille Conaghan	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-483	Monika Kulshrestha Deals Colony	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-484	Cr Kelly Vea Vea Isaac Regional Council	Boundaries	Burdekin	
CS-485	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-486	Felicity Bynge	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-487	Mark Yore	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-488	Bob Richardson	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-489	Davidson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-490	Geoff Schleeauf	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-491	Maggie Perry	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-492	Christine Tainsh	Boundaries	Noosa	

# Appendix 3 - Enrolment of existing districts

Current and projected enrolment - current electorates				
Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 <sup>#</sup>	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Algester	41,009	+2.04%	43,750	-2.08%
Aspley	40,527	+0.84%	42,017	-5.96%
Bancroft	41,697	+3.75%	46,871	+4.91%
Barron River	41,999	+4.51%	44,984	+0.68%
Bonney	38,397	-4.46%	49,000	+9.67%
Broadwater	38,994	-2.97%	44,144	-1.20%
Buderim	42,780	+6.45%	50,640	+13.34%
Bulimba	42,103	+4.77%	43,846	-1.86%
Bundaberg	38,010	-5.42%	39,803	-10.91%
Bundamba	47,057	+17.09%	57,644	+29.02%
Burdekin	36,887	-8.21%	39,570	-11.43%
Burleigh	38,258	-4.80%	41,433	-7.27%
Burnett	43,047	+7.11%	45,230	+1.23%
Cairns	39,397	-1.97%	43,229	-3.25%
Callide	36,991	-7.96%	38,463	-13.91%
Caloundra	47,391	+17.92%	64,892	+45.24%
Capalaba	37,951	-5.57%	38,210	-14.48%
Chatsworth	37,015	-7.90%	37,468	-16.14%
Clayfield	42,914	+6.78%	48,705	+9.01%
Condamine	43,216	+7.53%	47,911	+7.23%
Cook*	40,802	+1.53%	43,372	-2.93%
Coomera	55,296	+37.59%	71,013	+58.94%
Cooper	38,712	-3.67%	40,955	-8.34%

## Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 <sup>#</sup>	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Currumbin	38,274	-4.76%	41,024	-8.18%
Everton	40,361	+0.43%	42,649	-4.54%
Ferny Grove	38,437	-4.36%	39,645	-11.27%
Gaven	35,490	-11.69%	37,209	-16.72%
Gladstone	37,661	-6.29%	40,521	-9.31%
Glass House	39,829	-0.89%	53,613	+20.00%
Greenslopes	38,792	-3.47%	42,832	-4.13%
Gregory*	35,498	-11.67%	35,926	-19.59%
Gympie	45,461	+13.12%	48,938	+9.53%
Hervey Bay	45,575	+13.40%	48,342	+8.20%
Hill	42,144	+4.87%	44,497	-0.41%
Hinchinbrook	39,644	-1.35%	47,547	+6.42%
Inala	39,503	-1.70%	41,264	-7.64%
Ipswich	40,853	+1.65%	52,908	+18.42%
Ipswich West	40,416	+0.57%	49,817	+11.50%
Jordan	48,721	+21.23%	65,796	+47.26%
Kawana	40,572	+0.96%	43,517	-2.60%
Keppel	41,165	+2.43%	47,029	+5.26%
Kurwongbah	42,662	+6.16%	50,224	+12.41%
Lockyer	40,414	+0.56%	45,132	+1.01%
Logan	52,004	+29.40%	67,444	+50.95%
Lytton	40,122	-0.16%	42,099	-5.77%
Macalister	42,953	+6.88%	47,572	+6.48%
McConnel	42,427	+5.57%	53,664	+20.11%
Mackay	40,465	+0.69%	42,481	-4.92%
Maiwar	40,763	+1.43%	43,948	-1.64%
Mansfield	36,694	-8.69%	41,586	-6.92%
Maroochydore	38,702	-3.70%	43,258	-3.18%

## Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 <sup>#</sup>	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Maryborough	44,091	+9.71%	47,601	+6.54%
Mermaid Beach	38,541	-4.10%	41,808	-6.43%
Miller	36,721	-8.63%	38,600	-13.61%
Mirani	37,821	-5.89%	40,094	-10.26%
Moggill	36,559	-9.03%	37,015	-17.15%
Morayfield	43,825	+9.05%	54,119	+21.13%
Mount Ommaney	37,122	-7.63%	37,659	-15.71%
Mudgeeraba	41,878	+4.21%	47,085	+5.39%
Mulgrave	40,133	-0.14%	45,921	+2.78%
Mundingburra	35,148	-12.54%	37,854	-15.28%
Murrumba	47,586	+18.41%	56,550	+26.57%
Nanango	41,763	+3.92%	43,623	-2.36%
Nicklin	40,036	-0.38%	44,099	-1.30%
Ninderry	43,970	+9.41%	46,880	+4.93%
Noosa	38,909	-3.18%	40,690	-8.93%
Nudgee	40,583	+0.98%	42,328	-5.26%
Oodgeroo	35,267	-12.24%	37,824	-15.34%
Pine Rivers	41,657	+3.66%	45,774	+2.45%
Pumicestone	43,384	+7.95%	47,881	+7.17%
Redcliffe	42,565	+5.91%	50,004	+11.92%
Redlands	43,602	+8.50%	47,683	+6.72%
Rockhampton	39,228	-2.39%	41,540	-7.03%
Sandgate	39,228	-2.39%	40,142	-10.15%
Scenic Rim	43,090	+7.22%	46,912	+5.00%
South Brisbane	41,746	+3.88%	52,790	+18.15%
Southern Downs	38,983	-3.00%	40,404	-9.57%
Southport	37,353	-7.05%	44,802	+0.28%
Springwood	37,014	-7.90%	37,626	-15.79%

## Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 <sup>#</sup>	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Stafford	41,046	+2.13%	43,601	-2.41%
Stretton	34,688	-13.69%	35,768	-19.94%
Surfers Paradise	38,133	-5.11%	48,400	+8.33%
Theodore	39,284	-2.25%	46,054	+3.08%
Thuringowa	38,861	-3.30%	39,624	-11.31%
Toohey	34,749	-13.53%	36,832	-17.56%
Toowoomba North	41,141	+2.37%	43,810	-1.94%
Toowoomba South	40,178	-0.02%	41,039	-8.15%
Townsville	37,845	-5.83%	39,614	-11.34%
Traeger*	36,105	-10.16%	36,916	-17.38%
Warrego*	38,597	-3.96%	38,725	-13.33%
Waterford	40,578	+0.97%	45,117	+0.98%
Whitsunday	39,337	-2.12%	45,309	+1.41%
Woodridge	41,538	+3.36%	43,828	-1.90%
<b>State total</b>	<b>3,737,470</b>		<b>4,155,112</b>	
<b>Average enrolment</b>	<b>40,188</b>		<b>44,679</b>	

### Notes

\* Enrolment information includes the actual district enrolment, combined with a notional number of electors calculated using the "additional large district number", as per the Electoral Act 1992.

# Projected enrolment figures provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office. Projected enrolment figures have been updated to adjust for rounding and reflect September 2025 enrolment patterns.

**Attribution:** Projected electors are derived from Queensland Government Population Projections, 2023 edition, unpublished data, Queensland Government Statistician's Office, and elector counts as at 30 November 2024.

**Geography:** SA1 boundaries are based on the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3, released in 2021.

**Caution:** The accuracy of projections generally declines for small geographical units. As such, SA1 level results should be used with caution and should be aggregated into larger geographical areas for use where possible.

These projections are informed by the results of the 2023 edition Queensland Government population projections which were prepared using the latest information available at the time of production (2022). Elector projections are modelled using additional inputs and assumptions notably elector counts as at 30 November 2024. Variation above or below the figures provided is to be expected, because projections rely on the accuracy of the assumptions used, as well as the quality of data on which they are based. Changes in actual elector counts between different time points can also be a result of other processes beyond demographic changes (births, deaths and migration).

# Appendix 4 - Proposed district maps

## Guide to maps and boundary descriptions

This appendix includes maps for each of Queensland's 93 electoral districts, displaying the proposed boundaries prepared for this redistribution (in red) and the boundaries of existing electorates (in blue).

### Boundary descriptions

Each map displays the proposed boundaries as a red line on the map for the relevant district, and is accompanied by written descriptions of the boundaries. Boundaries may follow features displayed on the maps (such as local government boundaries, localities, major roads and rivers), and additional written descriptors ('callout' labels) are used to identify the location of the boundaries where those features are unclear on the map.

Callout labels may be added in instances where insufficient space exists to label a feature directly on the map (for example, if a road is too short), or where the boundary is aligned to a feature not displayed on the map (for example, the boundary of a property or lot).

### Interactive map

The proposed boundaries can be viewed in further detail on the interactive map published on the Commission's website ([redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au](https://redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au)). This interactive map provides the ability to display and compare the current and proposed State electoral boundaries, and view other spatial information including local government, locality and SA1 (Statistical Area Level 1) boundaries. A guide to using the interactive map is included within the [Submission and publication guidelines](#) for the redistribution.

Spatial files (geoJSON format) and CSV (comma separated value) data files containing enrolment data for each proposed electoral district can also be downloaded from the Commission's website. Geographical Information Systems (GIS) software is required to view the geoJSON data sets.

### Data alignment

The spatial data sets used for these maps are provided through the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing, Regional and Rural Development. As spatial data sets and administrative boundaries are maintained by different entities, spatial layers may not align in some cases.

The proposed boundaries have been developed primarily by using mesh blocks defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) through the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3. Mesh blocks are the smallest geographic areas defined by the ABS and form the building blocks for the larger regions. Mesh blocks have defined boundaries and can be combined together to accurately approximate other geographies. In some instances, mesh blocks have been split to provide a more meaningful boundary.

▲ Appendix 4 – Part 1 (maps A-K)

▲ Appendix 4 – Part 2 (maps L-W)

