



Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts

June 2026

Acknowledgement of Country

The Electoral Commission of Queensland acknowledges the Traditional Owners of Country and their connection to land, sea and community. We pay our respects to Elders past and present.

Interpreter services

The Electoral Commission of Queensland is committed to providing accessible services to Queenslanders from all culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds. If you have difficulty understanding this report, you can contact us on 1300 881 665 and we will arrange an interpreter to effectively communicate the document to you.



Copyright

© Electoral Commission of Queensland 2026



Licence

This report is licensed by the Electoral Commission of Queensland under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) 4.0 International licence.

In essence, you are free to copy, communicate and adapt this document, as long as you attribute the work to the Electoral Commission of Queensland. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Content from this document should be attributed as the Queensland Redistribution Commission Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts June 2026 Report. This report is available to download from the ECQ website www.ecq.qld.gov.au.

Enquiries and requests for a paper copy of this document may be directed to:

Electoral Commission of Queensland

Telephone: 1300 881 665
Website: www.ecq.qld.gov.au
Email: ecq@ecq.qld.gov.au
Post: GPO Box 1393
BRISBANE QLD 4001

Table of contents

Executive Summary	3
Introduction	3
Electoral Redistribution Procedure	3
Making the Electoral Redistribution.....	11
Part 1 – About the redistribution	13
Legislative framework.....	13
The Commission	13
Triggers for redistributions	13
Quota	14
Public consultation	14
Matters for consideration.....	15
Redistribution process	16
Commencement of the redistribution	16
Current and projected enrolment.....	16
Consultation process and outcomes	17
Consideration of section 46 matters	20
Naming of districts	21
Boundary modelling	21
Human rights considerations	22
Part 2 – Proposed redistribution and reasons	23
State-wide context and challenges	23
Districts out of quota.....	23
Population growth in south-east Queensland	24
Regional representation	26
Large districts	26
Consideration of suggestions and comments	26
Matters out of scope	27
Proposed boundary changes	27
Brisbane South and Ipswich – district of Stretton and new district of Springfield....	28
Moreton Bay area – new district of Caboolture	29
North Queensland and large districts – district of Hill.....	29
Proposed district name changes.....	31
Part 3 – Final redistribution and reasons	32
Consideration of objections and comments	32
Changes to proposed district boundaries	34
Gold Coast area	34
Logan and Ipswich areas.....	34

Brisbane south area	35
Brisbane north area.....	36
Moreton Bay and Sunshine Coast areas.....	37
Wide Bay and Burnett area.....	37
North Queensland and large districts.....	38
Changes to proposed district names.....	39
Coomera	40
Waterford	40
Electoral weightage and the redistribution	40
Final districts and names.....	48
Regional and district summaries.....	52
Gold Coast area.....	53
Redlands area.....	62
Logan area.....	65
Ipswich area	73
Brisbane south	77
Brisbane north	88
Moreton Bay area	98
Sunshine Coast area	105
Southern Queensland	113
Wide Bay and Burnett	117
Central Queensland	123
Northern Queensland	129
Large districts.....	139
Part 4 – When redistribution takes effect.....	144
Publication of electoral redistribution	144
Appeals against boundaries of electoral districts	144
When redistribution takes effect.....	145
Glossary.....	146
Appendix 1 - Statutory notices.....	148
Appendix 2 - Submissions	154
List of suggestions	154
List of comments on suggestions	158
List of objections against the proposed redistribution	176
List of comments on objections against the proposed redistribution	204
Appendix 3 - Enrolment of existing districts	208
Appendix 4 - Regional and district maps	212
Guide to maps and boundary descriptions.....	212

Executive Summary

Introduction

This is a summary of the 2025-26 State electoral redistribution. Full details are set out in the accompanying Report.

In April 2025, the Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission) was constituted under the *Electoral Act 1992* (the Act) by the following Commissioners to undertake an electoral redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts:¹

- Mr Gregory Koppenol, a former judge of the District Court of Queensland who had been a judge for at least 3 years (chairperson);
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General of the Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning (nonjudicial appointee); and
- Mr Pat Vidgen PSM, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

The redistribution was required by law because 7.5 years had passed since the last electoral redistribution became final in June 2017.²

The Commission was provided with administrative support from experienced staff seconded from the Electoral Commission of Queensland and also (with the kind assistance of Director-General, Dr Graham Fraine PSM) from the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development. Their work and expertise were invaluable and we thank them sincerely for their considerable contribution.

Electoral Redistribution Procedure

Suggestions and Comments

Public consultation relating to the redistribution is required by the Act.³ It commenced in July 2025. Suggestions were publicly invited and published—and comments on the suggestions were then publicly invited and published.

The Commission received 116 suggestions and 488 comments.

One of the suggestions raised an issue about the **integrity of the electoral roll**.⁴ The integrity of the electoral roll “is essential for the conduct of free and fair elections.”⁵ The Queensland (State) electoral roll is maintained by the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC).⁶ The AEC publishes an *Annual Roll Integrity Review* which reports on the divisional and address

1 *Media Statement*, 17 April 2025: statements.qld.gov.au/statements/102411; *Queensland Government Gazette*, 24 April 2025, page 617: publications.qld.gov.au/ckan-publications-attachments-prod/resources/f53e2c63-8b1d-4b1c-a4ae-cf5e8f95e43d/24.04.25-combined.pdf?ETag=ae567bbdf9b223efdbc42afe9dc8779d.

2 Section 38(1)(b).

3 Sections 42 (Inviting suggestions) and 43 (Inviting comments on suggestions). See also sections 48 and 49 for the stages of public consultation which are required after the proposed electoral redistribution is published.

4 S-070 (Rexit Australia Inc, 31 July 2025, page 5/59).

5 Australian National Audit Office, *Integrity of the Electoral Roll*, Canberra, ACT, 2002, page 9: anao.gov.au/sites/default/files/anao_report_2001-2002_42.pdf.

6 *Electoral Act 1992*, section 62 (Joint roll arrangement with Commonwealth).

accuracy rate of each State and Territory’s electoral roll. The most recent report was dated 10 September 2025.⁷ The results for Queensland’s electoral roll were as follows:

- *Divisional accuracy rate:* 96% (compare NSW 97%, VIC 97%, WA 97%)
- *Address accuracy rate:* 92% (compare NSW 93%, VIC 94%, WA 92%)

In the circumstances, we have no issue with the integrity of Queensland’s electoral roll.

Quota per electorate as at 22 September 2025 (40,188 ±10%)

The final day for comments on suggestions was 22 September 2025. That was also the date determined by the Act on which the total number of enrolled electors for all 93 electoral districts was to be calculated for the purposes of the redistribution.⁸ That number (as provided by the AEC) was 3,737,470. The average number of enrolled electors per electorate was therefore 40,188 (3,737,470 ÷ 93 (the number of electorates)).⁹ All electorates are required to be within ±10% of that average—that is, between 36,170 (10% below) and 44,206 (10% above)¹⁰ (**current quota**).

Electorates with an area of 100,000km² or more receive an “additional large district number” of 2% of the number of km² in the area of that electoral district—but the sum of that 2% number and the actual enrolled electors must still be within the current quota.¹¹

An analysis of all State electorates’ enrolments as at 22 September 2025 revealed that 15 of the 93 electorates were >10% above or below the current quota (40,188 ±10%)—namely:

COOMERA: 55,296 (+37.59%)	STRETTON: 34,688 (-13.69%)
LOGAN: 52,004 (+29.40%)	TOOHEY: 34,749 (-13.53%)
JORDAN: 48,721 (+21.23%)	MUNDINGBURRA: 35,148 (-12.54%)
MURRUMBA: 47,586 (+18.41%)	OODGEROO: 35,267 (-12.24%)
CALOUNDRA: 47,391 (+17.92%)	GAVEN: 35,490 (-11.69%)
BUNDAMBA: 47,057 (+17.09%)	GREGORY: 35,498 (-11.67%)
HERVEY BAY: 45,575 (+13.40%)	TRAEGER: 36,105 (-10.16%)
GYMPIE: 45,461 (+13.12%)	

Preparing Proposed Electoral Redistribution

The Commission then prepared the proposed electoral redistribution of the State into electoral districts—and also named the districts.¹² The Commissioners read every suggestion and comment properly made under sections 42 and 43 of the Act and also, as required by section 44(3):

- took into account every such suggestion and comment;
- ensured that all proposed electorates were within the prescribed numerical limits;¹³ and

7 aec.gov.au/enrolling_to_vote/enrolment_stats/annual-review/index.htm.

8 Sections 44 and 45.

9 Sections 3 and 45.

10 Section 45.

11 Section 45(1)(b).

12 Section 44.

13 Section 45.

- considered every matter which was required by section 46 to be considered—namely, matters which addressed:
 - » communities of interest;
 - » ways of communication and travel;
 - » physical features of each proposed electorate;
 - » boundaries of existing electorates;
 - » demographic trends in the State (as explained in section 46(1)(e)); and
 - » (where section 46(2) applied) local government area boundaries.

The process involved extensive electorate modelling, analysis, research and discussion.

In considering the matter of demographic trends in the State,¹⁴ the Commission obtained electoral enrolment projections from the Queensland Government Statistician’s Office (Queensland Treasury). Those statistics projected enrolments by district as at June 2032. The total projected number of enrolled electors for all 93 electoral districts was 4,155,112—and when divided by 93 (electorates), the average was 44,679 (projected average).

An analysis of all State electorates’ projected enrolments revealed that 37 of the 93 electorates would be >10% above or below the projected average (44,679 ±10%) in June 2032—namely:

COOMERA: 71,013 (+58.94%)	STRETTON: 35,768 (-19.94%)
LOGAN: 67,444 (+50.95%)	GREGORY: 35,926 (-19.59%)
JORDAN: 65,796 (+47.26%)	TOOHEY: 36,832 (-17.56%)
CALOUNDRA: 64,892 (+45.24%)	TRAEGER: 36,916 (-17.38%)
BUNDAMBA: 57,644 (+29.02%)	MOGGILL: 37,015 (-17.15%)
MURRUMBA: 56,550 (+26.57%)	GAVEN: 37,209 (-16.72%)
MORAYFIELD: 54,119 (+21.13%)	CHATSWORTH: 37,468 (-16.14%)
McCONNEL: 53,664 (+20.11%)	SPRINGWOOD: 37,626 (-15.79%)
GLASS HOUSE: 53,613 (+20.00%)	MOUNT OMMANEY: 37,659 (-15.71%)
IPSWICH: 52,908 (+18.42%)	ODGEROO: 37,824 (-15.34%)
SOUTH BRISBANE: 52,790 (+18.15%)	MUNDINGBURRA: 37,854 (-15.28%)
BUDERIM: 50,640 (+13.34%)	CAPALABA: 38,210 (-14.48%)
KURWONGBAH: 50,224 (+12.41%)	CALLIDE: 38,463 (-13.91%)
REDCLIFFE: 50,004 (+11.92%)	MILLER: 38,600 (-13.61%)
IPSWICH WEST: 49,817 (+11.50%)	WARREGO: 38,725 (-13.33%)
	BURDEKIN: 39,570 (-11.43%)
	TOWNSVILLE: 39,614 (-11.34%)
	THURINGOWA: 39,624 (-11.31%)
	FERNY GROVE: 39,645 (-11.27%)
	BUNDABERG: 39,803 (-10.91%)
	MIRANI: 40,094 (-10.26%)
	SANDGATE: 40,142 (-10.15%)

The Commissioners’ decisions regarding the proposed electoral redistribution were unanimous.

¹⁴ Section 46(1)(e) of the Act requires the Commission to consider “demographic trends in the State, with a view to ensuring as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 (Electoral redistribution because of enrolment changes) before it does under section 38 (Electoral redistribution after 2 general elections or 7.5 years)”.

Publishing Proposed Electoral Redistribution

On 10 March 2026, the Commission published our *Proposal for the Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts*.¹⁵

In summary, as a result of the proposed electoral redistribution:¹⁶

- all 93 electorates would be within the current quota (40,188 ±10%);
- 88 electorates would be within ±10% of the 2032 projected average (44,679) and the other 5 electorates (CALOUNDRA, GREENBANK, MORAYFIELD, PIMPAMA and REDBANK—all high growth areas) would be >10% above the projected average;
- 19 electorates would be renamed;
- 72 electorates would retain their existing names;
- 2 electorates (CABOOLTURE and SPRINGFIELD) would be newly created and named;
- 26 electorates would have names of Indigenous language origin;
- 43 electorates would have minimal or no boundary changes;
- 48 electorates would have moderate to significant boundary changes;
- 2 existing electorates (HILL and STRETTON) would be absorbed into other electorates; and
- 4 electorates (COOK, FLINDERS, GREGORY and WARREGO) would have geographical areas of more than 100,000km² and therefore their enrolments would include the 2% “additional large district number”.

Specifically, we proposed the following:

Enrolment Figures

All 93 electorates would be within the current quota (40,188 ±10%).

Eighty-eight of the electorates would be within ±10% of the 2032 projected average (44,679) and the other 5 electorates would be >10% above the projected average—namely:

- CALOUNDRA: Proposed 38,011 (-5.42%), Projected 54,058 (+20.99%)
- GREENBANK: Proposed 39,134 (-2.62%), Projected 53,592 (+19.95%)
- MORAYFIELD: Proposed 37,165 (-7.52%), Projected 53,740 (+20.28%)
- PIMPAMA: Proposed 36,717 (-8.64%), Projected 53,116 (+18.88%)
- REDBANK: Proposed 37,241 (-7.33%), Projected 51,828 (+16.00%)

Those 5 electorates are in high growth areas. Their enrolment figures could not be reduced sufficiently (and still remain within the current quota) to enable their 2032 projected enrolment figures to be less than 49,146 (10% above the projected average of 44,679).

¹⁵ redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au/public-consultation/the-commissions-proposed-redistribution. The Proposal was published in accordance with section 47 of the Act.

¹⁶ The details listed have been rearranged from the order used in the Proposal, so as to be consistent with (and to enable easier comparison with) the sequence used for the final details on page 11 of this Executive Summary.

Electorate Names

The longstanding practice of naming electorates after geographical places would be resumed. Those existing electorates which were named after persons, or which have geographical place names that lack voter recognition or are no longer suitable, would be renamed.

Accordingly, the following 19 electorates would be renamed:

BANCROFT as DECEPTION BAY

BONNEY as LABRADOR

BUNDAMBA as REDBANK

CHATSWORTH as CARINDALE

COOMERA as PIMPAMA

COOPER as ASHGROVE

JORDAN as GREENBANK

MACALISTER as BEENLEIGH

MAIWAR as INDOOROOPIILLY

McCONNEL as BRISBANE CENTRAL

MILLER as ANNERLEY

NICKLIN as NAMBOUR

NINDERRY as COOLUM

ODGEROO as CLEVELAND

SCENIC RIM as BEAUDESERT

THEODORE as OXFORD

TOOHEY as EIGHT MILE PLAINS

TRAEGER as FLINDERS

WATERFORD as MARSDEN

With respect to the other 74 electorates:

- 72 would retain their existing names; and
- 2 (CABOOLTURE and SPRINGFIELD) would be newly created and named electorates.

Two existing electorates would be absorbed into other electorates—namely, HILL (into FLINDERS, HINCHINBROOK and MULGRAVE) and STRETTON (into ALGESTER and EIGHT MILE PLAINS).

Twenty-six of the State's electorates would have names of Indigenous language origin—namely:

BUDERIM

BULIMBA

BUNDABERG

CABOOLTURE

CALOUNDRA

CAPALABA

COOLUM

CURRUMBIN

GYMPIE

INALA

INDOOROOPIILLY

KAWANA

KURWONGBAH

MAROOCHYDORE

MOGGILL

MUDGEERABA

MUNDINGBURRA

MURRUMBA

NAMBOUR

NANANGO

NOOSA

NUDGENE

PIMPAMA

TOOWOOMBA NORTH

TOOWOOMBA SOUTH

WARREGO

Electoral Boundaries

Minimal or no changes would be made to 43 electorates—namely, ASHGROVE (formerly COOPER), ASPLEY, BEAUDESERT (formerly SCENIC RIM), BRISBANE CENTRAL (formerly McCONNEL), BROADWATER, BUDERIM, BULIMBA, BUNDABERG, BURLEIGH, CARINDALE (formerly CHATSWORTH), CLAYFIELD, CONDAMINE, COOLUM (formerly NINDERRY), CURRUMBIN, EVERTON, FERNY GROVE, GLADSTONE, GREENSLOPES, HERVEY BAY, INDOOROOPIILY (formerly MAIWAR), KAWANA, KURWONGBAH, LABRADOR (formerly BONNEY), LOCKYER, LYTTON, MACKAY, MANSFIELD, MAROOCHYDORE, NOOSA, NUDGE, PINE RIVERS, REDCLIFFE, ROCKHAMPTON, SANDGATE, SOUTH BRISBANE, SOUTHERN DOWNS, SOUTHPORT, STAFFORD, SURFERS PARADISE, TOOWOOMBA NORTH, TOOWOOMBA SOUTH, WARREGO, WHITSUNDAY.

Moderate to significant changes would be made to 48 electorates—namely, ALGESTER, ANNERLEY (formerly MILLER), BARRON RIVER, BEENLEIGH (formerly MACALISTER), BURDEKIN, BURNETT, CAIRNS, CALLIDE, CALOUNDRA, CAPALABA, CLEVELAND (formerly OODGEROO), COOK, DECEPTION BAY (formerly BANCROFT), EIGHT MILE PLAINS (formerly TOOHEY), FLINDERS (formerly TRAEGER), GAVEN, GLASS HOUSE, GREENBANK (formerly JORDAN), GREGORY, GYMPIE, HINCHINBROOK, INALA, IPSWICH, IPSWICH WEST, KEPPEL, LOGAN, MARSDEN (formerly WATERFORD), MARYBOROUGH, MERMAID BEACH, MIRANI, MOGGILL, MORAYFIELD, MOUNT OMMANEY, MUDGEERABA, MULGRAVE, MUNDINGBURRA, MURRUMBA, NAMBOUR (formerly NICKLIN), NANANGO, OXFENFORD (formerly THEODORE), PIMPAMA (formerly COOMERA), PUMICESTONE, REDBANK (formerly BUNDAMBA), REDLANDS, SPRINGWOOD, THURINGOWA, TOWNSVILLE, WOODRIDGE.

Four electorates (COOK, FLINDERS, GREGORY and WARREGO) would have a geographical area of more than 100,000km² and therefore their enrolments would include the 2% “additional large district number” under section 45(1)(b) of the Act.

Objections and Comments

The Act requires further public consultation following the publication of the Commission's Proposal.¹⁷ Accordingly, objections against the proposed electoral redistribution were publicly invited. Objections closed on 9 April 2026 and were published. Comments on the objections were then publicly invited. Comments closed on 30 April 2026 and were published.

The Commission received 754 objections and 57 comments.

Section 50 of the Act specifies how the objections and comments are to be considered—as follows:

“50 Considering objections and comments

If an objection or comment given to the commission within the period allowed under section 48 or 49 raises a matter that has not already been raised, or substantially raised, in a suggestion or comment under section 42 or 43, the commission must—

- (a) consider the objection or comment; and
- (b) make any changes to the proposed electoral redistribution that it considers would be necessary if sections 45 and 46 were being complied with.”

The Commissioners read each of the objections and comments.

¹⁷ Sections 48 (Inviting objections against proposed electoral redistribution) and 49 (Inviting comments on objections).

The Commissioners also carefully considered the provisions of sections 45 (Proposed electoral redistribution must be within numerical limits) and 46 (Matters to be considered in preparing proposed electoral redistribution) of the Act.

Those objections and comments which required consideration pursuant to section 50(a) were duly considered and those changes which were considered necessary under section 50(b) were duly made after careful consideration of all relevant factors.

Changes made under Section 50

The Commission made changes to the proposed names of 2 electorates and to the proposed boundaries of 37 electorates. The reasons for those changes are set out in the accompanying Report.

The Commissioners' decisions regarding those changes were unanimous.

Name Changes

After due consideration under section 50, the Commission changed the proposed names of 2 electorates:

- PIMPAMA *changed to* COOMERA; and
- MARSDEN *changed to* WATERFORD.

Boundary Changes

After due consideration under section 50, the Commission changed the proposed boundaries of the 37 electorates set out below (in regions). Those electorates to which moderate to significant changes were made are indicated by an asterisk (*).

Gold Coast

- LABRADOR: *changes to boundary with* Broadwater (Biggera Waters, Coombabah)
- BROADWATER: *changes to boundary with* Labrador (Biggera Waters, Coombabah)

Logan

- LOGAN:* *changes to boundaries with* Waterford (Park Ridge, Waterford, Waterford West), Beaudesert (Mundoolun), Greenbank (Glenlogan)
- WOODRIDGE:* *major reconfiguration of boundary with* Waterford
- WATERFORD:* *changes to boundary with* Logan (Park Ridge, Waterford, Waterford West), major reconfiguration of boundary with Woodridge
- BEAUDESERT: *changes to boundary with* Logan (Mundoolun)
- GREENBANK:* *changes to boundaries with* Logan (Glenlogan), Springfield (Spring Mountain), Ipswich West (South Ripley)

Ipswich

- IPSWICH: *changes to boundary with* Ipswich West (Yamanto)
- IPSWICH WEST:* *changes to boundaries with* Greenbank (South Ripley), Ipswich (Yamanto); (Ipswich West replaces Greenbank as one of the 5 high growth area electorates projected to have enrolments >10% above the 2032 projected average)
- SPRINGFIELD: *changes to boundary with* Greenbank (Spring Mountain)

Brisbane South

- CARINDALE: *changes to boundary with Bulimba (Seven Hills)*
- BULIMBA: *changes to boundary with Carindale (Seven Hills)*
- MANSFIELD:* *changes to boundary with Eight Mile Plains (Eight Mile Plains)*
- EIGHT MILE PLAINS:* *changes to boundary with Mansfield (Eight Mile Plains)*

Brisbane North

- INDOORROOPILLY: *changes to boundary with Ashgrove (Mount Coot-tha)*
- ASHGROVE: *changes to boundary with Indooroopilly (Mount Coot-tha)*
- STAFFORD: *changes to boundary with Clayfield (Windsor)*
- CLAYFIELD: *changes to boundary with Stafford (Windsor)*
- NUDGE:* *changes to boundaries with Aspley (Geebung), Sandgate (Taigum, Zillmere)*
- ASPLEY:* *changes to boundaries with Nudgee (Geebung), Sandgate (Bald Hills)*
- SANDGATE:* *changes to boundaries with Aspley (Bald Hills), Nudgee (Taigum, Zillmere)*

Moreton Bay

- KURWONGBAH:* *changes to boundary with Morayfield (Narangba, Burpengary)*
- MORAYFIELD:* *changes to boundary with Kurwongbah (Narangba, Burpengary)*
- PUMICESTONE: *changes to boundary with Caloundra (Coochin Creek)*

Sunshine Coast

- CALOUNDRA: *changes to boundary with Pumicestone (Coochin Creek)*

Wide Bay-Burnett

- MARYBOROUGH:* *changes to boundary with Hervey Bay (Urraween, Bunya Creek, Nikenbah, Susan River)*
- HERVEY BAY:* *changes to boundary with Maryborough (Urraween, Bunya Creek, Nikenbah, Susan River)*
- NANANGO: *changes to boundary with Callide (Proston)*

Central Queensland

- CALLIDE: *changes to boundary with Nanango (Proston)*
- MIRANI: *changes to boundary with Mackay (Racecourse)*

Northern Queensland

- MACKAY: *changes to boundary with Mirani (Racecourse)*
- BURDEKIN:* *changes to boundaries with Flinders (Greenvale, Valley of Lagoons), Mundingburra (Murray)*
- MUNDINGBURRA: *changes to boundary with Burdekin (Murray)*

Large Districts

- COOK:* *changes to boundary with Flinders (Mareeba Airport, Wylandra Estate, Mareeba Shire western area, Carpentaria Shire northern area)*
- FLINDERS:* *changes to boundaries with Burdekin (Greenvale, Valley of Lagoons), Cook (Mareeba Airport, Wylandra Estate, Mareeba Shire western area, Carpentaria Shire northern area)*
- GREGORY: *changes to boundary with Warrego (Blackall-Tambo balance)*
- WARREGO: *changes to boundary with Gregory (Blackall-Tambo balance)*

Making the Electoral Redistribution

As a result of the electoral redistribution, the names and boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts will be the same as those for the proposed electoral redistribution, incorporating the changes made above under section 50 of the Act.¹⁸

Full details of the final enrolment figures, names and boundaries of all 93 electoral districts are set out in the accompanying Report.

This is a summary:

Enrolment Figures

- all 93 electorates are within the quota as at 22 September 2025 (40,188 ±10%); and
- 88 electorates are within ±10% of the 2032 projected average (44,679) and the other 5 electorates (CALOUNDRA, COOMERA, IPSWICH WEST, MORAYFIELD and REDBANK—all high growth areas) are >10% above the projected average.

Electorate Names

- 17 electorates were renamed;
- 74 electorates retain their existing names;
- 2 electorates (CABOOLTURE and SPRINGFIELD) were newly created and named; and
- 26 electorates have names of Indigenous language origin.

Electoral Boundaries

- Minimal or no changes were made to 41 electorates—namely:
ASHGROVE, BEAUDESERT, BRISBANE CENTRAL, BROADWATER, BUDERIM, BULIMBA, BUNDABERG, BURLEIGH, CARINDALE, CLAYFIELD, CONDAMINE, COOLUM, CURRUMBIN, EVERTON, FERNY GROVE, GLADSTONE, GREENSLOPES, INDOOROOPIILLY, KAWANA, KEPPEL, LABRADOR, LOCKYER, LYTTON, MACKAY, MANSFIELD, MAROOCHYDORE, NOOSA, NUDGEE, PINE RIVERS, REDCLIFFE, ROCKHAMPTON, SANDGATE, SOUTH BRISBANE, SOUTHERN DOWNS, SOUTHPORT, STAFFORD, SURFERS PARADISE, TOOWOOMBA NORTH, TOOWOOMBA SOUTH, WARREGO, WHITSUNDAY.
- Moderate to significant changes were made to 50 electorates—namely:
ALGESTER, ANNERLEY, ASPLEY, BARRON RIVER, BEENLEIGH, BURDEKIN, BURNETT, CAIRNS, CALLIDE, CALOUNDRA, CAPALABA, CLEVELAND, COOK, COOMERA, DECEPTION BAY, EIGHT MILE PLAINS, FLINDERS, GAVEN, GLASS HOUSE, GREENBANK, GREGORY, GYMPIE, HERVEY BAY, HINCHINBROOK, INALA, IPSWICH, IPSWICH WEST, KEPPEL, KURWONGBAH, LOGAN, MARYBOROUGH, MERMAID BEACH, MIRANI, MOGGILL, MORAYFIELD, MOUNT OMMANEY, MUDGEERABA, MULGRAVE, MUNDINGBURRA, MURRUMBA, NAMBOUR, NANANGO, OXFENFORD, PUMICESTONE, REDBANK, REDLANDS, SPRINGWOOD, THURINGOWA, TOWNSVILLE, WATERFORD, WOODRIDGE.
- 2 existing electorates (HILL and STRETTON) were absorbed into other electorates; and
- 4 electorates (COOK, FLINDERS, GREGORY and WARREGO) are >100,000km² in area and their enrolments include the 2% “additional large district number”.

¹⁸ See section 51(2) of the Act.

Human Rights Act 2019

In conducting its work, the Commission has been very mindful to ensure Queenslanders' human rights have been respected and promoted, as required under the *Human Rights Act 2019*. As set out in the accompanying Report, the primary human right engaged by the work of the Commission was Queenslanders' right to participate in public life, which includes the right to participate in the electoral redistribution process and the right to vote and be elected at State elections.

It is the view of the Commission that the extensive consultation process, the application of the current quota and taking into account the relevant considerations as required by the Electoral Act, has ensured that Queensland's electoral redistribution is compatible with human rights.

Conclusion

The Commissioners held 26 meetings during the redistribution process. They also spent hundreds of hours individually and with the expert assistance of Commission staff in developing and refining electorate models and ensuring their compliance with all relevant provisions of the *Electoral Act*.

I take this opportunity to sincerely thank my colleagues Commissioner John Sosso and Commissioner Pat Vidgen PSM for their exceptional work ethic and for their collaborative, professional and independent approach to this very important undertaking.

I also express the Commissioners' sincere thanks to the secretary and staff of the Commission who worked tirelessly and with extraordinary skill and expertise. It was a pleasure to work with them.

Gregory J. Koppenol

Chairperson

Queensland Redistribution Commission

29 June 2026

Part 1 – About the redistribution

Legislative framework

The Commission

Under the *Electoral Act 1992*, periodic redistributions of State electoral boundaries must be undertaken to ensure that all Queensland electorates maintain relatively equitable numbers of enrolled electors, to uphold the democratic principle of “one vote, one value”.

Redistributions are conducted by an independent 3-member panel called the Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission).

Under the *Electoral Act*, the Commission consists of:

- a judge or former judge of a court of the Commonwealth or a State or Territory, who had been a judge for at least 3 years (chairperson)
- the chief executive of a Queensland Government department or equivalent (the nonjudicial appointee), and
- the Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.¹⁹

The chairperson and non-judicial appointee are appointed by the Governor in Council following consultation with leaders of political parties and the relevant parliamentary committee.

Triggers for redistributions

The *Electoral Act* sets out triggers for a redistribution to be undertaken. The 3 legislated triggers for a state redistribution are:

1. the *Electoral Act* is amended to change the number of electorates in Queensland;²⁰
2. the later of the following days:
 - a. one year after the writ is returned for the second general election held after the previous redistribution becomes final; or
 - b. 7.5 years after the previous redistribution becomes final;²¹ or
3. enrolment in one-third or more electorates does not meet the numerical limits set out in section 45 of the *Electoral Act* for 2 months in a row.²²

The last redistribution for Queensland was completed in 2017, with the final determination gazetted on 26 May 2017.²³ This redistribution also implemented an increase in the number of electoral districts in Queensland from 89 to 93 districts.

19 *Electoral Act 1992*, section 6 (Establishment of Electoral Commission of Queensland etc.), section 8 (Queensland redistribution commission).

20 *Electoral Act*, section 37 (Electoral redistribution because of changed number of electoral districts).

21 *Electoral Act*, section 38 (Electoral redistribution after 2 general elections or 7.5 years).

22 *Electoral Act*, section 39 (Electoral redistribution because of enrolment changes).

23 ecq.qld.gov.au/_resource/documents/pdf/electoral-boundaries/state-electorate-redistribution/QRC-2017-Final-Determination-Report.pdf.

Quota

When undertaking a redistribution, the *Electoral Act* requires the Commission to ensure that enrolment numbers for all electorates fall within a specified range of the average State enrolment, known as the “quota”.

The quota is calculated by dividing the total number of enrolled electors in the State by the number of electoral districts (93) to determine the average State enrolment. The Commission must ensure that enrolment for all individual electorates is within a range of 10% above or below average enrolment to remain within quota.

For the purposes of the redistribution, the quota is calculated based on the total State enrolment at the closing date for the second stage of public consultation (the 21-day period for public comments on suggestions about the redistribution).²⁴

In Queensland, in recognition of the challenges of representation for large districts, electorates with a geographical area of 100,000km² or more have an “additional large district number” added to their enrolment figures. A number equal to 2% of the total area of the district is added to the number of actual electors to determine a “weighted” enrolment figure.

The total weighted enrolment figure (including the additional large district number) must be within the range of 10% above or below the average district enrolment to remain within quota.²⁵

An example of the calculation is set out below:

District	Enrolment (22 September 2025)	District area (km ²)	Additional large district number (2%)	Weighted enrolment figure
Warrego	31,841	337,811	$337,811 \times 0.02 = 6,756$	$31,841 + 6,756 = 38,597$ electors

The Queensland electoral roll is managed by the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC) under a joint roll agreement.²⁶ The AEC provides enrolment data to the Electoral Commission of Queensland on a regular basis, including for monthly gazettal and publication of enrolment and quota figures, and for the purposes of calculating enrolment for the redistribution.

Public consultation

The Act requires that there be 4 stages of public consultation on the redistribution:

- **Invitation for suggestions** (stage 1) – as soon as practicable after the need for an electoral redistribution has arisen, the Commission must invite suggestions in writing for a period of 30 days. All suggestions must be made available for public inspection, without fee, as soon as practicable after closure of the 30-day consultation period.²⁷
- **Invitation for comments on suggestions** (stage 2) – as soon as practicable after the closure of the period to make suggestions, the Commission must invite comments on the suggestions in writing for a period of 21 days. All comments on suggestions must be made available for public inspection, without fee, as soon as practicable after the closure of the 21-day consultation period.²⁸

²⁴ *Electoral Act*, section 45 (Proposed electoral redistribution must be within numerical limits).

²⁵ *Electoral Act*, section 45 (1)(b) and 45(2) (Proposed electoral redistribution must be within numerical limits).

²⁶ *Electoral Act*, section 62 (Joint roll arrangement with Commonwealth).

²⁷ *Electoral Act*, section 42 (Inviting suggestions).

²⁸ *Electoral Act*, section 43 (Inviting comments on suggestions).

- **Invitation for objections against the proposed redistribution** (stage 3) – after considering all suggestions and comments received in stage 1 and stage 2 of consultation, the Commission must publish its proposed electoral redistribution and invite objections in writing against the proposed redistribution for a period of 30 days. All objections must be made available for public inspection, without fee, as soon as practicable after closure of the 30-day consultation period.²⁹
- **Invitation for comments on objections** (stage 4) – as soon as practicable after the closure of the period to make objections, the Commission must invite comments on the objections in writing for a period of 10 days. All comments must be made available for public inspection, without fee, as soon as practicable after closure of the 10-day consultation period.³⁰

All stages of consultation must be notified in the *Queensland Government Gazette* and advertised in State-wide and regional newspapers, with submissions made available for public inspection, without fee, at the close of each consultation period.

Matters for consideration

In considering changes to electoral boundaries, the key mandatory criterion to be met is that all electorates must comply with the legislated quota set out under section 45 of the *Electoral Act*. However, the *Electoral Act* prescribes (in section 46) a range of additional matters that must also be considered by the Commission in undertaking the redistribution.

The matters to be considered under section 46 of the *Electoral Act* are:

- the extent to which there is a **community of economic, social, regional or other interests** within each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(a)*)
- the **ways of communication and travel** within each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(b)*)
- the **physical features** of each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(c)*)
- the **boundaries of existing electoral districts**; and (*section 46(1)(d)*)
- **demographic trends** in the State, with a view to ensuring as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 before it does under section 38 (*section 46(1)(e)*).

As stated in section 46(2), the Commission may also consider the **boundaries of local government areas** to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

The Commission is given broad discretion in the way these criteria are to be weighed and applied.

²⁹ *Electoral Act*, section 48 (Inviting objections against proposed electoral redistribution).

³⁰ *Electoral Act*, section 49 (Inviting comments on objections).

Redistribution process

Commencement of the redistribution

The current redistribution was triggered in December 2024 because 7.5 years had passed since the last redistribution became final. The final determination for the previous redistribution was issued on 26 May 2017 and became final after the 21-day appeal period elapsed in June 2017.

The Commission was constituted in April 2025, consisting of the following commissioners:

- Mr Gregory Koppenol, former judge of the District Court of Queensland, who had been a judge for at least 3 years (Chairperson)
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning (the nonjudicial appointee), and
- Mr Patrick Vidgen PSM, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland.

A notice that the need for a redistribution had arisen, and notifying of the membership of the Commission, was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* on 24 April 2025. Gazette notices published to date for the purposes of the redistribution are included at **Appendix 1**.

Current and projected enrolment

Electoral enrolment data as at the close of the period for comments on suggestions (22 September 2025) was provided by the Australian Electoral Commission to calculate the enrolment quota to be applied for the redistribution.

To remain within quota, electoral districts must remain within the variation range below:

Total State enrolment (22 September 2025)	Districts	Average enrolment	Upper threshold (average +10%)	Lower threshold (average -10%)
3,737,470	93	40,188	44,206	36,170

For the purpose of considering demographic trends in the State (as required under section 46(1)(e)), the Commission obtained from the Queensland Government Statistician's Office in Queensland Treasury projected enrolment data as at June 2032. This information was carefully considered throughout the redistribution as a means to ensuring the new districts would remain within quota for as long as possible.

The projected average enrolment and variation range as at June 2032 are set out below:

Total State enrolment (30 June 2032)	Districts	Average enrolment	Upper threshold (average +10%)	Lower threshold (average -10%)
4,155,112	93	44,679	49,146	40,212

Consultation process and outcomes

Conducting public consultation and public participation in the redistribution is a fundamental component of the Commission’s operation.

To facilitate public participation in the process, the Commission published on its website population and projection data and interactive maps, and released the *Discussion paper: Invitation for suggestions on the State redistribution* outlining the purpose, process and statutory basis for the redistribution, to assist submitters to contribute to the public consultation process.

Consultation process

All stages of public consultation were notified in the *Queensland Government Gazette*, advertised in newspapers including *The Courier-Mail* and a range of regional newspapers (in print and digital formats), notified directly to stakeholders through distribution of direct emails, and promoted through the Electoral Commission of Queensland’s media and social media channels.

The timeframes and consultation process for the redistribution are set out below:

Stage 1 – Invitation for suggestions

On Monday, 7 July 2025, the Commission invited suggestions relating to the redistribution, including changes to the electoral boundaries and/or district names, in accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act*. Notice of the invitation for suggestions was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* on Monday, 7 July 2025 and advertised in newspapers and online. Suggestions were open for a period of 30 days, closing on Wednesday, 6 August 2025.

A total of 116 suggestions were received,³¹ including 19 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups and the remainder from members of the public.

The suggestions were made available for public inspection, without fee, on the Commission’s website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane.

Stage 2 – Invitation for comments on suggestions

On Monday, 1 September 2025, the Commission invited comments on the suggestions, in accordance with section 43 of the *Electoral Act*. In addition to publishing



³¹ One submission and one partial submission were accepted after the closing date.

the suggestions, the Commission released the *Overview of suggestions about the redistribution*, which outlined submissions received during the previous stage of consultation to inform submitters lodging comments on the suggestions.


Notice of the invitation for comments on suggestions was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* on Monday, 1 September 2025 and advertised in newspapers and online. Comments were open for a period of 21 days, closing on Monday, 22 September 2025.

A total of 488 comments were received,³² including 21 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups, and the remainder from members of the public.

The comments were made available for public inspection, without fee, on the Commission's website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane on Thursday, 9 October 2025.

Following completion of the first two stages of consultation, the Commission considered all submissions received (and properly made) in preparing its proposal for the redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts.

On Tuesday, 10 March 2026, the Commission published its *Proposal for the Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts*, in accordance with section 47 of the *Electoral Act*. The proposal was published on the Commission's website, and printed copies were made available for public inspection, without fee, at the office of the Electoral Commission of Queensland in Brisbane, and distributed to the electorate offices of the Members of Parliament in all 93 State districts.



³² One submission was accepted after the closing date and 4 submitters requested the removal of their submission following publication. The total number of submissions reflects these changes.

Stage 3 – Invitation for objections against the proposed redistribution

In accordance with section 48 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission also published its invitation for objections against the proposal on Tuesday, 10 March 2026. Notice of the invitation for objections was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette*, advertised in newspapers and online, and information distributed to libraries across the State. Objections were open for a period of 30 days, closing on Thursday, 9 April 2026.

A total of 754 objections were received,³³ including 61 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups, and the remainder from members of the public.

The objections were made available for public inspection, without fee, on the Commission's website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane.

Stage 4 – Invitation for comments on objections

On Monday, 20 April 2026, the Commission invited comments on the objections in accordance with section 49 of the *Electoral Act*. Notice of the invitation for comments on objections was published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* and advertised in newspapers and online. Comments were open for a period of 10 days, closing on Thursday, 30 April 2026.

A total of 57 comments were received, including 4 submissions from political parties, local governments, Members of Parliament, businesses and community groups, and the remainder from members of the public.

The comments were made available for public inspection, without fee, on the Commission's website and at the Electoral Commission of Queensland office in Brisbane on Tuesday, 5 May 2026.

A list of all submissions received in response to each of the 4 consultation stages is included at **Appendix 2**. All submissions are available on the Commission's website and will be provided to the Honourable the Attorney-General and Minister for Justice and Minister for Integrity to be laid before the Legislative Assembly in accordance with section 54 of the *Electoral Act*.

Outcomes of consultation

The Commission was pleased to see a high level of community engagement with the redistribution process. The first 2 stages of consultation received a significantly higher response rate compared with the 2017 redistribution, while the response rate during later stages of consultation was lower than 2017.

A comparison of submissions received during the current and previous redistributions is below:

Stage of consultation	2026 redistribution Number of submissions	2017 redistribution Number of submissions
Invitation for suggestions	116	41
Comments on suggestions	488	313
Invitation for objections	754	1,546
Comments on objections	57	74

³³ One submission was accepted after the closing date.

Across all stages of public consultation, the Commission received submissions from 4 registered political parties. These submissions are identified throughout the report using the following abbreviations:

Registered political party	Abbreviation	Submission numbers
Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	ALP	S-115, CS-466, O-724, CO-055
Katter's Australian Party	KAP	S-105, CS-433, O-706
Liberal National Party of Queensland	LNP	S-110, CS-465, O-719, CO-051
Queensland Greens	Greens	S-112, CS-448, O-685

All commissioners read and considered all submissions received during each of the 4 stages of public consultation in preparing the Commission's proposed and final redistribution.

While not all suggestions, objections and comments could be accommodated in the new boundaries, the Commission thanks all submitters, and particularly those who provided detailed suggestions and thoughtful rationales, for participating in this process.

Consideration of section 46 matters

Section 46 of the *Electoral Act* requires the Commission to consider the following matters in preparing the proposed redistribution:

- the extent to which there is a **community of economic, social, regional or other interests** within each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(a)*)
- the **ways of communication and travel** within each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(b)*)
- the **physical features** of each proposed electoral district; (*section 46(1)(c)*)
- the **boundaries of existing electoral districts**; and (*section 46(1)(d)*)
- **demographic trends** in the State, with a view to ensuring as far as practicable that, on the basis of the trends, the need for another electoral redistribution will not arise under section 39 before it does under section 38. (*section 46(1)(e)*)

In addition, section 46(2) provides that the Commission may also consider the boundaries of **local government areas** to the extent that it is satisfied that there is a community of economic, social, regional or other interests within each local government area.

The Commission gave detailed consideration to each of the section 46(1) matters and also, where section 46(2) applied, the boundaries of local government areas.

With respect to the term **community of interests**, the Commission notes that it is not defined in the *Electoral Act*. It undoubtedly has different applications in different contexts. Multiple competing interests often exist simultaneously within communities. The Commission must balance those considerations in determining the configuration of new districts.³⁴

The Commission has given due consideration and weight to a variety of community of interest factors in preparing the proposed and final boundaries. This included considering issues identified in public submissions which provided a valuable local source of information and context about matters relevant and important to individual communities.

³⁴ See, for example, Electoral Regulation Research Network Working Paper No. 21, November 2013 https://law.unimelb.edu.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0008/1556018/WP_21_Thornton_Smith3.pdf

In addition, different indicators of communities of interest that have been considered by the Commission during the redistribution include:

- uniting localities and the social and economic infrastructure servicing those areas, within districts to the extent practicable;
- considering methods of communication and travel within districts, with the aim of ensuring all parts of the district are accessible from within the district as far as possible;
- developing boundaries that use physical, geographical or other features that clearly delineate communities and provide recognisable boundaries where applicable; and
- retaining existing district boundaries or aligning to local government boundaries where appropriate.

The relative weight of these factors, both in relation to one another and to the other factors prescribed in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*, is contextual to the unique circumstances of each district and has been applied on a holistic basis in determining the final boundaries.

Naming of districts

Under the *Electoral Act*, the Commission is charged with the responsibility of determining the names of electoral districts.

To ensure the public had adequate opportunity to provide input to the Commission's consideration of district names, the discussion paper released to inform the first stage of consultation (the invitation for suggestions), included discussion on electorate naming practices.

The discussion paper noted that, in Queensland, electorates have historically been named after places, regions or geographical features. In contrast, established practice for federal redistributions is for electoral divisions to be named after deceased Australians where possible, providing a differing approach from State practice.

Until 1986, State electoral districts were named after geographical places, of which many had earlier been named after persons.

At present, there are 12 electoral districts which were named after persons: the 1986 Redistribution Commission named one newly created electorate as Nicklin (after the former Queensland Premier Sir Francis Nicklin) and the 2017 Redistribution Commission named 11 electorates after various distinguished people: Bancroft, Bonney, Cooper, Hill, Jordan, Macalister, McConnel, Miller, Oodgeroo, Theodore and Traeger.

Accordingly, the Commission specifically requested submissions from the public about current electorate names, proposed new names, and community preferences for the naming of electorates after places, people, or on another basis, to be considered as part of the consultation process.

Boundary modelling

In undertaking the redistribution, the Commission reviewed various boundary models prepared using specialist Geographic Information System (GIS) mapping software. Boundary models were developed by aggregating individual mesh blocks into electoral districts. Mesh blocks are the smallest geographical areas defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) within the Australian Statistical Geography Standard.³⁵

³⁵ Australian Bureau of Statistics, Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3, July 2021-June 2026

Enrolment data provided by the Australian Electoral Commission and enrolment projections provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office were applied to allow accurate calculation of current and projected enrolment figures for the final districts in compliance with quota requirements.

Additional spatial layers such as geographical features, transport infrastructure routes, property boundaries, ABS SA1 (Statistical Area Level 1), locality and local government boundaries, planned and current development, satellite imagery, and key community catchments were also used during the modelling process. These layers provided reference information to assist the Commission in considering the various section 46 matters (as set out earlier).

The Commission is pleased to acknowledge the expert contribution provided by the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing and Regional and Rural Development to support the finalisation of boundaries and production of maps for the redistribution.

Human rights considerations

Section 58(1) of the *Human Rights Act 2019* makes it unlawful for a public entity such as the Commission to act or make decisions in a way that is incompatible with human rights, or, in making a decision, to fail to give proper consideration to a human right that is relevant to the decision.

A decision will be compatible with human rights if it does not limit a human right or does so only to the extent that it is reasonable and demonstrably justifiable in accordance with the process of analysis set out in sections 8 and 13 of the *Human Rights Act*.

Although it is conceivable several human rights identified in the *Human Rights Act* might be engaged by the work of the Commission, the clearest human rights engaged are the right to take part in public life (section 23 of the *Human Rights Act*) and the right to freedom of expression (section 21).

Under section 23 of the *Human Rights Act*, every person in Queensland has the right, and is to have the opportunity, without discrimination, to participate in the conduct of public affairs. This includes being able to engage with the Commission as it performs its work of redistributing the State's electoral boundaries. It also includes, for those eligible to vote, the right to vote and be elected at State elections. The right to freedom of expression in section 21 includes the right to impart information and ideas to the Commission in relation to the redistribution of State's electoral boundaries.

It is clear that the public consultation undertaken by the Commission, as required by the *Electoral Act*, has given ample opportunity to all members of the public to participate in the electoral redistribution process without discrimination, and to have their comments and suggestions taken into account. The Commission is pleased with the level of public engagement throughout the course of its work.

Of course, the purpose of the work of the Commission, in accordance with the *Electoral Act*, was to ensure, to the extent possible, the equality of the value of each elector's vote. This report sets out how the Commission applied the current quota and weighed each of the relevant considerations to achieve that goal in respect of each district, so that overall, every elector's right to participate in public life by voting, was enhanced.

It is the view of the Commission that both the electoral redistribution procedure and the final electoral redistribution are compatible with human rights.

Part 2 – Proposed redistribution and reasons

State-wide context and challenges

From the outset of the redistribution and, as noted in the discussion paper released on 7 July 2025 at the commencement of the first stage of consultation, it was clear there were a number of key issues and challenges to be addressed during this redistribution.

Districts out of quota

At the commencement of the redistribution, 14 districts were out of quota, with 8 being over quota and 6 being under quota. The district of Traeger also dropped below quota shortly afterward, making 15 districts out of quota for the purposes of this redistribution.³⁶

As noted above, the Commission is legislatively obligated to bring all districts back within the enrolment tolerance of 10% above or below the average as part of the redistribution.

A list of enrolment for all current districts, including 22 September 2025 enrolment and June 2032 projected enrolment figures, is at **Appendix 3**.

As at 22 September 2025, the following 15 districts were out of quota:

Electorates exceeding quota			Electorates below quota		
District	Enrolment	Variation %	District	Enrolment	Variation %
Coomera	55,296	+37.59%	Stretton	34,688	-13.69%
Logan	52,004	+29.40%	Toohey	34,749	-13.53%
Jordan	48,721	+21.23%	Mundingburra	35,148	-12.54%
Murrumba	47,586	+18.41%	Oodgeroo	35,267	-12.24%
Caloundra	47,391	+17.92%	Gaven	35,490	-11.69%
Bundamba	47,057	+17.09%	Gregory*	35,498	-11.67%
Hervey Bay	45,575	+13.40%	Traeger*	36,105	-10.16%
Gympie	45,461	+13.12%			

* Weighted enrolment inclusive of the “additional large district number”.

³⁶ Two additional districts have exceeded the quota since commencement of the redistribution. As at 29 May 2026, Morayfield is 11.00% over quota and Redlands is 10.41% over quota.

The enrolment trends evident at the commencement of the redistribution have continued, with 2 additional electoral districts (Morayfield and Redlands) also now out of quota. All districts outside the quota in September 2025 have continued to increase or decrease their deviation from average enrolment, underlining the need for the redistribution to rebalance enrolment between electorates.

From 22 September 2025 to 29 May 2026, the total enrolment for the State has increased from 3,737,470 to 3,803,839. This equates to an increase of 66,369 electors, or the equivalent to the quota of 1.5 additional districts, being added to Queensland's enrolment since the commencement of the current redistribution.

Population growth in south-east Queensland

Population growth since the previous redistribution has not been uniform across the State. Growth has been generally concentrated in select areas including the band of electorates stretching west from the northern Gold Coast, through Logan to Ipswich; the Moreton Bay area; and parts of the Sunshine Coast.

With the continued high rates of enrolment growth being experienced in Queensland as noted above, the challenge of redistributing this growth equitably across districts is not insignificant.

Enrolment projections provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office are an important input applied during the redistribution to quantify expected enrolment growth in each electorate in Queensland. The Commission must consider this information with a view to ensuring that a redistribution is not triggered in the coming years because one-third of districts have exceeded the minimum or maximum enrolment threshold.

The districts of Coomera, Logan and Jordan are the most heavily populated districts in the State, and the adjacent district of Bundamba is the sixth most populous. The Commission is legislatively required to adjust the boundaries of these and surrounding districts to bring their enrolment back into quota. Noting these 4 districts are currently home to over 200,000 electors, enough electors for 5 districts, and are projected to continue growing strongly over the coming 7 years, significant changes to their boundaries are required.

An analysis of all State electorates' projected enrolments revealed that 37 of the 93 electorates would be more than 10% above or below the projected average by June 2032, with 15 districts over quota and 22 districts under quota, as detailed on the next page.

Electorates exceeding projected quota		
District	Enrolment	Variation %
Coomera	71,013	+58.94%
Logan	67,444	+50.95%
Jordan	65,796	+47.26%
Caloundra	64,892	+45.24%
Bundamba	57,644	+29.02%
Murrumba	56,550	+26.57%
Morayfield	54,119	+21.13%
McConnel	53,664	+20.11%
Glass House	53,613	+20.00%
Ipswich	52,908	+18.42%
South Brisbane	52,790	+18.15%
Buderim	50,640	+13.34%
Kurwongbah	50,224	+12.41%
Redcliffe	50,004	+11.92%
Ipswich West	49,817	+11.50%

Electorates below projected quota		
District	Enrolment	Variation %
Stretton	35,768	-19.94%
Gregory	35,926	-19.59%
Toohey	36,832	-17.56%
Traeger	36,916	-17.38%
Moggill	37,015	-17.15%
Gaven	37,209	-16.72%
Chatsworth	37,468	-16.14%
Springwood	37,626	-15.79%
Mount Ommaney	37,659	-15.71%
Oodgeroo	37,824	-15.34%
Mundingburra	37,854	-15.28%
Capalaba	38,210	-14.48%
Callide	38,463	-13.91%
Miller	38,600	-13.61%
Warrego	38,725	-13.33%
Burdekin	39,570	-11.43%
Townsville	39,614	-11.34%
Thuringowa	39,624	-11.31%
Ferny Grove	39,645	-11.27%
Bundaberg	39,803	-10.91%
Mirani	40,094	-10.26%
Sandgate	40,142	-10.15%

Regional representation

As noted above, population growth since the 2017 redistribution has been largely concentrated in south-east Queensland and in select regional centres along the coast.

The Townsville-based district of Mundingburra is currently out of quota, with several other electorates in this region including Townsville, Thuringowa and Burdekin at risk of deviating more than 10% below the State average in the future.

The Commission reviewed all suggestions and comments and considered several approaches to balance the number of electors in the northern Queensland electorates, noting the need to ensure regional communities maintain appropriate representation based around clear communities of interest.

However, the Commission must also ensure all districts in Queensland meet the enrolment quota under current legislative requirements.

Large districts

The large districts of Traeger and Gregory are currently out of quota, and the large district of Warrego is projected to fall below quota by 2032. The Commission was conscious of the geographical area of these districts and the challenges this creates for local representatives servicing large and diverse areas and constituencies.

With adjacent north Queensland districts also below the average enrolment, the Commission considered a range of options to bring the large districts back into quota, constrain their relative geographical expansion, improve the enrolment of neighbouring districts, and future-proof the boundaries to the extent possible.

Consideration of suggestions and comments

Following the completion of the first and second stages of consultation from July to September 2025, the Commission reviewed and considered all 116 suggestions and 488 comments on suggestions to inform the proposed redistribution, applying the requirements and factors for consideration under sections 45 and 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

A number of submissions proposed new boundaries for the whole State or significant areas of the State, and provided a detailed rationale for the suggestions. These ideas provided a valuable input to the redistribution process. The Commission noted that some suggestions were reached independently in 2 or more submissions, in some cases extending to the placement of new electorates and their names.

The Commission's consideration of submissions is highlighted throughout this report, including noting submissions that align to various extents with the Commission's proposal, provide supplementary evidence, or offer a contrary view. These submissions are identified by their submission number. It should be noted that the submissions referenced within the report do not represent an exhaustive list of the suggestions considered by the Commission.

Matters out of scope

In considering the submissions received during public consultation, the Commission noted a number of recurring matters raised which are outside the scope of the Commission's responsibilities.

While the Commission decides boundaries and names of State electorates, it does not determine the number of electorates (currently 93), as this is set by the Queensland Parliament under legislation.

The Commission noted concerns raised in several submissions regarding potential diminished representation for regional electorates. Some submissions suggested legislative changes to address this issue, including changes to the calculation of the "additional large district number" applied to large electorates under the *Electoral Act*.

In particular, the Commission noted submissions from Katter's Australian Party (S-105 and CS-433) which suggested the additional large district number for the large districts be calculated based on 4% of the geographical area of the district, rather than the current 2%, and that an additional weighted enrolment be applied to districts which include Indigenous local government areas.

The Commission also noted arguments put forward by the Queensland Greens (S-112 and O-685) advocating for the expansion of Parliament as an alternate approach to ensure access to appropriate representation for all Queenslanders, and suggesting amendments to ensure redistributions are conducted more regularly.

The Commission received other suggestions that amendments be made allowing more time during each consultation stage for members of the public to complete their submissions.

The Commission notes the work and level of detail contained in many submissions and acknowledges the effort and dedication of their authors.

While legislative amendments fall outside the scope of the Commission's work, these matters are identified here should Parliament decide to consider them.

Additionally, local government boundaries are reviewed by the Local Government Change Commission in accordance with the *Local Government Act 2009* and the *City of Brisbane Act 2010* and therefore are not within the scope of the current review.

The Commission also did not consider voting data or the representation of various political parties in the Queensland Parliament in preparing new boundaries.

Proposed boundary changes

The Commission released its *Proposal for the Redistribution of the State's Electoral Districts*, on 10 March 2026.³⁷ The report set out the background to the redistribution, the outcomes of public consultation, the Commission's detailed reasons for proposed boundary and district name changes and included detailed maps with descriptions of the proposed boundaries.

The report proposed several significant changes necessitated by the changing nature of Queensland's demography and to balance current and projected enrolment across the State's 93 electoral districts. The reasons for the Commission's decisions and additional contextual information for the Commission's proposed redistribution are outlined on the next page.

³⁷ redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au/public-consultation/the-commissions-proposed-redistribution

1. The district of Stretton was proposed to be absorbed into the surrounding districts of Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey) and Algester, rebalancing enrolment across the Brisbane south area.
2. The district of Hill was proposed to be absorbed into the surrounding districts of Mulgrave, Hinchinbrook and Flinders (formerly Traeger), allowing the transfer of electors to sustain enrolment in the current 4 large districts and other north Queensland electorates including the current 3 Townsville-based districts.
3. A new district named Springfield was proposed to be created. The district is centred on the highly populated Springfield area, currently in the district of Jordan, providing additional capacity to absorb the current and continuing high enrolment growth in this area.
4. A new district named Caboolture was proposed to be created. The district incorporates the central portion of Caboolture, expanding through the western growth corridor toward Woodford and D'Aguilar aligned to the local government area boundary between Moreton Bay and Sunshine Coast councils, providing additional capacity to absorb the current and continuing high enrolment growth in this area.

These changes, particularly where districts were to be absorbed into the surrounding districts, were not proposed lightly. The Commission carefully weighed the various factors prescribed in section 46 of the *Electoral Act* and considered all submissions received during community consultation to formulate the proposed redistribution.

While some significant changes were unavoidable due to the challenges of maintaining equitable enrolment, the Commission was also cognisant of the need to limit voter confusion and promote continuity in electoral administration. As such, existing boundaries were maintained where allowable based on individual district enrolment, coupled with consideration of enrolment trends in surrounding districts.

The Commission proposed no changes to the boundaries of 8 districts, and minimal changes to a further 35 districts, meaning almost of half of Queensland's 93 electoral districts would have limited changes to their boundaries.

The proposed boundaries ensured all districts fall within the required enrolment range, and improved the projected enrolment outlook considerably, with only 5 districts expected to exceed the projected quota by June 2032.

The Commission sought to ensure districts remained within quota on projected enrolment figures to the extent possible. However, due to the need to satisfy current enrolment quota requirements, it was not always possible to simultaneously limit projected enrolment in high growth areas. All 5 districts projected to exceed quota by 2032 are located in high growth areas of south-east Queensland.

Brisbane South and Ipswich – district of Stretton and new district of Springfield

The neighbouring districts of Stretton and Toohey were below the minimum enrolment threshold (more than 13% below quota) with the lowest enrolment of all State districts at the commencement of this redistribution. In addition, several nearby districts including Miller, Chatsworth and Springwood were around 7% to 8% below the State average and projected to drop below the minimum threshold in the future.

By contrast, the districts immediately to the south of the Brisbane local government area including Bundamba, Jordan and Logan were significantly over quota with further strong growth projected.

Many public submissions identified a need for an additional district in the Ipswich area, with several suggestions for a district focussed on the shared community of interest around the Springfield area. The Commission agreed with the broad intent of these suggestions and proposed to establish a new district named Springfield.

The creation of the new district was achieved by reducing the number of districts in southern Brisbane by one. The current district of Stretton is absorbed into Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey), which comprises portions of the current Toohey and Stretton districts. The northern portion of the current Toohey is transferred to Annerley (formerly Miller) and the southern portion of the current Stretton district, including the locality of Stretton, is transferred to Algester.

The consolidation of Toohey and Stretton was suggested in a number of public submissions and enabled the creation of the new district of Springfield a short distance to the south-west of these districts. The Springfield district was created from parts of the current districts of Jordan and Bundamba, both of which are currently significantly over quota and expected to continue to grow.

The location of the new district of Springfield in this high growth corridor assists in relieving enrolment pressure in the Logan and Ipswich regions, while more equitably distributing electors in the urban districts of southern Brisbane.

Moreton Bay area – new district of Caboolture

The 7 districts in the Moreton Bay region had enrolment rates above the State average at the commencement of this redistribution, with the district of Murrumba significantly over quota. Several more districts in this area were projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The Commission received several suggestions that a district be added in this area to manage current enrolment and future growth. The most commonly suggested approach for the new district included the central Caboolture area (currently in Morayfield and Pumicestone) and extended west to include the southern territory of the district of Glass House including Woodford and its surrounds.

The Commission agreed with the need for an additional district in this high growth area and has broadly adopted the suggested approach with the creation of the new district of Caboolture.

North Queensland and large districts – district of Hill

The whole-of-State suggestions received by the Commission included a commonly expressed view that the addition of a district in the Moreton Bay region should be balanced by the loss of a district in northern Queensland. The Commission considered this issue seriously, noting the importance of suitable representation for all Queenslanders.

As previously noted, a number of north Queensland electorates are already below quota or are at risk of dropping below quota in the future. The below-quota large districts of Traeger and Gregory were also a significant factor in considering changes to the districts in this region.

Ultimately, the Commission agreed that to balance the number of electors in each district across the State, the number of districts in north Queensland should be reduced by one.

The Commission received a number of suggestions to broadly combine the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin (submissions including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101), with the proposed district typically including the southern portions of urban Townsville, extending south and east along the coast. The Commission also reviewed suggestions including that the

districts of Hill (S-110 LNP), Thuringowa (S-112 Greens), Mirani (S-114) or Whitsunday (CS-491) be abolished.

The Commission decided that it was important to retain 3 districts based on urban Townsville, noting the city's position as a significant regional centre with a population base sufficient to sustain 3 districts.

The Commission also determined that it should retain the current 4 large districts, and that those districts should not significantly expand in size geographically as far as possible.

In reaching these conclusions, the Commission considered submissions advocating for maintaining the current representation of Townsville electorates (including S-115 ALP), challenges of regional representation in large districts (including S-105 KAP), and from numerous submitters opposing the abolition of the district of Hill (including CS-223, Mr Shane Knuth MP, Member for Hill).

The Commission also considered the factors prescribed under section 46 of the *Electoral Act*, giving particular consideration to the communities of interest within each district.

The Commission decided that the district of Hill be absorbed into the districts of Mulgrave, Hinchinbrook and Flinders (formerly Traeger) at this redistribution. Factors influencing this decision included:

- The coastal portion of the existing Hill district does not hold a strong community of interest with its western bulk. The coastal portion of the district was proposed to be transferred to Hinchinbrook, which becomes a district characterised by the coastal towns situated between Townsville and Cairns, including the entirety of the Hinchinbrook Shire Council and Cassowary Coast Regional Council.
- The district of Mulgrave had capacity to absorb the eastern portion of the Tablelands area, including the towns of Atherton and Tolga, which share a stronger connection to the coastal communities, as noted by the Tablelands Regional Council in its comment on suggestions (CS-427).
- The transfer of the western portion of the district to Flinders (formerly Traeger) enabled a reciprocal transfer of the Charters Towers local government area to Burdekin. This had dual benefits of bringing Flinders back into quota and allowing for a revised Burdekin with improved enrolment. The Commission notes the Charters Towers Regional Council was supportive of its inclusion in the Burdekin electorate on community of interest grounds (CS-069).
- The approach allowed for the retention of 3 districts focussed on urban Townsville. The Commission noted that the bulk of development and growth in Townsville is situated on the north-western side of the city, currently in the district of Hinchinbrook. By transferring these localities from Hinchinbrook and reconfiguring shared boundaries around the city centre, the 3 Townsville-based districts reflect strong shared communities of interest and are projected to remain in quota.

The reallocation of electors from Hill also allowed the Commission to retain the existing 4 large districts, while limiting increases to their geographical size. However, the Commission is aware that, based on current enrolment projections, maintaining the enrolment viability of these districts while minimising their expansion toward coastal areas will present an ongoing challenge for future redistributions.

Proposed district name changes

As outlined above, the discussion paper released to inform consultation on the redistribution noted the Commission's role in determining the names of electorates, seeking public input on this issue.

The Commission has a wide discretionary power under section 44(2) of the *Electoral Act* in deciding the names of electoral districts under a redistribution. That power must, of course, be exercised reasonably. The Commission carefully considered and applied that essential requirement.

The Commission considers that voter recognition of an electorate's location is essential. Electorate names which do not identify or suggest the location of an electorate may cause unnecessary confusion. That is also likely if an electorate were named after a geographical place but the name lacked voter recognition or is no longer suitable (for example, because of boundary changes or to differentiate it from a local government area). In some cases, new names were considered where districts were named for a geographical feature or locality no longer contained within the district.

The Commission also considered historical practice in naming Queensland electorates and has determined that a reversion to the longstanding approach of naming electorates after geographical locations, features or places is most appropriate.

In reaching this view, the Commission carefully examined the decisions and approaches of the Electoral and Administrative Review Commission and previous Queensland Redistribution Commissions, as well as taking into account and considering all public suggestions (including comments on suggestions) which the Commission invited and received about electorate names.

The Commission also noted the submission to the 2017 redistribution from the former Committee of the Legislative Assembly, submitted on behalf of all Members of the then Parliament, expressing the view that the tradition of naming electorates for relevant localities remains the most appropriate practice.³⁸

Accordingly, and after due consideration, the Commission proposed to rename the following 19 electorates:

- Bancroft as *Deception Bay*
- Bonney as *Labrador*
- Bundamba as *Redbank*
- Chatsworth as *Carindale*
- Coomera as *Pimpama*
- Cooper as *Ashgrove*
- Jordan as *Greenbank*
- Macalister as *Beenleigh*
- Maiwar as *Indooroopilly*
- McConnel as *Brisbane Central*
- Miller as *Annerley*
- Nicklin as *Nambour*
- Ninderry as *Coolum*
- Oodgeroo as *Cleveland*
- Scenic Rim as *Beaudesert*
- Theodore as *Oxenford*
- Toohey as *Eight Mile Plains*
- Traeger as *Flinders*
- Waterford as *Marsden*.

The names of these districts were decided on the basis that they applied a consistent approach to the naming of all Queensland electorates after relevant places, promote voter recognition and clarity of district locations including reinstating some long-standing historical names, and ensure names are appropriate to the district's present configuration.

³⁸ Queensland Redistribution Commission, *Objections against the proposed redistribution*, March 2017, Committee of the Legislative Assembly (Obj-1303).

Part 3 – Final redistribution and reasons

Consideration of objections and comments

The Commission has read and considered all objections and comments on objections properly made under sections 48 and 49 of the *Electoral Act*.

In accordance with section 50 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission has considered those objections and comments which raised a matter not already raised, or substantially raised, in a suggestion or comment made under sections 42 or 43.

In deciding whether to make a change to the proposed redistribution based on an objection or comment, the Commission has applied the criteria set out in sections 45 and 46 of the *Electoral Act*:

- In all instances the Commission has ensured the final districts remain within the numerical limits prescribed under section 45.
- The Commission also considered the matters prescribed in section 46, particularly where an objection or comment provided new information or an additional perspective which prompted the Commission to reconsider the weighting of these factors as they applied to the district or districts. For example, the Commission noted objections which identified where a proposed boundary could arguably better connect communities of interest, improve alignment to natural barriers such as roads or rivers, or unite localities or local government areas.

Following careful consideration of feedback and weighing of the varied competing factors influencing the determination of new boundaries, the Commission has decided to retain the key features of its proposed redistribution published in March 2026. This includes the creation of the two new districts of Springfield and Caboolture, and the absorption of the districts of Stretton and Hill into surrounding districts.

The Commission notes that the removal of the district of Hill, and associated concerns about regional representation, were the subject of the bulk of objections regarding the proposed redistribution. Approximately 206 objections were received against this proposal including from Katter's Australian Party (O-706) and Mr Shane Knuth MP, Member for Hill (O-607). Submissions raised several concerns including:

- the reduction in the number of districts in the Far North Queensland area, and access to effective representation, particularly for residents in the western Tablelands area;
- the diverse communities of interest in the geographically vast Flinders district, with the distance between the Tablelands area and Mount Isa and issues with access to the elected representative cited in several submissions;
- that some local government areas in the region, particularly the Tablelands Regional Council, are split between districts; and
- that the existing district is in quota.

The Commission considered all objections and comments regarding this district, having also discussed these matters during the development of its proposed redistribution. The Commission is conscious of the concerns of residents in this area, but ultimately agreed that the reasoning for its decision, as outlined above, remains relevant and appropriate and the change should proceed.

However, the Commission acknowledges the very real challenges of regional representation across Queensland's vast and diverse geography, in particular for the current 4 large districts, and has considered the historical approaches to addressing these issues and the limitations of the current statutory framework. Further details of the Commission's consideration of this issue is discussed in the section on electoral weightage and the redistribution below.

The Commission also considered objections including O-482 and O-693 which argued the district of Eight Mile Plains should more appropriately be considered the successor to the district of Stretton, rather than the successor to the district of Toohey as indicated in the proposed redistribution published on 10 March 2026.

These submissions highlighted that Eight Mile Plains has absorbed a slightly larger portion of its elector population and geographical area from Stretton than Toohey. O-693 also notes that Stretton has been absorbed largely by two districts (being Eight Mile Plains and Algester, with a small portion also transferred to Woodridge), while Toohey has been split more widely between four districts (Eight Mile Plains, Annerley, Algester and Mansfield).

The Commission reviewed its characterisation of Toohey and Stretton in light of these submissions but considered Stretton, being the most significantly below-quota district in the State, to be the district absorbed under its proposal.

Additionally, taking into account the adjustments made to the final boundaries following publication of the proposed redistribution, the former district of Toohey now has an increased commonality with the new district of Eight Mile Plains. Under the final boundaries, both the geographical area and elector base of the new district of Eight Mile Plains are close to evenly split between the former districts of Stretton and Toohey.

O-482 further argued that Springfield should properly be considered the successor to Jordan, and Greenbank considered a newly created district, on the grounds that Springfield has absorbed a greater proportion of electors from the former district of Jordan. Given Greenbank has a significantly greater geographical alignment with Jordan, the Commission considered the characterisation of this district as the successor to Jordan, and Springfield as a new district, to be easily understood by electors.

In both instances, the district is named for a central locality that was contained within the preceding district (the locality of Eight Mile Plains within Toohey and the locality of Greenbank within Jordan).

These submissions also argued the district of Marsden set out in the proposal of 10 March 2026 should be characterised as the successor to Woodridge, while the proposed Woodridge district should be considered the successor to Waterford. With the reconfiguration of both districts in the final determination to align more closely to the existing districts, including retaining the locality of Waterford in the district and reinstating Waterford as the district's name (outlined below), the Commission considered there is a clear link between the existing and final districts in this area.

After consideration of public feedback on the proposed redistribution, the Commission has made adjustments to the proposed boundaries of 37 districts. Of these districts, the adjustments to the proposed boundaries were minimal for 20 of those districts, while moderate to significant adjustments were made to the remaining 17 districts.

The Commission generally aimed to limit changes that required significant consequential changes to the boundaries of neighbouring districts, given all districts set out in the proposed redistribution report published on 10 March 2026 were within the required numerical limits and considered in accordance with the matters prescribed in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

Significant objections against the proposed redistribution are outlined below and addressed where applicable in the relevant district summaries.

Changes to proposed district boundaries

Adjustments to proposed boundaries released on 10 March 2026 are outlined below and in the relevant district summaries.

The Commission carefully considered objections and comments relating to several other issues, but which were unable to be accommodated in the final redistribution. Further details of the Commission's consideration of significant objections and comments are addressed briefly in the relevant district summaries below.

Gold Coast area

Broadwater and Labrador

The Commission considered the objections in O-604, O-608, O-621, O-695 and O-719 (LNP) which argued the localities of Coombabah and Biggera Waters should be united in the districts of Broadwater and Labrador respectively.

While the Commission's initial proposal for these districts left the boundaries unchanged, the Commission was persuaded that these adjustments unite communities of interest and has implemented changes to transfer the remainder of Coombabah and an additional portion of Biggera Waters.

Logan and Ipswich areas

Woodridge, Waterford and Logan

The Commission considered objections including O-719 (LNP), O-724 (ALP) and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel), which argued the proposed changes to Woodridge and Waterford represented a significant departure from the existing boundaries and suggested adjustments to enable the district of Waterford to retain its eponymous locality and name.

The Commission has broadly adopted the suggestion in these submissions that the northern boundary of the Logan district be reverted to its existing alignment, with the locality of Park Ridge included in Logan, and the locality of Waterford reinstated within the district.

The Commission has made further adjustments to the boundaries of Woodridge and Waterford, broadly informed by O-724 and O-752, including that the district of Woodridge extends north-east from Regents Park and Heritage Park along the spine of Wembley Road, through Woodridge, Logan Central, Kingston and Slacks Creek to the Pacific Motorway. The Commission is satisfied that these changes unite connected communities and retain enrolment continuity under the existing boundaries to a greater extent, with the added benefit of including the whole locality of Waterford within the district and allowing a reversion to the original name.

Greenbank, Beaudesert, Logan, Springfield, Ipswich and Ipswich West

The district of Greenbank, which is predominantly composed of localities from the Logan local government area, extended west into the Ipswich local government area under the Commission's proposal, incorporating the localities of South Ripley and part of Spring Mountain.

The Commission considered approximately 35 objections to this proposal, including O-724 (ALP), which highlighted South Ripley's strong community connection to the Ipswich area. The Commission also received several submissions, including from the Honourable Shayne Neumann MP, Federal Member for Blair (O-370), which suggested that Spring Mountain be wholly included in Springfield. Submissions argued this area is well connected to the rest of the district, that the change would not impact enrolment, and continues the alignment of the eastern boundary to the Ipswich-Logan local government area boundary.

While the proposed approach was intended to distribute growth areas between districts, the Commission was persuaded that South Ripley and Spring Mountain should be included in Ipswich West and Springfield respectively. These adjustments wholly align Greenbank's western boundary to the Ipswich/Logan local government area boundary and result in Ipswich West replacing Greenbank as one of the five high growth electorates projected to exceed quota by 2032.

As a result of this change, the Commission was required to make consequential adjustments to the surrounding districts to ensure they remain in quota:

- Greenbank gains the locality of Glenlogan from the district of Logan, adopting in part the suggestion in O-724.
- Logan gains the locality of Mundoolun, currently in Beaudesert, which is well connected to Jimboomba via Mundoolun Road and has a community of interest as part of the Logan local government area.
- Ipswich gains the balance of Yamanto from Ipswich West, uniting the locality within one district and utilising strong boundaries aligned to the Bremer River and Cunningham Highway.

The Commission is satisfied that these changes incorporate the community's feedback and unite communities of interest while limiting the impact on the surrounding districts and more equitably distributing enrolment across this high-growth region.

Brisbane south area

Eight Mile Plains and Mansfield

Objections including O-510 and O-685 (Greens) raised that a portion of the Eight Mile Plains locality was included in Mansfield under the proposed boundaries.

While the proposed boundaries aligned the district to the Pacific Motorway, the Commission ultimately agreed it to be preferable for the whole locality to be in the district which shares its name and has amended the boundaries to include the balance of the locality of Eight Mile Plains in the district. This change has the additional benefit of reinstating a portion of the boundary of the former Toohey district aligned to Bulimba Creek. No further adjustments were required as enrolment in both districts is sufficient to accommodate the change.

Carindale and Bulimba

The Commission considered the objection in O-685 (Greens) regarding the alignment of the boundary between Carindale and Bulimba which separated a group of approximately 50 houses in the suburb of Seven Hills from the rest of the district of Bulimba. The submission argued for the inclusion of this area in Carindale. The Commission was persuaded to make this change, noting the approximately 108 impacted electors have better access to the Carindale district than Bulimba.

Brisbane north area

Aspley, Nudgee and Sandgate

The Commission received approximately 67 objections to the proposal to include part of the suburb of Geebung in Nudgee, including O-724 (ALP). These submissions largely argued that Geebung represents a connected community of interest, highlighting shared community infrastructure such as schools and the RSL Club which were transferred to Nudgee under the proposed boundaries.

The Commission agreed to restore the existing boundary in this area, returning the residential portion of Geebung to Aspley. The Commission also agreed to restore Nudgee's existing boundary with Sandgate, reuniting the entire localities of Zillmere in Nudgee and Taigum in Sandgate, as advocated in O-359, improving projected enrolment in the district following the loss of Geebung. These changes mean Nudgee is largely unchanged from the existing boundaries.

With the proposed changes reverted and Sandgate's projected enrolment being more than 10% below the State average, the Commission also agreed to implement the change originally suggested in submissions including S-095, S-099, S-101, S-109, S-112 (Greens), S-117 and CS-491 that the district should gain part of Bald Hills from Aspley. The Commission considered that this approach improves enrolment across the three districts, unites the bulk of Bald Hills within Sandgate, and retains the northern boundaries aligned to the Brisbane City Council local government area.

Stafford and Clayfield

The Commission was persuaded by the suggestion in O-685 (Greens) which identified a single house in the Clayfield district which was separated from the rest of its street in Stafford. The minor adjustment to include the impacted house in Stafford does not impact enrolment.

Indooroopilly and Ashgrove

The locality of Mount Coot-tha was to be transferred from Indooroopilly to Ashgrove under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-331, O-364, O-593 and O-676 which argued this area should remain within Indooroopilly. The adjustment to include this locality within Indooroopilly does not affect the enrolment or internal transport connections of either district.

Moreton Bay and Sunshine Coast areas

Kurwongbah and Morayfield

The Commission received approximately 29 objections and a further 8 comments regarding the proposed alignment of the boundary between Kurwongbah and Morayfield, which split the locality of Narangba between the two districts. Submissions highlighted that the locality represents a community of interest connected by local schools and sports clubs, and argued the locality should be united within Kurwongbah.

The Commission considered an objection from Mr Shane King MP, Member for Kurwongbah (O-725), which noted the locality of Burpengary is already split between the two districts, and suggested a further portion of Burpengary (north of Rowley and Station Roads) could be transferred to Morayfield to compensate for the inclusion of Narangba wholly within Kurwongbah.

The Commission was persuaded by the arguments put forward in these submissions, but found an additional portion of Burpengary, beyond the section suggested by Mr King, was required to balance enrolment between the two districts. The Commission is satisfied that the revised boundary, aligned to Rowley Road, Henderson Road and North Shore Drive Park, suitably addresses community concerns and better unites communities of interest in this densely populated region.

Pumicestone and Caloundra

The Commission considered the objection in O-719 (LNP) that the proposed boundary with Caloundra did not give adequate weight to the local government boundary as a marker of community identity and interest. The submission suggested the boundary be aligned to the Sunshine Coast local government area boundary, including the whole Coochin Creek locality and the eastern portion of Beerburum in Caloundra, rather than Pumicestone.

The Commission decided to restore Caloundra's existing southern boundary, aligned to the Coochin Creek waterway, which incorporates the northern portion of this locality within Caloundra. The Commission agreed this approach balances the community of interest factors raised in the submission against the projected high population growth in the Caloundra district, noting the Coochin Creek waterway provides a strong and easily understood physical boundary.

Wide Bay and Burnett area

Maryborough and Hervey Bay

The Commission received approximately 68 objections regarding the boundaries of Hervey Bay. Many of these submissions, including O-719 (LNP), argued that the boundaries should be adjusted to ensure the Hervey Bay Hospital, which was placed in Maryborough under the proposed boundaries, remains in the district of Hervey Bay. The Commission agreed with this suggestion and explored options to make the change.

The Commission also considered approximately 42 submissions which objected to the transfer of urban Hervey Bay localities such as Urraween and Eli Waters to Maryborough. Some submissions also objected to the inclusion of localities such as Bunya Creek and Susan River in Hervey Bay, suggesting these are more rural localities sharing more in common with Maryborough.

The Commission decided that the portion of Urraween situated east of Maryborough-Hervey Bay Road be returned to the Hervey Bay district, restoring the hospital and current boundary alignment. To balance this change, the Commission agreed that the localities of Nikenbah, Bunya Creek and Susan River, which are partially contained within the Maryborough district under the current boundaries, be wholly united within Maryborough. These changes are intended to balance the community's feedback with the practical need to distribute electors more evenly between the two districts.

Nanango and Callide

The Commission considered the objection from the South Burnett Regional Council (O-241) which argued for the inclusion of the whole local government area within the district of Nanango. While the whole local government area cannot be incorporated in Nanango without significant consequential changes to Callide, the Commission noted that the submission highlighted the connection between the townships of Proston and Hivesville, which were situated in Callide and Nanango respectively under the proposed boundaries. The Commission agreed to make a minor adjustment to include Proston in Nanango, given the minimal impact on enrolment.

North Queensland and large districts

Gregory and Warrego

The southern portion of the Blackall-Tambo local government area including the town of Tambo was transferred from Gregory to Warrego under the proposed boundaries, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government stakeholders including the Blackall-Tambo Regional Council (O-613), O-171, O-280, O-309 and O-691, and from the Member for Pine Rivers, Ms Nikki Boyd MP (O-513) which argued that the local government area should remain united within Gregory. The proposed change slightly impacts Warrego's projected enrolment, but the district is projected to remain in quota.

Mirani and Mackay

The locality of Racecourse was transferred from Mirani to Mackay under the proposed boundaries, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government and sugar cane industry stakeholders including O-431, O-502, O-605 and O-615 which argued the Racecourse Mill and associated rail infrastructure share an economic community of interest with cane growers in Mirani.

Accordingly, the Commission decided that the locality of Racecourse (which includes the Racecourse Mill) should remain in the district of Mirani. This change does not materially impact enrolment in either district as the locality is sparsely populated.

Mundingburra and Burdekin

The Commission considered a small number of objections including O-719 (LNP) and O-724 (ALP) which argued for the inclusion of additional portions of urban Townsville in the district of Mundingburra rather than their proposed placement in Burdekin. O-719 argued for the inclusion of the Lavarack Barracks, within the locality of Murray, in Mundingburra while O-724 suggested the inclusion of adjacent suburb of Wulguru and the balance Cluden.

On balance, the Commission decided to include the locality of Murray in Mundingburra, noting the strong connection of the defence force to the local community. Furthermore, this adjustment could be accommodated without consequential changes to Mundingburra, as it impacts approximately 800 electors compared to more than 3,300 electors in Wulguru.

Burdekin, Flinders and Cook

The Commission explored several options in developing its proposed boundaries in this area, with the broad intention that the existing large districts not significantly expand in size geographically.

The Commission considered several objections regarding its proposed boundaries in the region, including two submissions from impacted local governments:

- Charters Towers Regional Council (O-042) suggested that the balance of the local government area, particularly Greenvale and its surrounds, be included in Burdekin rather than Flinders.
- Mareeba Shire Council (O-455) suggested that localities including Dimbulah, Chillagoe and the balance of Mareeba (including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport) should be retained within Cook rather than being transferred to Flinders.

The Commission noted several further objections regarding the proposal to transfer part of the Mareeba locality including the airport to Flinders including O-542, O-548, O-694 and O-719 (LNP).

The Commission agreed to implement these requests to the extent practicable by making the following adjustments:

- The balance of the Charters Towers local government area is included in Burdekin. The Commission notes that, following this adjustment, the area of the district approaches, but does not exceed, the threshold to become a large district.
- The balance of the Mareeba locality, including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport are included in Cook.
- To compensate for these adjustments, the Commission has transferred the western bulk of the Mareeba local government area and the balance of the Carpentaria local government area to Flinders to ensure the district remains in quota. The Commission notes that, following this change, the area of Cook has decreased by approximately 30% and Flinders has increased by approximately 1.8% compared to the existing boundaries.

While the Commission was unable to accommodate the request to include Dimbulah and Chillagoe within Cook due to quota in Flinders, these changes are intended to balance the community's feedback with the practical need to manage the enrolment across these districts.

Changes to proposed district names

The Commission's proposed redistribution released on 10 March 2026 proposed changes to 19 district names, reverting to the previous dominant practice in Queensland of naming electoral districts after geographical places or features.

The Commission received 64 objections and 3 comments in relation to electoral district names only and 77 objections and 8 comments in relation to electoral boundaries and district names. Many submitters were supportive of the Commission's approach to renaming districts, while others objected to the practice or specific names proposed by the Commission.

After careful consideration, the Commission has retained 17 of the 19 new names originally proposed, but has decided not to proceed with the proposal to rename the districts of Coomera and Waterford due to objections and comments received during the final stages of consultation.

Coomera

The name Pimpama was originally proposed for this district, reflecting the large, central locality within the district and noting the localities of Coomera and Upper Coomera, both largely contained within the district of Coomera under the current boundaries, are in separate districts under the new boundaries.

The Commission considered objections including from the Member for Coomera, Mr Michael Crandon MP (O-0754) suggesting the name Coomera should be retained, which noted the whole locality of Coomera is located within the district, while a portion of the Pimpama locality is in Oxenford. The Commission was persuaded that the existing name should be retained on these grounds.

Waterford

The name Marsden was originally proposed for this district, given the locality of Waterford was transferred to Logan under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026.

Following consideration of objections and comments on the objections (outlined above and in the relevant district summary below), the Commission determined that the locality of Waterford should be included in this district and that the longstanding name be reinstated accordingly.

Electoral weightage and the redistribution

Background

The *Electoral Act 1992* (the Act) prescribes 93 electoral districts for the State and requires the Commission to redistribute the State into 93 electoral districts.³⁹

An electoral redistribution is required to be within numerical limits.

If an electoral district has an area of less than 100,000 km², the number of enrolled electors must not differ from the average number of enrolled electors for electoral districts by more than 10%.⁴⁰ The “average number of enrolled electors for electoral districts” is defined as “the number worked out by dividing the total number of enrolled electors for all electoral districts by 93.”⁴¹

However, when an electoral district has an area of 100,000 km² or more, the number of enrolled electors is supplemented by an “additional large district number”: section 45(1)(b). This term is defined in section 45(2) to mean 2% of the number of km² in the area of the electoral district. For example, if an electoral district has an area of 100,000 km², the additional large district number is 2,000 notional electors.

In each election since 1992 (when the Act came into force), there has been between four and five large electoral districts.

The Electoral and Administrative Review Commission (EARC), which carried out the 1991 redistribution, determined that there would be five large districts: Charters Towers (216,694 km²), Cook (304,527 km²), Gregory (343,571 km²), Mt Isa (195,285 km²) and Warrego (335,837 km²).⁴²

39 Section 35(1) and (3).

40 Section 45(1)(a).

41 Section 3(1).

42 *Queensland Government Gazette*, Vol CCXCVIII, No 85, 27 November 1991, page 1358.

The Queensland Redistribution Commissions in 1999 and 2008 maintained five large districts.⁴³ In the case of the 2008 redistribution, Charters Towers was replaced by the electorate of Dalrymple.

The 2017 Commission, despite the number of electoral districts increasing to 93, reduced the number of large electorates to four.

The 2026 Commission has determined that there will continue to be four large districts, each of which has an area of more than 100,000 km²: Cook, Flinders, Gregory and Warrego.

Since Queensland attained self-government in 1859, successive Governments introduced electoral laws designed to protect rural and remote interests.⁴⁴

The Electoral Districts Act of 1910 was the first legislation which attempted to bring some form of parity between urban and rural electorates. It provided for a system of 72 single member constituencies across the State and the quota for each electorate was the division of the total number of electors by 72.⁴⁵

Allowance was made for a variation of 20% above or below the quota.⁴⁶

However, the Electoral Commissioners who were charged with carrying out the redistribution were to take into consideration the “*area of Proposed Districts which do not comprise any part of a city or town*”.⁴⁷

The next electoral redistribution law was *The Electoral Districts Act of 1931*.

Under this law, the number of Members of the Legislative Assembly was reduced to 62,⁴⁸ and as with the 1910 legislation, the total number of electors was divided by the number of Members with a variance of 20% above or below the quota.⁴⁹

The 1931 legislation also prescribed that one of the considerations for the Electoral Commissioners was the area of proposed Districts which did not comprise any part of a city.⁵⁰ Unlike the 1910 legislation, the 1931 legislation (see extract below) was more prescriptive in how the Electoral Commissioners were to deal with electorates falling wholly within a city:⁵¹

“Provided that the approximate number of electors-

- (a) In respect of a proposed Electoral District which shall be wholly comprised of a city; or
- (b) In respect of a proposed Electoral District which be wholly comprised of part of a city;

shall not be less than such quota.

Provided further that the approximate number of electors in respect of a proposed Electoral District within the boundaries of which there is included a city or part of a city shall not be less than seven thousand.”

43 *Queensland Government Gazette*, Vol CCCXXI, No 72, 7 July 1999, page 1250 and *Queensland Government Gazette*, Vol 348, No 111, 20 August 2008 page 2263.

44 A.A. Morrison, ‘The Government of Queensland’ in S.R. Davis (ed), *The Government of the Australian States*, 1960, page 263 (Morrison).

45 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1910*, section 6.

46 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1910*, section 7.

47 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1910*, section 7.

48 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1931*, section 3.

49 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1931*, section 6.

50 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1931*, section 7.

51 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1931*, section 7. A “city” was defined in section 7 to include the Brisbane City Council or a city proclaimed under *The Local Authorities Acts*, 1902 to 1929.

Whilst the redistribution legislation from 1859 until 1949 gave a measure of preference to rural electorates, this preference was made more explicit and accentuated from 1949. Between 1949 and 1992, Queensland had a zonal system of electoral districts. With the exception of the period 1958-1971 (when the State was divided into three zones), there were four zones, each of which had a different electoral quota.

For example, under *The Electoral Districts Act of 1949*, the State was divided into 75 electoral districts, with 24 in the Metropolitan Zone, 28 in the South-Eastern Zone, 13 in the Northern Zone and 10 in the Western Zone.⁵² Each of these zones had a separate quota with a tolerance of $\pm 20\%$.⁵³

The average quota for the Metropolitan Zone was 10,795 whilst the quota for the Western Zone was 4,613.⁵⁴ This resulted in an increasing disparity between the enrolments in the Metropolitan Zone and the Western Zone.

At the 1956 State election the electorate of Mt Gravatt in the Metropolitan Zone had 26,307 electors whilst the electorate of Mackenzie in the Western Zone had only 4,848 electors.⁵⁵

Electoral and Administrative Review Commission (EARC)

When the Queensland electoral system was investigated by EARC in 1990, it found that the zonal system produced significant malapportionment not only between zones but also within zones. EARC recommended that the zonal system should be discontinued as soon as practicable.⁵⁶

Whilst rejecting the zonal system, EARC found that there was a case for electoral weightage for remote electorates. Arguments that were considered in favour of weightage of remote areas included:

- Regional development;
- Contribution to State wealth;
- Dominance of urban interests;
- Weightage applies in other Western democracies; and
- Quality and effectiveness of representation.

EARC was not persuaded by the first four arguments, but found merit in the fifth. EARC made the following finding:⁵⁷

“An important recommendation in this Report is to apply a small degree of weightage for remote areas. The remote areas were generally identified as the far north and the far west of the State particularly the area covered by the present electoral districts of Cook, Mount Isa, Flinders, Gregory and Warrego. There was widespread agreement among the submissions that distance and remoteness produced a prejudice to the quality and effectiveness of representation in those areas. The particular prejudice is that distance and remoteness adversely affect the ability of elector to maintain effective contact with their Member.”

52 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1949*, sections 5 and 6.

53 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1949*, section 10(5).

54 *Report on the Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral System*, Volume 1, Table 10.1 page 127.

55 Morrison, page 260.

56 *Report on Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral System*, Volume 1, paragraphs 10.49 and 10.56.

57 Paragraph 13.9.

EARC concluded that departure from equal suffrage was justified when application of equal suffrage produced a prejudice to good government and the departure was reasonably proportionate to the problem and did not result in unfairness to the overall electoral system.

EARC also was of the view that limiting electoral weightage to electorates of 100,000 km² or more and applying a 2% formula would achieve the goal of ameliorating the problem of representation without over-compensating the problem.

Nonetheless, EARC explicitly warned that the formula must be administered by an independent Electoral Redistribution Commission, free of interference from the government of the day.⁵⁸

Over the past 36 years, there has been a bipartisan acceptance of EARC's finding that a strict application of equal suffrage could prejudice the quality and effectiveness of representation in the most sparsely populated areas of Queensland.⁵⁹

The 2026 Redistribution

The Commission received numerous submissions and objections contending that people in remote areas of Queensland were placed at a disadvantage because of the electoral representation difficulties presented by the tyranny of distance.

In its initial submission, Katter's Australian Party (KAP) contended that the current 2% weighting for large electorates does not adequately reflect the realities of representing remote and sparsely populated communities. Instead, it was submitted that the 2% weighting be increased to 4% (S-105).

Further, KAP also submitted that in a weighted electorate, a further weighted enrolment should apply for each Indigenous Council. The KAP submission did not detail how this additional proposed weightage would operate.

Having regard to the number of submissions that the Commission received on this matter, and the vehemence of some of the submissions, the Commission considers it desirable to address this matter.

First, and most importantly, the Commission carries out its statutory function in accordance with the law. The Commission has no ability or authority to go beyond the four corners of the law as it stands during the conduct of a redistribution.

The submission of KAP that the Commission should deviate from the 2% weighting to 4%, like other submissions urging the Commission to ignore the provisions of the Act, is misconceived.

Nonetheless, the Commission observes that over time, the growth of population in parts of south-east Queensland and certain regional centres has exacerbated the imbalance of population between the coastal areas of Queensland and the western and far northern regions of the State.

Since the 1949 redistribution, there have been somewhere between four and seven electorates having an area in excess of 100,000 km², and since 1991, this has fluctuated between four and five. There are currently four such electorates.

58 Paragraph 13.12.

59 Paragraph 10.191.

However, even with the electoral weightage recommended by EARC in 1990, it is expected that there will come a time, in the not too distant future, when the number of weighted electorates will decrease from four. When that occurs, there will be even more strident calls for remedial action by those persons and organisations who claim that they are being disadvantaged.

Observations

It is not the Commission's task to make recommendations for changes to electoral legislation. However, as the issues involved with remote area representation were raised in many submissions, we think it prudent to make some general observations in that regard.

1. One means of addressing the disparity in growth between the sparsely populated regions of Queensland and the faster growing regions would be to increase the number of electorates.

A proportionate increase could make the task of parliamentarians representing their constituents more efficient and effective, and also result in less pressure being placed on reducing the number of remote area electorates.

Despite Queensland's population increasing dramatically over the past 50 years, the number of electorates has not increased proportionately.

In 1859, the Legislative Assembly had 26 Members—which increased progressively until reaching 72 Members in 1887. The number of electorates remained static until 1931 when, in the midst of the Great Depression, there was a reduction to 62.

At successive redistributions in 1949, 1958, 1971 and 1985, the number of electorates was increased to 75, 78,⁶⁰ 82,⁶¹ and 89,⁶² respectively.

The last time that the number of electorates was increased was 2017, when 93 was prescribed.

Despite the number of electorates being only 21 more than in 1887, and with the abolition of the Legislative Council in 1922, nonetheless the population of Queensland, and consequently the number of electors, has increased exponentially.

The population of Queensland as recorded during the Census for the following years is instructive:

1947	1,106,415
1966	1,663,685
1971	1,827,065
1981	2,295,123
2001	3,585,639
2011	4,332,739
2021	5,156,138

60 *The Electoral Districts Act of 1958*, section 4.

61 *Electoral Districts Act 1971*, section 4.

62 *Electoral Districts Act 1985*, section 4.

The Queensland Government Statistician's Office estimates that the population of Queensland as at 28 May 2026 was 5,757,656.⁶³

Although the population of Queensland is almost 500% more than it was at the time of the 1949 redistribution when there were 75 electorates, the number of electorates has increased by only 25%.

Inevitably this results in those parts of Queensland with lower than average population growth rates having fewer electorates.

Looked at slightly differently, the electoral enrolment at the following elections and the average enrolment in each electoral district were as follows:

Election	Electoral Enrolment	Average Enrolment
1950	718,685	9,582
1960	813,398	10,659
1972	997,489	12,165
1977	1,209,494	14,750
1986	1,563,294	17,565
1992	1,951,675	21,928
2001	2,276,044	25,573
2009	2,660,940	29,898
2017	3,229,536	34,726
22.09.2025 ⁶⁴	3,737,470	40,188

The projected Queensland enrolment by 30 June 2032 is 4,155,112 with an average enrolment of 44,679—if the number of electoral districts remains at 93.

Increasing the number of electoral districts, even very modestly, could provide some leeway to future Redistribution Commissions to minimise the impact on regional and remote electoral districts.

It may be noted that unless the number of electorates were substantially increased, there could still be pressure placed on the sparsely populated electorates, with no guarantee that the current four districts could be maintained.

However, an increase in the overall number of electoral districts could go some way to achieve the objective of minimising the risk of prejudicing the effectiveness of representation in remote areas.

2. Another means of addressing those issues could be to enhance the support facilities for those MPs representing remote areas to enable them to carry out their electoral duties as effectively as possible.

Relevantly, we understand that the current provisions for MPs are as set out on the following page.

⁶³ Queensland Government Statistician's Office Queensland population counter as at 28 May 2026: qgso.qld.gov.au/statistics/theme/population/population-estimates/state-territories/qld-population-counter.

⁶⁴ The quota calculation date for the 2026 redistribution: *Electoral Act 1992*, sections 44(3)(b)(i) and 45(1).

Remuneration of parliamentarians lies within the remit of the Queensland Independent Remuneration Tribunal.⁶⁵ Remuneration includes salary, allowances or entitlements other than accommodation, services and other entitlements provided under the *Parliamentary Services Act 1988*.⁶⁶

The Tribunal has set an Electorate and Communication Allowance aimed at providing constituent assistance and service for the electorate, covering incidental costs and obtaining and disseminating information to the electorate. The amount of the allowance is based on the population and size of the electorate. There are four Bands of electorates with all of the electoral districts having an area of more than 100,000 km² in the highest Band.⁶⁷ Members of those remote electorates are provided with an additional electoral office and an additional \$1,500 per annum to supplement the Electorate and Communication Allowance rates.⁶⁸

In addition, MPs are provided a Motor Vehicle Allowance. Members representing the four remote electorates (as well as six other MPs with large electorates) are paid at the highest Band level.⁶⁹

A General Travel Allowance is also provided which is intended to deal with eligible air and ground travel and overnight expenses undertaken for the primary purpose of conducting Parliamentary Business. Again the four MPs representing the remote electorates are in the highest Band.⁷⁰ Other allowances are also prescribed, including, for example, claims for overnight travel expenses.

Apart from accommodation and services in the parliamentary precinct, non-parliamentary precinct accommodation and services are the responsibility of the Speaker of the Legislative Assembly under the *Parliamentary Service Act 1988*. Examples of accommodation and services that this entails are:⁷¹

“electorate offices, office furniture and fixed telephones, staffing support, computers, printing and copying hardware and other information technology infrastructure and major items of office equipment.”

As an example, the location of an electoral office, the standards and maintenance of that office (furnishings, electricity, security, insurance and signage) lie within the responsibilities of the Speaker. Likewise the staffing of an electorate office lies within the responsibility of the Clerk of the Parliament.

65 *Queensland Independent Remuneration Tribunal Act 2013*. Section 29 sets out the general principles for making remuneration determinations. The Tribunal is required by section 29(1)(d) to have regard to:

“(d) other matters the tribunal considers appropriate.

*Example of a matter for paragraph (d)—
the size of a member’s electorate”*

66 Schedule 1, *Queensland Independent Remuneration Tribunal Act 2013*.

67 Determination 38/2026, effective 1 January 2026. Parliamentarians within this Band currently receive an allowance of \$113,905 per annum.

68 Determination 16/2017.

69 Determination 18/2018: \$51,125 per annum.

70 Determination 38/2026, currently \$89,996.

71 *Queensland Independent Remuneration Tribunal Act 2013*, section 59D(b).

The remuneration and resource requirements of Queensland parliamentarians are dealt with in a proactive and independent manner by the Queensland Independent Remuneration Tribunal, the Speaker and the Clerk of the Parliament. The *Members' Remuneration Handbook* issued by the Office of the Clerk of the Parliament sets out at length the remuneration and allowances of MPs. There are also mechanisms in place to ensure that MPs' legitimate needs are subject to ongoing independent monitoring. In those circumstances, the Commission is satisfied that those MPs representing remote electorates are adequately resourced.

3. More contentious options to address the issues involved with remote area representation could include:

- **decreasing the area threshold of 100,000 km²**
- **increasing the weightage from 2%**
- **increasing the tolerance to more than 10%**

Any change in the law to implement the first two of these options, unless undertaken with bipartisan support, would be problematic insofar as there would be a real risk that the electoral system could be manipulated for partisan advantage. This risk was highlighted in the majority Report of the Parliamentary Committee for Electoral and Administrative Review in 1991.⁷²

If it is determined by Parliament in the future to maintain some form of electoral weightage to deal with the real problems experienced in remote electorates outlined at length by EARC, then it appears to the Commission that the EARC-determined 100,000 km² demarcation with a 2% weightage would be a sound foundation.

The only question that may warrant further consideration in the future would be whether the tolerance of 10% could be expanded to 15% to give greater leeway to future Redistribution Commissions particularly in the context of variances in population growth between the regions.⁷³

72 *Report on An Electoral and Administrative Review Commission Report on Queensland Legislative Assembly Electoral System*, pages 19-24.

73 Subsection 15(2) of the *Local Government Act 2009* provides that the tolerance for a division of a local government area with more than 10,000 electors is 10% but is 20% for any other local government area. This was a recommendation of EARC in its 1990 *Report on the Local Government Electoral System of Queensland* paragraph 6.51.

Final districts and names

The names and enrolment for each of Queensland's 93 districts are detailed in the table below. Further information about each district and the reasons for the Commission's decisions can be found in the regional and district summaries below.

District name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment (as at 22 September 2025) [#]	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Alger		42,778 (+6.44%)	45,403 (+1.62%)
Annerley	Miller	40,871 (+1.70%)	42,966 (-3.83%)
Ashgrove	Cooper	42,543 (+5.86%)	45,057 (+0.85%)
Aspley		39,830 (-0.89%)	41,692 (-6.69%)
Barron River		42,410 (+5.53%)	45,457 (+1.74%)
Beaudesert	Scenic Rim	39,211 (-2.43%)	42,803 (-4.20%)
Beenleigh	Macalister	40,526 (+0.84%)	45,516 (+1.87%)
Brisbane Central	McConnel	38,517 (-4.16%)	48,958 (+9.58%)
Broadwater		39,210 (-2.43%)	44,348 (-0.74%)
Buderim		38,022 (-5.39%)	45,739 (+2.37%)
Bulimba		39,807 (-0.95%)	41,411 (-7.31%)
Bundaberg		39,649 (-1.34%)	41,535 (-7.04%)
Burdekin		38,150 (-5.07%)	41,124 (-7.96%)
Burleigh		41,363 (+2.92%)	44,949 (+0.60%)
Burnett		40,427 (+0.59%)	42,651 (-4.54%)
Caboolture	New district	37,858 (-5.80%)	47,192 (+5.62%)
Cairns		43,581 (+8.44%)	47,786 (+6.95%)
Callide		40,546 (+0.89%)	41,757 (-6.54%)
Caloundra		38,065 (-5.28%)	54,119 (+21.13%)
Capalaba		42,286 (+5.22%)	42,607 (-4.64%)
Carindale	Chatsworth	41,416 (+3.06%)	41,977 (-6.05%)
Clayfield		39,624 (-1.40%)	45,287 (+1.36%)
Cleveland	Oodgeroo	41,836 (+4.10%)	45,168 (+1.09%)
Condamine		38,593 (-3.97%)	42,829 (-4.14%)
Cook*		42,537 (+5.85%)	45,257 (+1.29%)

District name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment (as at 22 September 2025) [#]	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Coolum	Ninderry	42,858 (+6.64%)	45,585 (+2.03%)
Coomera		36,717 (-8.64%)	53,116 (+18.88%)
Currumbin		38,756 (-3.56%)	41,528 (-7.05%)
Deception Bay	Bancroft	42,689 (+6.22%)	46,430 (+3.92%)
Eight Mile Plains	Toohey	42,258 (+5.15%)	44,003 (-1.51%)
Everton		40,956 (+1.91%)	43,127 (-3.47%)
Ferny Grove		39,927 (-0.65%)	41,227 (-7.73%)
Flinders*	Traeger	39,414 (-1.93%)	40,423 (-9.53%)
Gaven		41,848 (+4.13%)	44,891 (+0.47%)
Gladstone		41,585 (+3.48%)	44,943 (+0.59%)
Glass House		38,410 (-4.42%)	42,108 (-5.75%)
Greenbank	Jordan	36,654 (-8.79%)	48,810 (+9.25%)
Greenslopes		39,352 (-2.08%)	43,663 (-2.27%)
Gregory*		40,902 (+1.78%)	41,243 (-7.69%)
Gympie		41,639 (+3.61%)	44,978 (+0.67%)
Hervey Bay		41,525 (+3.33%)	44,231 (-1.00%)
Hinchinbrook		42,740 (+6.35%)	46,146 (+3.28%)
Inala		42,789 (+6.47%)	44,728 (+0.11%)
Indooroopilly	Maiwar	39,234 (-2.37%)	42,319 (-5.28%)
Ipswich		42,598 (+6.00%)	47,024 (+5.25%)
Ipswich West		39,009 (-2.93%)	50,549 (+13.14%)
Kawana		40,770 (+1.45%)	43,762 (-2.05%)
Keppel		38,147 (-5.08%)	44,005 (-1.51%)
Kurwongbah		39,947 (-0.60%)	46,538 (+4.16%)
Labrador	Bonney	38,181 (-4.99%)	48,796 (+9.21%)
Lockyer		40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
Logan		36,520 (-9.13%)	48,085 (+7.62%)
Lytton		40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
Mackay		43,280 (+7.69%)	45,466 (+1.76%)

District name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment (as at 22 September 2025) [#]	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Mansfield		38,404 (-4.44%)	43,769 (-2.04%)
Maroochydore		43,262 (+7.65%)	47,914 (+7.24%)
Maryborough		42,367 (+5.42%)	45,616 (+2.10%)
Mermaid Beach		41,210 (+2.54%)	44,700 (+0.05%)
Mirani		41,275 (+2.70%)	43,536 (-2.56%)
Moggill		42,515 (+5.79%)	43,856 (-1.84%)
Morayfield		36,739 (-8.58%)	53,390 (+19.50%)
Mount Ommaney		43,810 (+9.01%)	44,593 (-0.19%)
Mudgeeraba		39,543 (-1.60%)	43,793 (-1.98%)
Mulgrave		42,285 (+5.22%)	48,388 (+8.30%)
Mundingburra		43,439 (+8.09%)	45,895 (+2.72%)
Murrumba		40,144 (-0.11%)	48,585 (+8.74%)
Nambour	Nicklin	38,793 (-3.47%)	42,138 (-5.69%)
Nanango		41,554 (+3.40%)	43,337 (-3.00%)
Noosa		39,281 (-2.26%)	41,089 (-8.04%)
Nudgee		40,583 (+0.98%)	42,328 (-5.26%)
Oxenford	Theodore	39,337 (-2.12%)	43,578 (-2.46%)
Pine Rivers		42,491 (+5.73%)	46,700 (+4.52%)
Pumicestone		38,666 (-3.79%)	42,694 (-4.44%)
Redbank	Bundamba	37,241 (-7.33%)	51,828 (+16.00%)
Redcliffe		41,327 (+2.83%)	48,925 (+9.50%)
Redlands		39,976 (-0.53%)	43,211 (-3.29%)
Rockhampton		42,408 (+5.52%)	44,738 (+0.13%)
Sandgate		41,326 (+2.83%)	42,224 (-5.49%)
South Brisbane		38,296 (-4.71%)	48,853 (+9.34%)
Southern Downs		39,514 (-1.68%)	40,934 (-8.38%)
Southport		37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
Springfield	New district	38,436 (-4.36%)	48,493 (+8.54%)
Springwood		41,714 (+3.80%)	43,043 (-3.66%)

District name	Current district name (if applicable)	Enrolment (as at 22 September 2025) [#]	Projected enrolment (as at 30 June 2032)
Stafford		43,441 (+8.09%)	46,487 (+4.05%)
Surfers Paradise		38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
Thuringowa		43,363 (+7.90%)	45,228 (+1.23%)
Toowoomba North		41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
Toowoomba South		41,596 (+3.50%)	42,926 (-3.92%)
Townsville		39,249 (-2.34%)	45,536 (+1.92%)
Warrego*		40,382 (+0.48%)	40,537 (-9.27%)
Waterford		43,123 (+7.30%)	47,477 (+6.26%)
Whitsunday		39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
Woodridge		43,648 (+8.61%)	46,038 (+3.04%)

[#] In accordance with section 45 of the Electoral Act, the quota for the redistribution is to be calculated based on the total State enrolment at the closing date for the second stage of public consultation (the 21-day period prescribed under section 43(2)(b) of the Electoral Act for comments on suggestions), which was 22 September 2025.

* Large district enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

Regional and district summaries

The section below outlines the changes to Queensland’s State electoral districts and the reasons for the changes.

References to “current” or “existing” electorates refer specifically to the present 93 districts and boundaries. All other district, name and boundary references, unless otherwise stated, relate to the Commission’s new 93 electorates.

This section is structured around regions, consistent with the approach used by previous redistribution commissions, with relevant updates to regional groupings to reflect the current demography of Queensland.⁷⁴

Each section begins with a summary which broadly outlines existing situations and challenges in the region, identifies major changes and their rationale, and lists the districts in the region.

The Commission has also prepared summaries for each district within the region which describes the district, outlines the changes and any adjustments made between the proposed and final redistribution, provides elector numbers and quota deviation (current and projected) and notes relevant public suggestions, objections and comments.

Detailed maps for each district are available in **Appendix 4** and on the Commission’s website.

▲ Gold Coast area

▲ Sunshine Coast area

▲ Redlands area

▲ Southern Queensland

▲ Logan area

▲ Wide Bay and Burnett

▲ Ipswich area

▲ Central Queensland

▲ Brisbane south

▲ Northern Queensland

▲ Brisbane north

▲ Large districts

▲ Moreton Bay area

⁷⁴ This report includes separate sections for the Logan and Redlands areas, rather than the “Area between Brisbane and the Gold Coast” description used for these areas in previous reports.

▲ Gold Coast area

Summary:

At the commencement of this redistribution, Coomera was the most populous district in the State, being more than 37% above the average State enrolment, while nearby Gaven was below quota.

The Commission explored a variety of approaches to improve the enrolment in the Coomera area and decided that the district should retain the territory situated east of the motorway, with the western balance of the existing district transferred to Beenleigh (formerly Macalister) and Oxenford (formerly Theodore) using the motorway as its western boundary. The Commission notes this district is one of 5 still projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission decided to maintain the existing boundaries of Surfers Paradise and Southport, which are in quota and projected to remain so.

There are 11 districts in the Gold Coast area:

Currumbin

Burleigh

Mermaid Beach

Surfers Paradise

Southport

Labrador (formerly Bonney)

Broadwater

Mudgeeraba

Gaven

Oxenford (formerly Theodore)

Coomera

Currumbin

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,274 (-4.76%)	41,024 (-8.18%)
New boundaries	38,756 (-3.56%)	41,528 (-7.05%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the balance of the Tallebudgera locality from Burleigh.	
Composition	Coolangatta, Bilinga, Tugun, Currumbin, Currumbin Waters, Currumbin Valley, Elanora, Tallebudgera and Tallebudgera Valley.	

The Commission has made only **minimal changes** to current boundaries of the Currumbin electorate. The boundaries unite the Tallebudgera locality within the district, and align the electorate boundary to the locality boundary. This change is consistent with several suggestions including S-099, S-100, S-108 and S-110 (LNP).

Enrolment in the district is slightly improved by this change, although the Commission notes the district's enrolment is projected to trend toward the lower end of the threshold by 2032. However, the district maintains enrolment continuity for all electors in the current district.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district and is satisfied that adjustments are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Currumbin.

Burleigh

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,258 (-4.80%)	41,433 (-7.27%)
New boundaries	41,363 (+2.92%)	44,949 (+0.60%)
Losses	Loses the portion of the Tallebudgera locality currently in the district to Currumbin.	
Gains	Gains the balance of the Varsity Lakes locality from Mermaid Beach.	
Composition	Palm Beach, Burleigh Heads, Varsity Lakes and the bulk of Burleigh Waters.	

The Commission has made only **minimal changes** to current boundaries of the Burleigh electorate. The changes unite the Varsity Lakes locality within Burleigh, with the north-western boundary now aligned to the locality boundary. Whole-of-State suggestions regarding this district variously incorporated the balance of Burleigh Waters and/or Varsity Lakes, part of Robina or Miami in Burleigh.

These changes greatly improve the current and projected enrolment for the district, unite a clear community of interest and maintain enrolment continuity for the majority of electors in the current district.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district, but noted a small number of submissions which suggested changes in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts. The Commission is satisfied that adjustments are not required, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Burleigh.

Mermaid Beach

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,541 (-4.10%)	41,808 (-6.43%)
New boundaries	41,210 (+2.54%)	44,700 (+0.05%)
Losses	Loses the portion of the Varsity Lakes locality currently in the district to Burleigh.	
Gains	Gains the balance of the Robina locality from Mudgeeraba.	
Composition	Miami, Mermaid Beach, Mermaid Waters, Robina, a small northern portion of Burleigh Waters and small southern portions of Broadbeach and Broadbeach Waters.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to the district of Mermaid Beach to unite the entire locality of Robina, home to more than 17,000 electors, within the district. The western boundary of the district is now wholly aligned to the motorway.

The Commission received several suggestions, including one submission specific to this electorate (CS-184) that the district gain the locality of Clear Island Waters from Surfers Paradise. This approach was considered but ultimately not implemented, given no changes to the boundaries of Surfers Paradise were required.

Various suggestions were received regarding the locality of Robina including uniting the locality within either Mermaid Beach or a proposed Robina district. The Commission agreed with the suggestion to unite Robina in Mermaid Beach, and has adopted this change.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district, but noted a small number of submissions which suggested changes in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts. The Commission is satisfied that adjustments are not required, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Mermaid Beach.

Surfers Paradise

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
New boundaries	38,133 (-5.11%)	48,400 (+8.33%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Main Beach, Surfers Paradise, Clear Island Waters, the southern portions of Bundall and Benowa, the bulk of Broadbeach and Broadbeach Waters and a small eastern portion of Carrara.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of Surfers Paradise, noting the district is in quota and projected to remain so. The district unites clear communities of interest between the coastal localities of the central Gold Coast and the canal communities to their west.

While anticipated development in this area will see the district push toward the upper end of the enrolment threshold by 2032, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required noting this will maintain enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district, but noted a small number of submissions which suggested changes in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts. The Commission is satisfied that adjustments are not required, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Surfers Paradise.

Southport

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
New boundaries	37,353 (-7.05%)	44,802 (+0.28%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Molendinar, Ashmore, the bulk of Southport and the northern portions of Bundall and Benowa.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing district is well served by internal transit corridors and has clear boundaries aligned to river and major roads.

While the district is currently at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, anticipated development will see the district push closer to the average State enrolment by 2032. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required noting this will maintain enrolment continuity for electors in the current district.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district, but noted a small number of submissions which suggested changes in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts. The Commission is satisfied that adjustments are not required, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Southport.

Labrador (formerly Bonney)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,397 (-4.46%)	49,000 (+9.67%)
New boundaries	38,181 (-4.99%)	48,796 (+9.21%)
Losses	Loses the balance of Coombabah to Broadwater.	
Gains	Gains a portion of Biggera Waters from Broadwater.	
Composition	Labrador, Parkwood, Arundel, the bulk of Biggera Waters, the northern portion of Southport and the southern portion of Helensvale.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the boundaries of this district. While the Commission's initial proposal did not change the boundaries of the district, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-604, O-608, O-621, O-695 and O-719 (LNP) which argued the localities of Coombabah and Biggera Waters should be united in the districts of Broadwater and Labrador (formerly Bonney) respectively.

Due to the high projected enrolment for Labrador, it was not possible to include all of the locality of Biggera Waters within the district without exceeding the projected quota. Therefore the western portion of Biggera Waters has been added to Labrador to ensure the bulk of the locality is included within the same district, offset by the transfer of the remainder of the Coombabah locality to Broadwater, and slightly improving the projected enrolment Labrador.

The district otherwise retains strong boundaries and unites clear communities of interest between the localities of Labrador, Arundel, Parkwood and their surrounds. Enrolment in the district is below the State average, projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Labrador in recognition of its largest locality, which is home to more than 13,000 electors. The name was suggested in S-095, S-117 and CS-485.

Broadwater

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,994 (-2.97%)	44,144 (-1.20%)
New boundaries	39,210 (-2.43%)	44,348 (-0.74%)
Losses	Loses a portion of Biggera Waters to Labrador (formerly Bonney).	
Gains	Gains the balance of Coombabah from Labrador (formerly Bonney).	
Composition	Hope Island, Coombabah, Runaway Bay, Hollywell, Paradise Point, South Stradbroke Island, Southern Moreton Bay Islands, the eastern portion of Helensvale and a portion of Biggera Waters.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the boundaries of this district.

While the Commission's initial proposal did not change the boundaries of the district, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-604, O-608, O-621, O-695 and O-719 (LNP) which argued the localities of Coombabah and Biggera Waters should be united in the districts of Broadwater and Labrador (formerly Bonney) respectively.

Due to the high projected enrolment for Labrador, it was not possible to include all of the locality of Biggera Waters within the district without exceeding the projected quota. Therefore the western portion of Biggera Waters has been added to Labrador to ensure the bulk of the locality is included within the same district.

The district unites canal communities which share common interests and are well connected by transit corridors. The district retains the Coomera River as a strong northern boundary and maintains enrolment continuity for most electors in the current district.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to increase slightly over the coming years to approach the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Broadwater.

Mudgeeraba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,878 (+4.21%)	47,085 (+5.39%)
New boundaries	39,543 (-1.60%)	43,793 (-1.98%)
Losses	Loses all territory east of the motorway; the portion of Robina currently in the district is transferred to Mermaid Beach, and Merrimac and the balance of Carrara are transferred to Gaven.	
Gains	Gains the southern localities from Gaven including Mount Nathan, the balance of Worongary and Gilston and part of Highland Park. Also gains the balance of Clagiraba from Theodore.	
Composition	The south-western bulk of the Gold Coast City Council local government area, broadly comprising the territory north of the Tallebudgera Valley, west of the Pacific Motorway and south of Mount Nathan. Includes the localities of Reedy Creek, Mudgeeraba, Tallai, Worongary, the bulk of Highland Park and the Gold Coast localities situated to their west.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to this district to realign the district's eastern boundary to the Pacific Motorway and shift the northern boundary further north, forming a district incorporating the Gold Coast's western hinterland and the connected urban areas situated west of the motorway.

The district unites key hinterland communities and retains the well-established western boundary aligned to the Gold Coast local government boundary while improving enrolment sustainability through inclusion of adjoining urban areas.

The Commission considered several objections which suggested changes to Mudgeeraba in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts, particularly Gaven, including O-724 (ALP). Suggestions generally argued that localities such as Highland Park be included in Gaven, requiring consequential changes to Mudgeeraba.

O-719 (LNP) suggested that Beaudesert gain the western portions of the Gold Coast local government area between Guanaba and Springbrook, arguing these areas hold a stronger connection to Tamborine and enabling further changes to the Logan district. The Commission considered potential changes along this boundary, including the possibility of uniting the Beechmont and Lower Beechmont localities.

Ultimately, the Commission considered its proposed Mudgeeraba has well defined boundaries which unite connected communities and align to the well-recognised local government boundary, and is satisfied that changes are not required. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is projected to track closely to the State average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Mudgeeraba.

Gaven

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	35,490 (-11.69%)	37,209 (-16.72%)
New boundaries	41,848 (+4.13%)	44,891 (+0.47%)
Losses	Loses the southern localities west of the Pacific Motorway, including Mount Nathan, the balance of Worongary and Gilston and part of Highland Park to Mudgeeraba.	
Gains	Gains Merrimac and the balance of Carrara from Mudgeeraba, and Guanaba, Maudsland and the balance of Pacific Pines from Theodore.	
Composition	Gaven, Pacific Pines, Maudsland, Guanaba; the bulk of Nerang, Carrara and Merrimac; and a portion of Highland Park.	

The Commission was required to make **significant changes** to the Gaven district, noting enrolment in the former Gaven district was below quota and projected to fall further against the State average at the commencement of this redistribution.

The Commission explored a variety of approaches, including whether the district should continue to cross the Pacific Motorway, or be absorbed into adjoining districts. Ultimately, the Commission decided to retain the core of the existing district including the motorway crossing and expand the eastern portion of the district, adding the bulk of Carrara and the locality of Merrimac. This approach improves enrolment in Gaven without requiring changes to the boundaries of the coastal districts to its east.

This approach also unites the locality of Pacific Pines, a suggestion made in several submissions including S-014, S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-114 and S-115 (ALP), and incorporates other localities surrounding the Nerang State Forest and Nerang National Park.

The Commission explored several options for this district based on approximately 17 objections received in relation to this district. Many submissions argued for the inclusion of localities such as Highland Park, Nerang and Mount Nathan within the district, including O-724 (ALP). The Commission also noted alternative suggestions including O-719 (LNP) which suggested exchanges of territory with Oxenford and Mermaid Beach.

The Commission ultimately decided to retain the boundaries as set out in its proposed redistribution which are aligned to clear features and localities and do not require consequential adjustments to surrounding districts.

Enrolment in Gaven is significantly improved compared to the current district, being slightly above the average State enrolment and projected to track closer to average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Gaven.

Oxenford (formerly Theodore)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,284 (-2.25%)	46,054 (+3.08%)
New boundaries	39,337 (-2.12%)	43,578 (-2.46%)
Losses	Loses the southern localities of Guanaba, Maudsland and the balance of Pacific Pines to Gaven, and the balance of Clagiraba to Mudgeeraba. Also loses the portion of Coomera currently in the district to Coomera.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Upper Coomera in addition to Cedar Creek, Wongawallan, Kingsholme, Willow Vale and the small portion of Pimpama situated west of the Pacific Motorway from Coomera.	
Composition	Oxenford, Upper Coomera, Wongawallan, Willow Vale, Kingsholme Cedar Creek, and the western portions of Helensvale and Pimpama.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to shift the district north as a result of boundary changes to the south, while relieving enrolment pressure in the current district of Coomera, through addition of the south-western portion of that district.

This approach unites communities situated west of the Pacific Motorway, including the balance of the Upper Coomera locality, and significantly improves enrolment in Coomera. The district retains a portion of Helensvale situated east of the motorway which is well connected to the Oxenford area.

The Commission considered objections including O-724 (ALP) against the expansion of Beenleigh into the northern Gold Coast, suggesting Ormeau and surrounds be included in Oxenford. However, the Commission is satisfied that local communities of interest are not constrained by the Albert River, and adjustments to the boundary between these districts would require significant consequential changes to surrounding districts. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is only slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Oxenford for the large and recognisable locality within the district. The name was suggested in S-117.

Coomera

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	55,296 (+37.59%)	71,013 (+58.94%)
New boundaries	36,717 (-8.64%)	53,116 (+18.88%)
Losses	Loses all territory situated west of the Pacific Motorway; Ormeau Hills, Luscombe, Yatala and the western portion of Ormeau are transferred to Beenleigh (formerly Macalister), with the remaining localities including Willow Vale, Wongawallan, Cedar Creek, the western portion of Pimpama and the balance of Upper Coomera transferred to Oxenford (formerly Theodore).	
Gains	Gains the small portion of Coomera currently in Theodore, in addition to the northern portion of Helensvale (north of Hope Island Road).	
Composition	Coomera, the bulk of Pimpama, Jacobs Well, Norwell, Steiglitz, Woongoolba, Alberton, Gilberton, Stapylton, the eastern portion of Ormeau and a small portion of Helensvale.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to the boundaries of the district of Coomera, noting its status as the most over-quota district in the State and continued anticipated development and enrolment growth in the area.

The district contracts to the east, losing all territory situated west of the Pacific Motorway to Oxenford and Beenleigh (formerly Macalister). This approach significantly improves the enrolment in the district, bringing it back into quota. While the enrolment of the final district is at the lower end of the allowable range, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Coomera is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission considered a small number of objections which suggested adjustments to the district in the context of broader changes to other Gold Coast districts, but agreed to retain the boundaries as set out in its proposed redistribution which are aligned to clear geographical features and transit corridors.

As noted above, after considering objections including from the Member for Coomera, Mr Michael Crandon MP (O-754), the Commission decided not to proceed with its initial proposal to rename this district as Pimpama. The Commission has named the district Coomera, noting the whole locality of Coomera is contained within the district.

▲ Redlands area

Summary:

The existing district of Oodgeroo was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, meaning changes were required to bring it back into quota.

The major change in this area is the transfer of the localities of Sheldon and Mount Cotton from Springwood to Capalaba and Redlands respectively.

The Commission received several suggestions requesting these localities be united within a district in the Redland City area and noted objections to their inclusion in Springwood during the 2017 redistribution. The Commission agreed this change unites shared communities of interest and has implemented the change.

There are 3 districts in the Redlands area:

Capalaba

Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)

Redlands

Capalaba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,951 (-5.57%)	38,210 (-14.48%)
New boundaries	42,286 (+5.22%)	42,607 (-4.64%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains Sheldon from Springwood and the balance of Birkdale from Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).	
Composition	Capalaba, Birkdale, Thorneside, Alexandra Hills, Sheldon and a portion of Wellington Point.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to this district to include the locality of Sheldon and unite the locality of Birkdale within the district.

The inclusion of the balance of Birkdale connects a clear community of interest and is supported by submissions including S-099, S-100, S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and in part by CS-008 (from the former Member for Capalaba). The inclusion of Sheldon in a Redlands-based district is consistent with several of these submissions, and supported by 7 community suggestions and a local councillor (CS-065).

The Commission did not receive significant objections regarding this district and the final boundaries and district name are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Capalaba was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall below quota by 2032. These changes greatly improve enrolment, and the district is now projected to remain in quota, with relative enrolment declining slightly compared to the State average over the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Capalaba.

Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	35,267 (-12.24%)	37,824 (-15.34%)
New boundaries	41,836 (+4.10%)	45,168 (+1.09%)
Losses	Loses the balance of Birkdale to Capalaba.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Thornlands from Redlands.	
Composition	Thornlands, Cleveland, Ormiston, North Stradbroke Island and the bulk of Wellington Point.	

The Commission was required to make **moderate changes** to this district, noting enrolment was below quota and projected to fall further against the State average at the commencement of this redistribution.

The Commission agreed to unite the entire locality of Thornlands in the district. This change significantly improves the district's enrolment, bringing it back into quota, unites a clear community of interest, and provides a strong southern boundary orientated to the locality boundary and Erapah Creek. Submissions including S-100 and S-114 suggested the entire Thornlands locality be united within the district, with several other submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-115 (ALP) suggesting that a larger portion of the locality be gained.

Enrolment in the district is projected to remain in quota, declining marginally compared to the State average over the next 7 years.

The Commission did not receive significant objections regarding the boundaries of this district, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district has been renamed Cleveland for the large and recognisable locality centrally located within the district. The name was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117. The Commission considered a number of objections including O-685 (Greens) against the proposal to change Indigenous district names, including Oodgeroo, but decided to retain its place-based methodology for district naming. Accordingly, the Commission has named the district Cleveland, noting this change restores the district name applied from 1992 to 2017.⁷⁵

⁷⁵ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.249.

Redlands

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,602 (+8.50%)	47,683 (+6.72%)
New boundaries	39,976 (-0.53%)	43,211 (-3.29%)
Losses	Loses the Thornlands locality to Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).	
Gains	Gains Carbrook from Beenleigh (formerly Macalister) and Mount Cotton from Springwood.	
Composition	Redland Bay, Victoria Point, Mount Cotton, Carbrook and the southern Redland Bay islands.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to this district, noting its enrolment has exceeded the quota since commencement of the redistribution. The locality of Mount Cotton has been transferred from the Springwood district to Redlands. This change was supported by 7 community submissions and several large submissions including S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108, S-110 (LNP) and S-114, and unites a clear community of interest. Several of these submissions suggested that Sheldon also be included in Redlands, but the Commission has adopted the suggestion by the local councillor (CS-065) that Sheldon be transferred to Capalaba.

The locality of Carbrook has also been transferred from Beenleigh (formerly Macalister) to Redlands, as suggested in S-101 and S-110 (LNP). This suburb is well connected to the Redland Bay area, and its inclusion improves the district's projected enrolment following the transfer of Thornlands to Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo).

The Commission considered a small number of objections to the inclusion of Carbrook in the district, noting the district will cross the local government area boundary. However, the Commission agreed the improved enrolment outcomes, strong boundaries and connectivity within the district outweighed the suggestion to wholly align the boundary to the local government area. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Redlands.

▲ Logan area

Summary:

The electorate of Logan was the second most populous district in Queensland at the commencement of this redistribution, with the adjacent district of Jordan, which was between the Logan and Ipswich local government areas, being the third-most populous.

A significant change in this redistribution sees Jordan being reshaped, losing the heavily populated Springfield area and its surrounds to the new district of Springfield, situated within the Ipswich local government area. Jordan, now named Greenbank, gains the eastern territory from the current Logan district. This change retains the high growth area of Flagstone in Greenbank (formerly Jordan), while Logan retains the growth areas of Jimboomba and Yarrabilba.

The transfer of Mount Cotton and Sheldon to a Redlands-based district meant Springwood needed to gain electors from an adjacent district. Several submissions included Underwood in the Springwood district (including S-099, S-100, S-108, S-114 and S-117), and this suggestion has been adopted by the Commission. The transfer of Underwood necessitated changes to ensure Waterford remains in quota. This district, and the adjacent Woodridge have been reshaped around major transit corridors.

There are 7 districts in the Logan area:

Logan

Springwood

Woodridge

Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

Waterford

Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)

Logan

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	52,004 (+29.40%)	67,444 (+50.95%)
New boundaries	36,520 (-9.13%)	48,085 (+7.62%)
Losses	Loses the bulk of territory west of the Mount Lindesay Highway including Boronia Heights and parts of Hillcrest, Greenbank, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean, South Maclean, Glenlogan and Riverbend to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). Loses the portion of Regents Park currently in the district to Woodridge.	
Gains	Gains Mundoolun from Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim).	
Composition	Buccan, Logan Village, Belivah, Wolffdene, Cedar Creek, Yarrabilba, Tamborine, Mundoolun, Jimboomba, Stockleigh, Chambers Flat, the bulk of Park Ridge and portions of Logan Reserve, South Maclean, North Maclean, Munruben and Park Ridge South.	

Enrolment in Logan was significantly above the State average at the commencement of the redistribution, requiring changes to bring it back into quota.

The Commission has made **significant changes** which contract the district toward the east, transferring the bulk of territory situated west of the Mount Lindesay Highway to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). The district remains broadly constructed around the major north-south arterials of the Mount Lindesay Highway and Waterford Tamborine Road, as highlighted in S-115 (ALP).

The western balance of Jimboomba is retained in Logan, keeping this growing locality united and utilising the strong western boundary north of this area provided by the Mount Lindesay Highway.

The Commission's proposal published on 10 March 2026 realigned the northern boundary of the district: to the north-west, a portion of the Park Ridge locality situated north of Park Ridge Road was transferred to the district of Marsden (which replaced the district of Waterford under the proposal), while to the north-east the district gained the locality of Waterford and part of Waterford West from the districts of Waterford and Beenleigh.

The Commission considered objections regarding these changes including O-719 (LNP), O-724 (ALP) and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel) and agreed not to proceed with the proposed changes, restoring the existing boundary in this area. The restoration of the existing boundary reunites the bulk of Park Ridge within one district and addresses the isolation of the Tygum area of Waterford West, raised in O-752. These adjustments also enabled the Commission to respond to other objections regarding the boundaries of Waterford and Woodridge.

As a consequence of adjustments in response to objections relating to the Ipswich area, the Commission also agreed that the south-western locality of Glenlogan, which was retained in Logan under the proposed model, be transferred to Greenbank (formerly Jordan), and that Logan gain the locality of Mundoolun from Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim), uniting an additional locality from the Logan City Council within the district. These changes ensured Logan remains in quota while facilitating required adjustments to neighbouring districts.

Enrolment in the district is toward the low end of the threshold and projected to increase toward the upper-middle end of the enrolment threshold by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Logan.

Woodridge

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,538 (+3.36%)	43,828 (-1.90%)
New boundaries	43,648 (+8.61%)	46,038 (+3.04%)
Losses	Loses Marsden, Crestmead and the southern portion of Kingston to Waterford.	
Gains	Gains Slacks Creek, the bulk of Tanah Merah, the eastern portion of Kingston and the northern portions of Meadowbrook and Loganlea from Waterford. Gains the balance of Browns Plains from Algester, and the balance of Regents Park from Algester and Logan.	
Composition	Woodridge, Logan Central, Slacks Creek, Berrinba, Browns Plains, Regents Park; the bulk of Heritage Park, Kingston and Tanah Merah; and the northern portions of Meadowbrook and Loganlea.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district, including substantial adjustments made in response to community submissions. Woodridge expands broadly to the north-east, gaining the northern section of the current Waterford district, while transferring the south-eastern localities of Marsden and Crestmead to Waterford. This approach balances enrolment for both Woodridge and Waterford necessitated by the transfer of Underwood to Springwood.

The Commission's proposal released on 10 March 2026 proposed a substantial reorientation of the existing districts of Woodridge and Waterford. This approach was centred on uniting shared communities of interest connected along the major arterials of Kingston Road, the Logan Motorway and the Pacific Motorway, with strong boundaries aligned to these major roads, the local government area boundary and the Logan River. The alignment of the eastern boundary of the district to the Pacific Motorway was suggested in S-077, S-112 (Greens) and CS-491.

The proposal transferred the northern section of the current Waterford district (including Slacks Creek, Meadowbrook, Loganlea, Bethania and part of Loganholme) to the proposed Woodridge, while transferring the south-eastern localities of the current Woodridge district (including Marsden and Crestmead) to the proposed Marsden. This approach balanced enrolment for both Woodridge and Waterford necessitated by the transfer of Underwood to Springwood.

The Commission has carefully considered objections and comments on the proposed boundaries in deciding the final boundaries for these districts. Ultimately, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-724 (ALP) and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel) that communities of interest in the area could be better served by an alternate approach which retains the boundaries of the current district to a greater extent.

The Commission adjusted its proposed boundaries for Woodridge, broadly informed by O-724 and O-752, uniting Regents Park, Browns Plains, Berrinba and the bulk of Heritage Park within the district, which are connected along the spine of Wembley Road through Woodridge, Logan Central and Kingston to Slacks Creek. The Commission is satisfied that these changes unite connected communities and retain enrolment continuity under the existing boundaries to a greater extent.

Enrolment in the district is above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly and to track closer to the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Woodridge.

Waterford

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,578 (+0.97%)	45,117 (+0.98%)
New boundaries	43,123 (+7.30%)	47,477 (+6.26%)
Losses	Loses Underwood to Springwood. Loses Slacks Creek, the bulk of Tanah Merah, the eastern portion of Kingston and the northern portions of Meadowbrook and Loganlea to Woodridge.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Waterford from Beenleigh (formerly Macalister). Gains Marsden, Crestmead and the southern portion of Kingston from Woodridge.	
Composition	Waterford, Waterford West, Bethania, Marsden, Crestmead, the bulk of Loganlea, the eastern portion of Loganholme, the northern portion of Logan Reserve and the southern portions of Meadowbrook and Kingston.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district, including substantial adjustments made in response to community submissions. Although Waterford was close to the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, the transfer of Underwood to improve Springwood's enrolment required the subsequent rebalancing of electors between Waterford and the surrounding districts.

The Commission's proposal released on 10 March 2026 proposed a substantial reorientation of the existing districts of Woodridge and Waterford. This approach was centred on uniting shared communities of interest connected along the major arterials of Kingston Road, Browns Plains Road, the Logan Motorway and the Pacific Motorway, with strong boundaries aligned to major roads, the local government area boundary and the Logan River.

The proposal transferred the northern section of the current Waterford district (including Slacks Creek, Meadowbrook, Loganlea, Bethania and part of Loganholme) to the proposed Woodridge, while transferring the south-eastern localities of the current Woodridge district (including Marsden and Crestmead) to the proposed Marsden. This approach balanced enrolment for both Woodridge and Waterford necessitated by the transfer of Underwood to Springwood. The Commission proposed that the district of Waterford be renamed Marsden, as the locality of Waterford was no longer contained within the district under the proposed model.

The Commission has carefully considered objections and comments on the proposed boundaries in deciding the final boundaries for these districts. Ultimately, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-724 (ALP) and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel) that communities of interest in the area could be better served by an alternate approach which retains the boundaries of the current district to a greater extent.

The Commission adjusted its proposed boundaries for Waterford, broadly informed by O-724 and O-752, retaining the existing core of Waterford, Waterford West (including the Tygum area), Loganlea and Bethania and gaining the adjacent localities of Marsden and Crestmead. These adjustments also incorporate the suggestion in O-719 (LNP) that the localities of Waterford and Waterford West south of Kingston Road be retained within the district of Waterford. The Commission is satisfied that these changes unite connected communities and retain enrolment continuity under the existing boundaries to a greater extent.

Enrolment in the district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

As suggested in O-719 and O-724, the Commission decided the district should retain its existing name of Waterford given both the Waterford and Waterford West localities are wholly contained within the district.

Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,953 (+6.88%)	47,572 (+6.48%)
New boundaries	40,526 (+0.84%)	45,516 (+1.87%)
Losses	Loses Carbrook to Redlands. Loses Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the district to Springwood. Loses the portion of Waterford currently in the district to Waterford.	
Gains	Gains Yatala, Luscombe, Ormeau Hills and the portion of Ormeau west of the Pacific Motorway from Coomera.	
Composition	Eagleby, Beenleigh, Edens Landing, Holmview, Bahrs Scrub, Mount Warren Park, Windaroo, Bannockburn, Yatala, Luscombe, Ormeau Hills and the western portion of Ormeau.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district. It retains its existing core around Eagleby and Beenleigh, acknowledging the strong community of interest between these areas. The Commission noted the connection between these localities was also highlighted during the 2017 redistribution, including Beenleigh District Community Development Association (OBJ-1522). Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the district are transferred to Springwood, and Carbrook to Redlands, improving enrolment in both districts and aligning the district's northern boundary to the Logan River.

The district shifts broadly to the south, gaining the north-western localities from the over-quota Coomera district. The Commission noted the proposed district incorporated localities from both the Logan and Gold Coast local government areas, however, the improved enrolment for the surrounding districts is a factor favouring this approach.

The Commission considered objections including O-724 (ALP) against the expansion of Beenleigh into the northern Gold Coast, suggesting Ormeau and surrounds be included in Oxenford while Beenleigh expands west to gain Bethania. The Commission is satisfied that local communities of interest are not constrained by the Albert River, and decided to make no adjustments to the boundaries published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is close to the average State enrolment and projected to continue tracking close to the average in the coming years.

The Commission has renamed the district Beenleigh. The district name was suggested in S-077, S-110 (LNP), and S-117.

Springwood

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,014 (-7.90%)	37,626 (-15.79%)
New boundaries	41,714 (+3.80%)	43,043 (-3.66%)
Losses	Loses Mount Cotton to Redlands and Sheldon to Capalaba.	
Gains	Gains Underwood from Waterford. Gains Cornubia and the eastern portion of Loganholme from Macalister.	
Composition	Underwood, Springwood, Rochedale South, Priestdale, Daisy Hill, Shailer Park, Cornubia and the eastern portion of Loganholme.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district. Springwood was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The localities of Mount Cotton and Sheldon have been transferred to the districts of Redlands and Capalaba respectively, a change suggested in a number of public submissions and during the Objections stage of the 2017 redistribution.

To compensate for this change and improve enrolment in the district, Springwood gains Cornubia and the portion of Loganholme currently in the existing district of Macalister, and the locality of Underwood from the current Waterford. These localities have shared communities of interest and transport connections to the broader Springwood district, and this change improves the district's current and projected enrolment. The proposal to include Cornubia and a portion of Loganholme in the district was suggested in submissions including S-077, S-095, S-100, S-110 (LNP) and S-117. The proposed inclusion of Underwood in the district was suggested in submissions including S-095, S-099, S-100, S-108, S-114 and S-117.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district and is satisfied that adjustments are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Springwood.

Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,090 (+7.22%)	46,912 (+5.00%)
New boundaries	39,211 (-2.43%)	42,803 (-4.20%)
Losses	Loses the Ipswich local government area localities currently in the district to Ipswich West including Goolman, Purga, Willowbank, Ebenezer, Calvert and Grandchester and northern portions of Mutdapilly, Mount Forbes and Lower Mount Walker. Loses Mundoolun to Logan.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Incorporates the entire Scenic Rim local government area; and the localities of Cedar Vale, Cedar Grove, Woodhill, Veresdale and Veresdale Scrub from the Logan local government area.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to transfer a portion of territory in the north-west of the current electorate to Ipswich West. The transferred area is part of the Ipswich local government area and shares a clear community of interest with the Ipswich region.

In responding to objections and comments regarding the districts immediately to the north including Logan, Greenbank and Ipswich West, the Commission has made a small adjustment to the boundaries of this district, transferring the locality of Mundoolun from Beaudesert to Logan. This area is well connected to Jimboomba via Mundoolun Road and forms part of the Logan local government area. This adjustment ensures Logan remains in quota and facilitates additional adjustments to the boundaries of Greenbank and Ipswich West.

The Commission is of the view that the electorate's name (Scenic Rim) should be changed to differentiate it from the name of the regional council for the area (Scenic Rim Regional Council). Accordingly, the Commission has renamed the district Beaudesert for the centrally located town, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 25 years.⁷⁶ The name was suggested in S-101.

The Commission considered objections to the proposal to name this district Beaudesert, with Wyaralong or the retention of Scenic Rim being suggested. The Commission considered this feedback but decided to name the district Beaudesert which is recognisable and strongly associated with the area.

⁷⁶ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.236.

Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	48,721 (+21.23%)	65,796 (+47.26%)
New boundaries	36,654 (-8.79%)	48,810 (+9.25%)
Losses	Loses territory west of the Ipswich local government area boundary, being the greater Springfield area, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Carole Park and Gailes to the new Springfield district.	
Gains	Gains territory west of the Mount Lindesay Highway including Boronia Heights and parts of Hillcrest, Greenbank, Park Ridge, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean, South Maclean, Riverbend and Glenlogan from Logan. Gains Forestdale and the balance of Hillcrest from Algester.	
Composition	The portions of Park Ridge, Park Ridge South, Munruben, North Maclean and South Maclean situated west of the Mount Lindesay Highway; Greenbank, Forestdale, Hillcrest, Boronia Heights, Glenlogan, Riverbend, Flagstone, New Beith, Silverbark Ridge and the Logan local government area localities to their west.	

The current district of Jordan has significantly exceeded the upper enrolment limit, requiring changes to bring its enrolment back into quota.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district to transfer the heavily populated north-western portion of the existing district, being the locality of Springfield and its surrounds, to a new district named Springfield which is wholly contained within the Ipswich local government area.

To compensate for this change, the electorate expands east to the Mount Lindesay Highway and Jimboomba locality boundary, relieving enrolment pressure in Logan; and north to Johnson Road, gaining the localities of Forestdale and the balance of Hillcrest and aligning to the local government area boundary.

Under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, the district also expanded west into the Ipswich local government area, gaining the localities of South Ripley and part of Spring Mountain. The Commission considered approximately 35 objections to this proposal, including O-459 (Ipswich City Council), O-724 (ALP), and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel) which highlighted South Ripley's strong community connection to the Ipswich area. The Commission also received a number of submissions, including from the Honourable Shayne Neumann MP, Federal Member for Blair (O-370), which suggested that Spring Mountain be wholly included in Springfield.

While the proposed approach was intended to distribute growth areas between districts, the Commission has been persuaded that South Ripley and Spring Mountain should be included in Ipswich West and Springfield respectively. The changes improve the projected enrolment outlook for the district, with Greenbank no longer expected to exceed quota by 2032 after the transfer of the high growth area of South Ripley to Ipswich West. To sustain current enrolment for the district it gains the locality of Glenlogan from Logan, adopting in part the suggestion in O-724.

Enrolment in the district is below the State average and projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has named this district Greenbank for the recognisable central locality within the district. The district name was suggested in S-101, S-117 and CS-491.

▲ Ipswich area

Summary:

The current districts of Bundamba and Jordan were significantly over quota at the commencement of this redistribution, with Ipswich and Ipswich West projected to be over quota by 2032. The Ipswich area is projected to continue to grow strongly in the coming years.

The most significant change in this region is the creation of a new district, Springfield, wholly contained within the footprint of the Ipswich local government area. The district is largely comprised of Ipswich-based territory from the district of Jordan. The creation of a district in this location, and its approximate shape, was supported by several whole-of-State submissions and has a clear community of interest.

The district of Greenbank retains the majority of the territory of the current district of Jordan, but has shifted east to accommodate the establishment of Springfield, becoming a district contained within the Logan local government area.

The district of Ipswich West has expanded in the west to gain more of the Ipswich local government area including Purga and Willowbank, currently in Scenic Rim, uniting these locations with their major community of interest.

The Commission notes the districts of Ipswich West and Redbank (formerly Bundamba) are projected to exceed the quota by 2032 on account of high growth areas such as South Ripley and Redbank Plains.

There are 4 districts in the Ipswich area:

Ipswich

Ipswich West

Redbank (formerly Bundamba)

Springfield (new)

Ipswich

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,853 (+1.65%)	52,908 (+18.42%)
New boundaries	42,598 (+6.00%)	47,024 (+5.25%)
Losses	Loses Ripley to Redbank (formerly Bundamba) and South Ripley and Deebing Heights to Ipswich West.	
Gains	Gains Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale and the northern portion of Dinmore and Riverview from Bundamba. Gains Yamanto from Ipswich West.	
Composition	Ipswich, West Ipswich, Sadliers Crossing, Coalfalls, Woodend, Churchill, Yamanto, East Ipswich, Basin Pocket, Newtown, Eastern Heights, Silkstone, Booval, North Booval, Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale, the bulk of Flinders View, Dinmore and Raceview and a portion of Riverview.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to Ipswich, noting the district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

Ipswich shifts slightly to the north, with its major northern boundary aligned to the Bremer River and its southern boundary aligned to the Cunningham Highway. This general approach was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and CS-491, and the Commission was persuaded by this suggested approach.

The district gains the locality of Bundamba and its surrounds which are well connected to central Ipswich via the Brisbane Road corridor. This approach contributes to the improved enrolment projections in the adjacent district of Redbank (formerly Bundamba).

While the proposed boundaries for this district included only a portion of Yamanto, the Commission decided to adjust the final boundaries to unite the whole locality within the district, required as a consequence of changes to nearby districts made in response to community submissions. This adjustment relieves enrolment pressure in Ipswich West following the inclusion of South Ripley in that district (see below), and utilises strong boundaries around this locality aligned to the Bremer River and Cunningham Highway.

The final district is above the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Ipswich.

Redbank (formerly Bundamba)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	47,057 (+17.09%)	57,644 (+29.02%)
New boundaries	37,241 (-7.33%)	51,828 (+16.00%)
Losses	Loses the bulk of Bellbird Park and the portion of Spring Mountain currently in the district to the new Springfield district. Loses Blackstone, Bundamba, Ebbw Vale, and the northern portions of Dinmore and Riverview to Ipswich. Loses the portion of South Ripley currently in the district to Ipswich West.	
Gains	Gains Ripley from Ipswich.	
Composition	Redbank, Goodna, Collingwood Park, Redbank Plains, White Rock, Ripley, Swanbank, New Chum, the southern portion of Riverview and portions of Bellbird Park and Flinders View.	

The Commission was required to make **significant changes** to the existing Bundamba district, noting the district was above the maximum enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to continue its strong growth.

The district shifts slightly to the south, orienting its northern boundary to major highways and the Brisbane River. The district unites the localities surrounding Redbank and Redbank Plains, and includes adjoining growth areas such as Ripley and White Rock. This approach unites communities of interest shared between these interconnected suburbs, with key boundaries aligned to major roads and waterways.

Current and projected enrolment in the district is significantly improved compared to the current Bundamba. The Commission notes the district is still expected to exceed the enrolment threshold in the coming years, but the extent of the projected deviation has been reduced.

The Commission received limited objections relating specifically to this district, but considered several submissions arguing for the inclusion of South Ripley in an Ipswich-based district including O-370 (the Honourable Shayne Neumann MP, Federal Member for Blair), O-459 (Ipswich City Council), O-724 (ALP), and O-752 (the Honourable Dr. John Mickel). These objections have been addressed by amending the boundaries of Springfield and Ipswich West, and the changes are detailed in the relevant district summaries. The final boundaries for this district are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

As the locality of Bundamba has been transferred to Ipswich as part of this change, the Commission has named the district Redbank for the 2 recognisable localities sharing this name. The district name was suggested in S-101 and CS-491.

Ipswich West

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,416 (+0.57%)	49,817 (+11.50%)
New boundaries	39,009 (-2.93%)	50,549 (+13.14%)
Losses	Loses Chuwar, Karalee and Barellan Point and a portion of North Ipswich and Tivoli to Moggill. Loses Yamanto to Ipswich.	
Gains	Gains the Ipswich local government area from Scenic Rim, being territory extending west from Goolman and Purga to the Ipswich/ Lockyer Valley local government area boundary at Grandchester. Gains Deebling Heights and South Ripley from Ipswich and Bundamba.	
Composition	The district comprises the western bulk of the Ipswich local government area, being the localities of North Ipswich, Tivoli, North Tivoli, Moores Pocket, Muirlea, Brassall, Wulkuraka, Leichardt, One Mile, Deebling Heights, South Ripley, Goolman, Purga and the localities to their west, extending to the local government area boundaries with Somerset and Lockyer Valley.	

The existing Ipswich West district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to include the balance of the Ipswich local government area in the current district of Scenic Rim within Ipswich West. This change unites localities including Willowbank and its surrounds which share a clear community of interest and are well-connected to Ipswich. This ensures that Ipswich West remains within the bounds of the Ipswich local government area and therefore remains an Ipswich-based district, as advocated in CS-466 (ALP).

The Commission also decided to transfer the north-eastern localities of Karalee, Chuwar and Barellan Point to Moggill. This change has enabled the gain of Ipswich local government area territory from Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim), while also improving enrolment in the longer-term for Moggill. The Commission seriously considered this change, noting it crosses the local government area boundary and the Brisbane River, but was persuaded that a community of interest exists between these communities and by the improved enrolment outcomes for the affected districts.

The Commission considered approximately 35 objections against the proposal to include South Ripley in the district of Greenbank, including O-370 (the Honourable Shayne Neumann MP, Federal Member for Blair), O-459 (Ipswich City Council), O-724 (ALP), and O-752 (the Honourable

Dr. John Mickel), which highlighted the locality's strong community connection to the Ipswich area. The Commission was persuaded by these submissions and agreed to include the locality in Ipswich West. The Commission also agreed that the balance of Yamanto be transferred to the district of Ipswich, better balancing enrolment and uniting a connected community.

The Commission notes that Ipswich West is now one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032 (replacing Greenbank following the transfer of the high growth area of South Ripley), but considered the improved community of interest outcomes and reduced enrolment in the Greenbank district to be factors favouring the changes.

The Commission has named the district Ipswich West.

Springfield (new district)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
New boundaries	38,436 (-4.36%)	48,493 (+8.54%)
Losses	N/A	
Gains	Gains the bulk of Bellbird Park and a portion of Spring Mountain from Redbank (formerly Bundamba). Gains the greater Springfield area, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Carole Park and Gales from Greenbank (formerly Jordan).	
Composition	Springfield, Springfield Lakes, Springfield Central, Spring Mountain, Brookwater, Augustine Heights, Camira, Gales, and the bulk of Bellbird Park.	

The Commission received several suggestions to establish a new district focussed on the Springfield region, noting this area is currently part of the high-growth region which includes the over-quota districts of Jordan, Bundamba and Logan. The Commission agreed with the general approach put forward by submitters including S-077, S-095, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491, and the district is informed by these submissions.

The district unites clear communities of interest between Springfield and the surrounding localities, helps bring the neighbouring districts back into quota, and has distinct boundaries aligned to major roads and the local government area boundary.

The Commission notes continued development in this growing region. The district is at the mid-point below the average State enrolment and anticipated to near the upper limit, but remain within quota, by 2032.

The Commission considered several submissions, including from the Honourable Shayne Neumann MP, Federal Member for Blair (O-370) and the Ipswich City Council (O-459), which suggested that the balance of Spring Mountain be included in the district. The Commission was persuaded to make this adjustment, noting the change does not impact enrolment and improves the alignment to the Ipswich/Logan local government area boundary.

The Commission also considered approximately 12 objections to the proposed name for the district. Submissions generally argued that Springfield is not inclusive of the whole district, with a suggested alternative name being Woogaroo. Noting the localities of Springfield, Springfield Central and Springfield Lakes are wholly contained within the district, the Commission has named the district Springfield, which is recognisable and reflects the geographical location of the district. The name Springfield was suggested in S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117.

▲ Brisbane south

Summary:

The Stretton and Toohey districts were significantly below quota at the commencement of this redistribution, while several nearby districts including Mount Ommaney, Chatsworth and Miller were on the lower end of the threshold and projected to drop more than 10% below the State average by 2032. By contrast, the district of South Brisbane was projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The most significant change in this region is the reduction in the total number of districts by one, with Stretton absorbed into the districts of Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey) and Algester. This change has allowed for the transfer of various suburbs into the surrounding districts, improving overall enrolment and enabling the establishment of a district centred around Springfield in Ipswich.

The Commission noted diverse views in the public submissions regarding the district of Mount Ommaney. Public submissions variously suggested all or part of the Chelmer peninsula be included in the district, or suggested the district expand west to include Wacol.

On balance, the Commission decided to include the whole Chelmer peninsula in Mount Ommaney. This approach employs the strong natural boundaries presented by the Brisbane River to the north and Oxley Creek to the east, and unites the Centenary suburbs, Oxley and the Chelmer peninsula suburbs. Most of those areas were included in the former Division/Shire/Electorate of Sherwood from 1891 until 1986, after which part of the peninsula area was excised. These suburbs continue to share mutual communities of interests and are logical inclusions in the same electorate. The northern part of Darra and the industrial part of Sumner are transferred to Inala to ensure both districts remain in quota.

There are 11 districts in the Brisbane south area:

Lytton

Carindale (formerly Chatsworth)

Bulimba

South Brisbane

Annerley (formerly Miller)

Greenslopes

Mansfield

Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey)

Algester

Inala

Mount Ommaney

Lytton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
New boundaries	40,122 (-0.16%)	42,099 (-5.77%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Lytton, Wynnum, Manly, Lota, Wynnum West, Manly West, Hemmant, and the northern portion of Tingalpa.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing district is well served by internal transit corridors and has clear and recognisable boundaries aligned to waterways and major roads. The Commission agreed with several submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-115 (ALP) which suggested no changes to this district are required.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly compared with the average over the coming years.

The Commission did not receive significant feedback regarding this district but considered a small number of objections suggesting the district name be changed to Wynnum or Wynnum-Manly. The Commission noted this name would conflict with an established Brisbane City Council ward name covering similar territory and is satisfied that changes are not required. Accordingly, the Commission has named the district Lytton.

Carindale (formerly Chatsworth)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,015 (-7.90%)	37,468 (-16.14%)
New boundaries	41,416 (+3.06%)	41,977 (-6.05%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains a portion of Camp Hill, east of Boundary Road, from Greenslopes. Gains the balance of Carindale from Mansfield. Gains a portion of Seven Hills from Bulimba.	
Composition	Carindale, Belmont, Chandler, Gumdale, Wakerley and Ransome, and parts of Camp Hill, Carina, Carina Heights, Tingalpa and a portion of Seven Hills.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to this district. Chatsworth was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission has transferred the portion of Camp Hill situated east of Boundary Road from Greenslopes and the balance of Carindale from Mansfield, improving enrolment in the district.

This approach unites communities of interest and means Camp Hill is split between only 2 districts, compared with 3 currently, with a clear boundary aligned to a major road. The alignment to Boundary Road was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-095, S-099, S-110 (LNP) and S-114.

The Commission considered S-115 (ALP) which noted Camp Hill's strong connection with Carina, Carina Heights and Carindale and suggested the whole locality of be included in the district. This change has been implemented to the extent practicable while also minimising the impact on the district of Greenslopes.

The Commission considered the objection in O-685 (Greens) regarding the alignment of the boundary with Bulimba which suggested a group of approximately 50 houses in the suburb of Seven Hills were physically separated from the rest of that district, and argued for the inclusion of these houses in Carindale. The Commission was persuaded to make this change, noting the approximately 108 impacted electors have better access to the Carindale district than to Bulimba.

The district is slightly above the average State enrolment and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

Noting Chatsworth Road is no longer within the district, the Commission has named the district Carindale for the most populous locality within the district. The name was suggested in S-077, S-101, S-112 (Greens) and S-117.

Bulimba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,103 (+4.77%)	43,846 (-1.86%)
New boundaries	39,807 (-0.95%)	41,411 (-7.31%)
Losses	Loses the portion of Camp Hill currently in the district to Greenslopes. Loses a portion of Seven Hills to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth).	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Bulimba, Balmoral, Hawthorne, Morningside, Cannon Hill, Murrarie, the bulk of Norman Park and Seven Hills, and part of Carina.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district. Under the Commission's proposed redistribution, the portion of Camp Hill currently in the district was transferred to Greenslopes. This change is similar to the approach taken in S-095, and change means the locality of Camp Hill is split between 2 districts, compared with 3 currently.

The Commission considered the objection in O-685 (Greens) regarding the alignment of the boundary with Carindale (formerly Chatsworth) and which suggested a group of approximately 50 houses in the suburb of Seven Hills were physically separated from the rest of Bulimba, and argued for the inclusion of these houses in Carindale. The Commission was persuaded to make this change, noting the approximately 108 impacted electors have better access to the Carindale district than to Bulimba.

No other changes have been made, as the existing boundaries unite communities with shared interests along the Wynnum Road corridor and around the Brisbane River. Enrolment in Bulimba is close to the State average and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Bulimba.

South Brisbane

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,746 (+3.88%)	52,790 (+18.15%)
New boundaries	38,296 (-4.71%)	48,853 (+9.34%)
Losses	Loses the balance of Annerley to Annerley (formerly Miller). Loses a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda train station to Greenslopes.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	South Brisbane, West End, Highgate Hill, Dutton Park, Kangaroo Point, East Brisbane, and the bulk of Woolloongabba.	

The existing South Brisbane district is in quota but projected to exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district to transfer a portion of Woolloongabba, broadly reflecting the territory around Buranda station to Greenslopes, and the balance of Annerley to the district of Annerley (formerly Miller). These changes were suggested in several public submissions.

The Commission considered alternate approaches including that the district transfer the suburb of East Brisbane to Greenslopes (S-099 and S-101) or Bulimba (S-112 Greens), but the area's connection to South Brisbane and opportunity to retain the strong border aligned to Norman Creek, identified in S-115 (ALP), were factors against this proposal. The Commission also agreed Dutton Park should not be transferred to Annerley (formerly Miller), suggested in S-110 (LNP) noting the area's connection to Woolloongabba and Highgate Hill.

The Commission noted O-685 (Greens) which suggested a smaller portion of Woolloongabba bordered by the Pacific Motorway to the west and O'Keefe Street to the north be transferred to Greenslopes, with territory around Buranda station including Buranda State School retained in South Brisbane. The Commission considered this change but decided to retain the proposed alignment which limits the high projected enrolment growth in South Brisbane to within projected quota.

These changes unite areas that share connected interests and mean South Brisbane's enrolment is projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district South Brisbane.

Annerley (formerly Miller)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,721 (-8.63%)	38,600 (-13.61%)
New boundaries	40,871 (+1.70%)	42,966 (-3.83%)
Losses	Loses Chelmer, Graceville and the balance of Sherwood to Mount Ommaney.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Annerley from South Brisbane. Gains Nathan, Salisbury, a portion of Rocklea and the balance of Moorooka from Toohey.	
Composition	Fairfield, Annerley, Yeronga, Yeerongpilly, Tennyson, Moorooka, Tarragindi, Nathan, Salisbury and the bulk of Rocklea.	

Miller was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to the district to gain the balance of Annerley from South Brisbane, and the northern portion of the former Toohey district including Salisbury, Nathan and the balance of Moorooka. The Commission has also aligned the district's western boundary to Oxley Creek, transferring the Chelmer peninsula to Mount Ommaney.

The Commission was persuaded by the similar approach taken in submissions including S-077, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-114. This approach also accommodates suggestions in S-115 (ALP) that the district gain the balance of Annerley and transfer the balance of Sherwood to Mount Ommaney.

The district unites connected communities of interest and has well defined boundaries aligned to major roads and waterways. Enrolment in the district is greatly improved, being close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly compared to the average over the coming years.

The Commission received suggestions for this district to be named Yeerongpilly (S-110 LNP), Tarragindi (S-114) and Yeronga (S-117). The Commission also considered the name Moorooka, for the most centrally-located suburb within the district, but wished to avoid confusion as the name is already allocated to a Brisbane City Council ward.

The Commission considered a small number of objections regarding its proposal to name the district Annerley, with Yeronga being a suggested alternative. The Commission decided that the proposed name of Annerley is appropriate, being the most populated and a well-recognised locality within the district.

Greenslopes

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,792 (-3.47%)	42,832 (-4.13%)
New boundaries	39,352 (-2.08%)	43,663 (-2.27%)
Losses	Loses the eastern portion of Camp Hill to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth).	
Gains	Gains a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda train station from South Brisbane. Gains a portion of Camp Hill from Bulimba.	
Composition	Greenslopes, Stones Corner, Coorparoo, Holland Park, Holland Park West, and portions of Woolloongabba, Camp Hill, Norman Park and Mount Gravatt.	

The Commission has made relatively **minimal changes** to improve enrolment within the district and better define the boundaries between Greenslopes and the surrounding districts.

Greenslopes gains the balance of Camp Hill currently in Bulimba, while transferring the portion of Camp Hill situated east of Boundary Road to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth). This change means the locality of Camp Hill is split between 2 districts, compared to 3 currently, with a clear boundary aligned to Boundary Road. The alignment to Boundary Road was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-095, S-099, S-110 (LNP) and S-114.

The Commission has also transferred a portion of Woolloongabba around Buranda Station from South Brisbane to Greenslopes, noting this area's connection to the adjacent Stones Corner and improved enrolment projection for the district of South Brisbane. Submissions including S-014, S-077, S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) suggested Greenslopes gain territory in this area to various extents.

The Commission noted O-685 (Greens) which suggested a smaller portion of Woolloongabba bordered by the Pacific Motorway to the west and O'Keefe Street to the north be transferred to Greenslopes, with territory around Buranda Station including Buranda State School retained in South Brisbane. The Commission considered this change but decided to retain the proposed alignment which limits the high projected enrolment growth in South Brisbane to within projected quota.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Greenslopes.

Mansfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,694 (-8.69%)	41,586 (-6.92%)
New boundaries	38,404 (-4.44%)	43,769 (-2.04%)
Losses	Loses the portion of Carindale currently in the district to Carindale (formerly Chatsworth).	
Gains	Gains the locality of MacGregor from Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey).	
Composition	Mansfield, Mackenzie, Burbank, Rochedale, Wishart, MacGregor, Mount Gravatt East, Upper Mount Gravatt, and a portion of Mount Gravatt.	

Mansfield is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, and the district needs to gain electors both to improve its current enrolment and compensate for the transfer of the locality of Carindale from the district.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Mansfield to expand the district slightly west to gain the locality of MacGregor, which is well connected to Upper Mount Gravatt and Wishart. This gain compensates for the transfer of Carindale and improves enrolment in the district.

Submissions including S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-117 suggested the inclusion of MacGregor in Mansfield. The Commission also agreed with the suggestion in S-115 (ALP) that any required changes to the district should focus on retaining the suburbs of Mount Gravatt East, Mansfield, Upper Mount Gravatt, Wishart and Rochedale.

Objections including O-510 and O-685 (Greens) raised that a portion of the Eight Mile Plains locality was included in Mansfield under the proposed boundaries, due to the orientation of the boundary to the Pacific Motorway. The Commission decided it to be preferable for the whole locality to be in the district which shares its name and has amended the boundaries to include the balance of the locality of Eight Mile Plains in that district. This adjustment has not significantly impacted enrolment in either district and reinstates a portion of the boundary of the former Toohey district aligned to Bulimba Creek.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected grow slightly in coming years.

The Commission has named the district Mansfield.

Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	34,749 (-13.53%)	36,832 (-17.56%)
New boundaries	42,258 (+5.15%)	44,003 (-1.51%)
Losses	Loses MacGregor to Mansfield. Loses the western portion of Coopers Plains to Algester. Loses Nathan, Salisbury and the portions of Moorooka and Rocklea currently in the district to Annerley (formerly Miller).	
Gains	Gains Kuraby, Runcorn, Sunnybank Hills and the eastern portion of Acacia Ridge from the former district of Stretton.	
Composition	Eight Mile Plains, Robertson, Sunnybank, Sunnybank Hills, Runcorn, Kuraby, and the eastern portions of Acacia Ridge and Coopers Plains.	

The districts of Toohey and Stretton were below the minimum enrolment threshold at the commencement of this redistribution, bounded by other low enrolment districts, and projected to fall further below the average State enrolment in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission was required to make **significant changes** in this area.

The district broadly replaces Toohey and absorbs the northern bulk of Stretton. This approach unites connected localities including Sunnybank, Sunnybank Hills and Runcorn which share a community of interest. The proposal to broadly consolidate the districts of Toohey and Stretton appeared in several submissions including S-014, S-077, S-095, S-100, S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-117 and CS-491, and formed a major part of submissions including S-041 and S-089.

The overall reduction in the number of districts in this area by one has enabled the establishment of an additional district in the high-growth corridor between Logan and Ipswich.

Objections including O-510 and O-685 (Greens) raised that a portion of the Eight Mile Plains locality was included in Mansfield under the proposed boundaries. The Commission decided it to be preferable for the whole locality to be in the district which shares its name and has amended the boundaries to include the balance of the locality of Eight Mile Plains in the district. This adjustment has not significantly impacted enrolment in either district and reinstates a portion of the boundary of the former Toohey district aligned to Bulimba Creek.

The district is above the average State enrolment, projected to track slightly below the average in coming years.

The Commission has named the district Eight Mile Plains.

Algester

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,009 (+2.04%)	43,750 (-2.08%)
New boundaries	42,778 (+6.44%)	45,403 (+1.62%)
Losses	Loses Hillcrest and Forestdale to Greenbank (formerly Jordan). Loses Browns Plains and Regents Park to Woodridge. Loses a small portion of Forest Lake to Inala.	
Gains	Gains Stretton, Karawatha, Drewvale and the balance of Calamvale from the former district of Stretton. Gains the western portion of Coopers Plains from Toohey. Gains the balance of Heathwood from Greenbank (formerly Jordan).	
Composition	Algester, Calamvale, Parkinson, Stretton, Drewvale, Karawatha, Larapinta, Heathwood, Pallara, Willawong, Archerfield, the bulk of Acacia Ridge, and small portions of Rocklea and Forest Lake.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to Algester to expand the district to the east, gaining Stretton, Drewvale, Karawatha and the balance of Calamvale from the district of Stretton, which has not been retained, and a portion of Coopers Plains from Toohey.

The southern boundary of the district is aligned to the local government area boundary, with the localities of Hillcrest and Forestdale transferred to Greenbank (formerly Jordan), and Browns Plains and Regents Park united in the district of Woodridge. This approach unites localities and their shared communities of interest to the extent practicable and is informed by the approach taken in submissions including S-108, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114 and CS-491.

The Commission noted a small number of suggestions to retain Forestdale and Hillcrest in Algester in the context of other changes to Logan and Gold Coast districts, but was satisfied that the alignment to the local government area boundary suitably unites connected communities. Accordingly, the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is improved compared with the former district, being above the average State enrolment and projected to track closer to average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Algester.

Inala

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,503 (-1.70%)	41,264 (-7.64%)
New boundaries	42,789 (+6.47%)	44,728 (+0.11%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner from Mount Ommaney. Gains a portion of Forest Lake from Algester.	
Composition	Inala, Durack, Doolandella, Richlands, Ellen Grove, Wacol, the bulk of Darra, a portion of Sumner, the bulk of Forest Lake and a small portion of Oxley.	

Inala is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to decline further toward the minimum threshold by 2032.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to the district to gain the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner from Mount Ommaney. These areas are well connected to the rest of the Inala district, and the changes greatly improve enrolment. The Commission noted several suggestions that Inala expand north across the Ipswich Motorway to variously gain parts of Darra, Sumner and/or Oxley including S-077, S-100, S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-117.

The Commission considered a small number of objections which suggested Darra and Sumner should be retained in Mount Ommaney, arguing these localities have a strong community of interest with other suburbs north of the Ipswich Motorway. The Commission is conscious of the issues raised by these submitters, but the suggested change would push Mount Ommaney significantly over quota, requiring significant reciprocal changes to the surrounding districts. The Commission is satisfied that these localities also have a community of interest toward the south, and the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly to track closer to the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Inala.

Mount Ommaney

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,122 (-7.63%)	37,659 (-15.71%)
New boundaries	43,810 (+9.01%)	44,593 (-0.19%)
Losses	Loses the bulk of Darra and a portion of Sumner to Inala.	
Gains	Gains Chelmer, Graceville and the balance of Sherwood from Annerley (formerly Miller).	
Composition	Mount Ommaney, Jindalee, Westlake, Riverhills, Middle Park, Jamboree Heights, a portion of Sumner, Sinnamon Park, Seventeen Mile Rocks, the bulk of Oxley, Corinda, Sherwood, Graceville and Chelmer.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district. Mount Ommaney was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission received and considered a variety of suggestions and approaches regarding the boundaries of this district.

The Commission ultimately decided to include the whole Chelmer peninsula in Mount Ommaney, with the eastern boundary of the district wholly aligned to Oxley Creek. The Commission noted the strong community of interest and historical connections to the broader electorate, and was persuaded by the improved enrolment outcomes both for Mount Ommaney and neighbouring Inala. The approach is similar to submissions including S-077, S-100, S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-117.

The Commission considered a small number of objections which argued Darra and Sumner should be retained in Mount Ommaney, noting these localities have a strong community of interest with other suburbs situated north of the Ipswich Motorway. However, this change was necessary to accommodate the inclusion of the Chelmer peninsula within Mount Ommaney, a change supported in submissions including O-685 (Greens). The inclusion of Darra and Sumner would require significant changes to Mount Ommaney and the adjoining districts. The Commission is satisfied that these localities also have a community of interest toward the south, and the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is toward the higher end of the enrolment threshold but remains in quota, and is projected to decline comparatively to be close to the State average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Mount Ommaney.

▲ Brisbane north

Summary:

Districts in the Brisbane north region have generally been changed incrementally, given all districts were in quota at the commencement of the redistribution. The changes have aimed to improve the projected enrolment balanced against the factors described in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

The district of Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel), previously projected to exceed the quota by 2032, has contracted geographically to focus on the Brisbane central business district. Other minor exchanges have improved enrolment for Ferny Grove, Moggill and Sandgate, which were projected to drop below the State average enrolment by 2032.

There are 11 districts in the Brisbane north area:

Moggill

Nudgee

Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)

Sandgate

Ashgrove (formerly Cooper)

Aspley

Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel)

Everton

Stafford

Ferny Grove

Clayfield

Moggill

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,559 (-9.03%)	37,015 (-17.15%)
New boundaries	42,515 (+5.79%)	43,856 (-1.84%)
Losses	Loses the balance of the Indooroopilly locality to Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar).	
Gains	Gains Chuwar, Barellan Point, the bulk of Karalee and portions of Tivoli and North Ipswich from Ipswich West.	
Composition	Chapel Hill, Kenmore, Kenmore Hills, Brookfield, Pullenvale, Pinjarra Hills, Bellbowrie, Moggill and localities situated to their west within the Brisbane City Council local government area. Extends south across the Brisbane River, incorporating Chuwar, Barellan Point, the bulk of Karalee and portions of Tivoli and North Ipswich from the Ipswich local government area.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to this district. Moggill was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has adopted the suggestion in S-065 for the Indooroopilly Woods precinct to be transferred from Moggill to Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar), noting the strong argument for this area's connection to the rest of the district.

To address enrolment shortfalls in the district, the district gains the localities of Chuwar (including a remaining small portion of the Brisbane City Council local government area), Karalee and Barellan Point from Ipswich West, significantly improving enrolment. Submissions S-110 (LNP) and S-114 suggested these localities be included in Moggill.

The Commission also considered suggestions that Fig Tree Pocket be transferred to Moggill, but decided against this change due to the area's connection and community of interest with Indooroopilly.

The Commission considered approximately 12 objections against the expansion of Moggill across the Brisbane River, with submissions generally suggesting these areas hold a stronger connection to the Ipswich area. The Commission also noted submissions including O-370 and O-724 (ALP) which suggested further portions of the Ipswich West district, including Pine Mountain and Muirlea be included in Moggill.

The Commission is ultimately satisfied that its approach balances the objectives to unite connected areas, resolves the enrolment deficit in the district and reduces disruption to the extent practicable. Accordingly, the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district, including the longer-term enrolment outlook, is significantly improved by these changes, being above the average State enrolment, projected to decline to be slightly below average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Moggill.

Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,763 (+1.43%)	43,948 (-1.64%)
New boundaries	39,234 (-2.37%)	42,319 (-5.28%)
Losses	The bulk of Bardon to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
Gains	Gains the balance of Indooroopilly from Moggill.	
Composition	Fig Tree Pocket, Indooroopilly, St Lucia, Taringa, Toowong, Auchenflower, Mount Coot-tha and portions of Bardon and Paddington.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to this district. The Commission has adopted the suggestion in S-065 for the district to gain the Indooroopilly Woods precinct, currently in Moggill, noting the strong argument for this area's connection to the rest of the district.

The Commission also decided that a portion of Bardon be transferred to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper), improving enrolment in that district and reflecting the strong community of interest connection this area holds with Paddington and Ashgrove.

The Commission considered suggestions for the district to cross the Brisbane River, gaining a portion of the Chelmer peninsula, but was persuaded by several submissions which argued against this approach on the grounds that the primary community of interest in the district is north of the river.

The locality of Mount Coot-tha was also proposed to be transferred from Indooroopilly to Ashgrove under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-331, O-364, O-593 and O-676 which argued this area should remain within Indooroopilly. This adjustment does not impact the enrolment of either district.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to decline to the mid-point compared to the average, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

The Commission is of the view that the electorate's name (Maiwar) does not readily identify or suggest the electorate's location. Accordingly, the Commission decided to rename the district Indooroopilly for the central locality, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 25 years.⁷⁷ The name was suggested in S-110 (LNP).

The Commission considered a number of objections including O-685 (Greens) against the proposal to change Indigenous district names, including Maiwar, but decided to retain its place-based methodology for district naming, noting the name Indooroopilly is of Indigenous language origin.

Ashgrove (formerly Cooper)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,712 (-3.67%)	40,955 (-8.34%)
New boundaries	42,543 (+5.86%)	45,057 (+0.85%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the bulk of Bardon from Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar). Gains the bulk of Petrie Terrace from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnell). Gains a portion of Ashgrove from Ferny Grove, in addition to Jollys Lookout from Pine Rivers.	
Composition	Red Hill, Milton, The Gap, Enoggera Reservoir, Jollys Lookout, the bulk of Ashgrove, Paddington, Petrie Terrace and Bardon, and portions of Kelvin Grove and Newmarket.	

The current district of Cooper was slightly below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, with a further decline in relative enrolment projected.

The Commission has made relatively **minimal changes** to incorporate more of the Ashgrove and Bardon localities within the district, improving enrolment and uniting connected communities. A portion of Petrie Terrace is transferred from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnell), continuing the boundary along Kelvin Grove Road and Countess Street. This area shares a stronger community of interest with the lower density Red Hill and Paddington areas than the largely high-density Brisbane Central district, and the change eases enrolment pressure in the growing Brisbane Central district.

The Commission noted submissions including S-109 and S-112 (Greens) which identified portions of Bardon currently inaccessible from within the district. These areas are better connected to the rest of the district under the proposed model. Jollys Lookout is also transferred from Pine Rivers, noting this area is accessible from within the district.

⁷⁷ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.263.

The locality of Mount Coot-tha was also transferred from Indooroopilly to Ashgrove under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections including O-331, O-364, O-593 and O-676 which argued this area should remain within Indooroopilly. This adjustment does not affect the enrolment or internal transport connections of either district.

The Commission has named the district Ashgrove for the central locality, reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for more than 75 years.⁷⁸ Other names suggested for this district included Victoria Park (S-110 LNP) and Paddington (S-114).

Brisbane Central (formerly McConnell)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,427 (+5.57%)	53,664 (+20.11%)
New boundaries	38,517 (-4.16%)	48,958 (+9.58%)
Losses	Loses the northern portions of Herston and Kelvin Grove to Stafford. Loses the bulk of Petrie Terrace to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Brisbane City, Spring Hill, Fortitude Valley, New Farm, Teneriffe, Newstead, Bowen Hills and portions of Petrie Terrace, Herston and Kelvin Grove.	

The current district of McConnell is projected to significantly exceed the maximum enrolment threshold in the coming years due to ongoing development within the district.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to contract the district toward the Brisbane central business district, transferring the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston to Stafford, and a portion of Petrie Terrace to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper). These changes mean enrolment in the district is projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The district retains the existing boundary with Ashgrove (formerly Cooper) aligned to Kelvin Grove Road, suggested in S-115 (ALP).

The Commission considered a small number of suggestions for minor boundary changes including the location of Petrie Terrace, Kelvin Grove and Herston (O-273), and a small number of objections to the proposed name change. The Commission considered that the district unites connected localities under recognisable name, and the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Brisbane Central, reflecting the district's geographical location and reinstating the recognisable name associated with the district for almost 40 years.⁷⁹ The name was suggested in S-110 (LNP).

⁷⁸ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.234.

⁷⁹ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.239.

Stafford

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,046 (+2.13%)	43,601 (-2.41%)
New boundaries	43,441 (+8.09%)	46,487 (+4.05%)
Losses	Loses the northern portion of Chermside to Aspley.	
Gains	Gains Gordon Park from Clayfield, and the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston from Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel).	
Composition	Stafford, Stafford Heights, Kedron, Gordon Park, Grange, Wilston, the bulk of Newmarket and portions of Herston, Kelvin Grove, Chermside and Chermside West.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Stafford to gain the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston, reducing the projected enrolment in the district of Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel), and the suburb of Gordon Park, relieving enrolment pressure in the high-enrolment district of Clayfield.

Gordon Park shares a community of interest with suburbs including Grange situated west of Kedron Brook. This change was suggested in several submissions including S-099, S-106, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) S-114, S-115 (ALP), S-117 and CS-491. The Commission also considered the northern portions of Kelvin Grove and Herston surrounding Ballymore share a connection to Newmarket and Wilston.

To compensate for these gains, the northern boundary of the district has been wholly aligned to Hamilton Road, transferring a portion of Chermside to Aspley. This approach was suggested in submissions including S-101 and CS-491.

The Commission was persuaded by the suggestion in O-685 (Greens) which identified a single house in the Clayfield district which was separated from the rest of its street in Stafford. The minor adjustment to include the house in Stafford does not impact enrolment.

The Commission also considered the suggestion regarding the district's northern boundary in O-685 involving the transfer of territory between Stafford, Aspley, Everton and Nudgee. The Commission decided that the use of Hamilton Road as a continuous northern boundary is distinct and easily understood by electors and decided not to implement this change.

The district is toward the higher end of enrolment tolerance, trending closer to the average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Stafford.

Clayfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,914 (+6.78%)	48,705 (+9.01%)
New boundaries	39,624 (-1.40%)	45,287 (+1.36%)
Losses	Loses Gordon Park to Stafford.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Windsor, Lutwyche, Woolloowin, Kalinga, Albion, Clayfield, Ascot, Hamilton, Hendra, Eagle Farm, Pinkenba, Brisbane Airport, and a portion of Kedron.	

Enrolment in Clayfield is currently above the State average, projected to further increase in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district, transferring Gordon Park from Clayfield to Stafford. The change was suggested in several submissions including S-099, S-106, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114, S-115 (ALP), S-117, and CS-491.

The Commission was also persuaded by the suggestion in O-685 (Greens) which identified a single house in the Clayfield district which was separated from the rest of its street in Stafford. The minor adjustment to include the house in Stafford does not impact enrolment.

These changes bring the district's current and projected enrolment closer to the State average with minimal changes to existing boundaries.

The Commission has named the district Clayfield.

Nudgee

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,583 (+0.98%)	42,328 (-5.26%)
New boundaries	40,583 (+0.98%)	42,328 (-5.26%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Nudgee, Nudgee Beach, Banyo, Northgate, Nundah, Virginia, Boondall, the bulk of Wavell Heights and portions of Geebung and Zillmere.	

The Commission has made **no substantive changes** to the boundaries of this district, with only minor adjustments to the southern boundary which improve the alignment to recognisable features but do not impact enrolment.

The proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026 included an exchange of portions of Taigum and Zillmere between Nudgee and Sandgate, and the transfer of a further portion of Geebung from Aspley to Nudgee to compensate for the exchanges with Sandgate.

However, the Commission was persuaded by approximately 67 objections to the transfer of part of Geebung to Nudgee, and the suggestion in O-359 to reunite the whole localities of Zillmere in Nudgee and Taigum in Sandgate. Accordingly, the final boundaries are largely unchanged from the existing configuration.

Enrolment in the district is close to the State average and projected to decline toward the mid-point below the average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Nudgee.

Sandgate

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,228 (-2.39%)	40,142 (-10.15%)
New boundaries	41,326 (+2.83%)	42,224 (-5.49%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the northern portion of Bald Hills from Aspley.	
Composition	Sandgate, Shorncliffe, Brighton, Deagon, Bracken Ridge, Fitzgibbon, Taigum and the bulk of Bald Hills.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Sandgate, which was projected to fall below the minimum enrolment threshold by 2032.

The Commission's proposal of 10 March 2026 exchanged portions of Taigum and Zillmere between Nudgee and Sandgate, with Nudgee also gaining a portion of Geebung from Aspley to sustain its enrolment. While these minor changes improved Sandgate's projected enrolment, the Commission was persuaded to restore Nudgee's existing boundaries following community objections. The return to the existing boundaries reunites Zillmere wholly within Nudgee and Taigum in Sandgate as suggested in O-359, but mean Sandgate is again projected to fall out of quota.

The Commission considered options to improve enrolment in Sandgate and agreed to implement the change originally suggested in submissions including S-095, S-099, S-101, S-109, S-112 (Greens), S-117 and CS-491 that the district gain the northern portion of Bald Hills from Aspley. The Commission agreed that this approach improves the balance of enrolment between Sandgate and Aspley, unites the bulk of Bald Hills within Sandgate, and retains the northern boundaries aligned to waterways and the Brisbane City Council local government area.

This adjustment improves enrolment in the district, meaning Sandgate is no longer projected to fall out of quota in the coming years and incorporates feedback from the community to the extent practicable.

The Commission has named the district Sandgate.

Aspley

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,527 (+0.84%)	42,017 (-5.96%)
New boundaries	39,830 (-0.89%)	41,692 (-6.69%)
Losses	Loses the northern portion of Bald Hills to Sandgate. Loses a portion of McDowall to Everton. Loses the portion of Albany Creek currently in the district to Everton.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Bridgeman Downs from Everton. Gains the northern portion of Chermside from Stafford.	
Composition	Aspley, Bridgeman Downs, Carseldine, and portions of Zillmere, Bald Hills, Chermside, Chermside West, McDowall and Wavell Heights.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to Aspley to gain a portion of Chermside from Stafford and transfer a portion of McDowall to Everton. These changes better balance enrolment across these districts, and create a continuous, recognisable boundary aligned to Hamilton Road. The alignment is similar to the suggestion in CS-491.

The Commission has also adopted S-042 which suggested the localities of Albany Creek and Bridgeman Downs be united within Everton and Aspley respectively, arguing these areas are currently not accessible from within their current district.

The proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026 also transferred a portion of Geebung from Aspley to Nudgee as part of a small number of exchanges between districts in the area intended to improve projected enrolment in Sandgate. However, the Commission was persuaded by approximately 67 objections including O-724 (ALP) regarding the proposal to transfer part of Geebung and decided not to proceed with the changes.

The Commission considered other options to improve enrolment in Sandgate and agreed to implement the change originally suggested in submissions including S-095, S-099, S-101, S-109, S-112 (Greens), S-117 and CS-491 that the district gain the northern portion of Bald Hills from Aspley. The Commission agreed that this approach improves the balance of enrolment between the districts, unites the bulk of Bald Hills within Sandgate, and retains the northern boundaries aligned to waterways and the Brisbane City Council local government area.

The district is slightly below the State average and projected to decline marginally in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Aspley.

Everton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,361 (+0.43%)	42,649 (-4.54%)
New boundaries	40,956 (+1.91%)	43,127 (-3.47%)
Losses	Loses the parts of Enoggera and Gaythorne currently in the district, and a portion of Mitchelton, to Ferny Grove. Loses the balance of Bridgeman Downs to Aspley.	
Gains	Gains a portion of McDowall and Albany Creek from Aspley. Gains the balance of Bunya from Ferny Grove.	
Composition	Everton Park, Everton Hills, Albany Creek, Eatons Hill, Bunya, and portions of Mitchelton, Keperra, Arana Hills, Warner and Brendale.	

To compensate for the transfer of the parts of Enoggera and Gaythorne currently in the district, along with a portion of Mitchelton, to improve enrolment in Ferny Grove, the Commission has made **minimal changes** to Everton to gain a further portion of McDowall from Aspley. The boundary along Hamilton Road aligns with the northern boundary of Stafford. Submissions including S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) suggested more of McDowall be included in Everton.

The Commission has also adopted the change suggested by the Honourable Mark Furner MP, Member for Ferny Grove (S-079) and others including S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens), S-114 and S-115 (ALP) that the locality of Bunya be united within Everton.

The Commission noted S-042 which suggested the localities of Albany Creek and Bridgeman Downs be united within Everton and Aspley respectively, arguing these areas are currently not accessible from within their current district. The Commission has adopted this suggestion, aligning the boundary in this area to the locality boundary.

The Commission considered the suggestion in O-685 (Greens) to include the whole of the Mitchelton and Keperra localities in Ferny Grove, but noted this change would require significant consequential changes to the boundaries of nearby districts. Accordingly, the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

These changes improve Everton's current and projected enrolment, which is slightly above the State average and projected to decline marginally in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Everton.

Ferny Grove

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,437 (-4.36%)	39,645 (-11.27%)
New boundaries	39,927 (-0.65%)	41,227 (-7.73%)
Losses	Loses a portion of Ashgrove to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper). Loses Bunya to Everton.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Enoggera and Gaythorne and a further portion of Mitchelton from Everton.	
Composition	Ferny Grove, Ferny Hills, Upper Kedron, Enoggera, Gaythorne, the bulk of Arana Hills, Keperra and Mitchelton, and portions of Alderley, Ashgrove and Newmarket.	

Ferny Grove is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, projected to fall out of quota in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district to gain the balance of Enoggera and Gaythorne, aligning the district's inner-northern boundary to Kedron Brook, and a portion of Mitchelton from Everton. The change unites these connected communities within one district using the waterway as a boundary to the extent practicable. This approach is informed by submissions including S-100, S-101, S-109, S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP).

The portion of Bunya contained in the district is transferred to Everton, uniting the locality within one district. The Commission was assisted by a submission from the local Member of Parliament, the Honourable Mark Furner MP (S-079) supporting this change. The Commission has in-part adopted the MP's other suggestions with the inclusion of Gaythorne and part of Mitchelton in the district.

The Commission considered the suggestion in O-685 (Greens) to include the whole of the Mitchelton and Keperra localities in Ferny Grove, but noted this change would require significant consequential changes to the boundaries of nearby districts. Accordingly, the final district is the same as was published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is improved, being close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline toward the lower end of the threshold, but remaining in quota, in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Ferny Grove.

▲ Moreton Bay area

Summary:

The creation of the new district of Caboolture in this region has enabled the Commission to reduce the number of electors in the surrounding districts, bringing the district of Murrumba back into quota. The new district, situated west of the Bruce Highway, includes the central portion of the Caboolture locality, and expands west with the D'Aguilar Highway as its core transport spine.

Pumicestone and Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft) have shifted east, strengthening their character as districts shaped around the area's northern coastal communities.

The Commission received approximately 135 comments during the second stage of consultation, including a submission from the local Member of Parliament, Ms Nikki Boyd MP (CS-287), which argued against changes to the district of Pine Rivers proposed in S-110 (LNP). These changes would have removed portions of Strathpine, Lawnton and Bray Park from the district. The Commission agreed with the public sentiment, noting Pine Rivers is in quota and projected to remain so, and has made only one minor change to the boundaries of the district to include Ocean View, an outcome supported in public submissions (S-040, CS-075 and CS-287).

The Commission notes this region is expected to continue to experience strong growth in the coming years. The district of Morayfield is one of 5 districts expected to exceed the quota by 2032 on account of significant ongoing development.

There are 8 districts in the Moreton Bay area:

Pine Rivers

Kurwongbah

Murrumba

Redcliffe

Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft)

Morayfield

Pumicestone

Caboolture (new)

Pine Rivers

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,657 (+3.66%)	45,774 (+2.45%)
New boundaries	42,491 (+5.73%)	46,700 (+4.52%)
Losses	Loses Jollys Lookout to Ashgrove (formerly Cooper).	
Gains	Gains Ocean View from Glass House.	
Composition	Strathpine and the bulk of Lawnton, Bray Park, Brendale and Warner; Cashmere, the Samford Valley area, Dayboro and the surrounding localities extending west to the Moreton Bay local government area boundary and north to Ocean View.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to include the locality of Ocean View in Pine Rivers and transfer the locality of Jollys Lookout to Ashgrove. The Ocean View change was suggested in several submissions including S-040, CS-002, CS-075 and noted in a submission from Ms Nikki Boyd MP, Member for Pine Rivers (CS-287).

The Commission agreed with the approximately 135 comments, including the submission from the local Member of Parliament and CS-466 (ALP), which argued against further changes to Pine Rivers suggested in S-110 (LNP). Noting the district is in quota and projected to remain so, no other changes were proposed.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Pine Rivers.

Kurwongbah

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,662 (+6.16%)	50,224 (+12.41%)
New boundaries	39,947 (-0.60%)	46,538 (+4.16%)
Losses	Loses the northern portion of Burpengary to Morayfield. Loses a small portion of Petrie to Murrumba.	
Gains	Gains the bulk of Dakabin from Murrumba.	
Composition	Kurwongbah, Whiteside, Joyner, Narangba, the bulk of Petrie and Dakabin, and portions of Lawnton, Bray Park and Burpengary.	

Kurwongbah is currently above the average State enrolment and projected to exceed the quota by 2032. The district shares a boundary with the significantly over-quota Murrumba, and the growing Morayfield district.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** intended to improve enrolment in the surrounding districts. Kurwongbah gains the bulk of Dakabin, relieving enrolment pressure in the over-quota Murrumba. The northern portion of Burpengary is transferred to Morayfield, so that district remains in quota following the establishment of the new Caboolture district further north.

Submissions including S-014, S-099, S-100, S-114 and S-117 included suggestions that Kurwongbah gain territory from Dakabin and transfer a portion of Burpengary to Morayfield. The approach aligns with S-115 (ALP) which suggested parts of Burpengary be moved into Morayfield should electors be moved out of Kurwongbah.

The proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026 also transferred a portion of Narangba to Morayfield, meaning the locality was split between the two districts. The Commission considered approximately 29 objections and a further 8 comments which argued that Narangba represents a community of interest connected by local schools and sports clubs and should remain united within Kurwongbah.

Mr Shane King MP, Member for Kurwongbah (O-725) noted the locality of Burpengary is already split between the two districts, and suggested a further portion of the locality could be transferred to Morayfield to compensate for the inclusion of Narangba wholly within Kurwongbah.

The Commission was persuaded by the arguments put forward in these submissions, and agreed to retain Narangba wholly within Kurwongbah, and to transfer an additional portion of Burpengary to Morayfield.

Kurwongbah is projected to remain in quota, being slightly below the average enrolment, projected to grow to around the mid-point above the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Kurwongbah.

Murrumba

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	47,586 (+18.41%)	56,550 (+26.57%)
New boundaries	40,144 (-0.11%)	48,585 (+8.74%)
Losses	Loses the bulk of Dakabin to Kurwongbah. Loses the portions of Rothwell and Kippa-Ring currently in the district to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft), and the small portion of Newport currently in the district to Redcliffe.	
Gains	Gains a small portion of Petrie from Kurwongbah.	
Composition	Kallangur, Murrumba Downs, Griffin, the bulk of Mango Hill and small portions of Petrie and Dakabin.	

Murrumba was significantly above the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution, requiring significant changes to bring the district back into quota.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to the district to contract to the core suburbs of Murrumba Downs, Kallangur, Mango Hill and Griffin. These localities have shared communities of interest, and the approach enables the retention of existing boundaries aligned to the rail line, waterways and major roads to the extent practicable.

The district contracts in the west, transferring the bulk of Dakabin to Kurwongbah, and in the north-east, transferring Rothwell and the portion of Kippa-Ring currently in the district to the adjacent district of Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). This approach is broadly similar to several submissions including S-014, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP) and CS-491. The alignment of the north-eastern boundary to Saltwater Creek was suggested in both S-110 and S-115.

The Commission considered the objection in O-719 (LNP) which argued the Petrie Rail Station and Sunshine Coast University Moreton Bay Campus should remain in Kurwongbah rather than being included in Murrumba. The Commission concluded the North Coast rail line and North Pine River provide strong, easily understood boundaries in this area, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is significantly improved, being close to the State average and projected to approach, but not exceed, the maximum threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Murrumba.

Redcliffe

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,565 (+5.91%)	50,004 (+11.92%)
New boundaries	41,327 (+2.83%)	48,925 (+9.50%)
Losses	Loses a portion of Kippa-Ring to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft).	
Gains	Gains the balance of Newport from Murrumba.	
Composition	Redcliffe, Scarborough, Margate, Woody Point, Clontarf, Newport, Moreton Island and a portion of Kippa-Ring.	

Redcliffe is another district in the Moreton Bay region projected to exceed the quota in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district to gain the balance of the Newport locality, correcting an issue where the current boundary bisects a newly developed estate not aligned to a recognisable feature or other boundary. This change will improve clarity for electors and administration of the electoral roll.

Submissions regarding this district suggested various portions of Kippa-Ring and Newport be exchanged between Redcliffe and the adjacent district. The Commission decided to transfer an additional portion of Kippa-Ring to Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). This change means the district is projected to remain in quota in the coming years, approaching but not exceeding the maximum enrolment threshold and maintains the area's natural peninsula boundary as advocated in S-115 (ALP).

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Redcliffe.

Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,697 (+3.75%)	46,871 (+4.91%)
New boundaries	42,689 (+6.22%)	46,430 (+3.92%)
Losses	Loses the northern portion of Burpengary East (north of Burpengary Creek) and the portion of Morayfield currently in the district to Pumicestone.	
Gains	Gains Rothwell and Kippa-Ring from Murrumba and a further portion of Kippa-Ring from Redcliffe.	
Composition	Deception Bay, North Lakes, Rothwell, and portions of Burpengary East, Narangba, Mango Hill and Kippa-Ring.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to shift the district slightly south to focus on the communities of interest around the southern portion of Deception Bay. The district transfers territory north of Burpengary Creek to Pumicestone, gaining the balance of Rothwell and a portion of Kippa-Ring from Murrumba and Redcliffe.

The district retains the strong western boundary along the Bruce Highway, and relieves enrolment pressure in Murrumba, which is currently over quota, and Redcliffe which is projected to become so by 2032. This approach broadly aligns with several public suggestions including S-014, S-110 (LNP) and CS-491.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that changes to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named this district Deception Bay in recognition of the district's bayside location and for the central locality of the same name. The Commission considered 2 objections to the name, with North Lakes being a suggested alternative, but considered that Deception Bay is more recognisable and strongly associated with the area.

Morayfield

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,825 (+9.05%)	54,119 (+21.13%)
New boundaries	36,739 (-8.58%)	53,390 (+19.50%)
Losses	Loses Caboolture and Bellmere to the new Caboolture district.	
Gains	Gains a portion of Burpengary from Kurwongbah. Gains Upper Caboolture, Moorina, Lilywood, Rocksberg, Greenstone and a portion of Wagtail Grove from Glass House.	
Composition	Morayfield, Caboolture South, Upper Caboolture, Moorina, Lilywood, Rocksberg, Greenstone, and portions of Burpengary and Wagtail Grove.	

Noting the continued high growth in this area, the Commission has made **significant changes** to this district with the populated northern localities of Caboolture and Bellmere transferred to the new district of Caboolture, allowing the Morayfield district to remain centred on the locality of the same name. The general approach is similar to that proposed in several submissions which suggested the establishment of a new district based around Caboolture.

The district gains more of Burpengary, incorporating areas connected via Morayfield Road and wholly aligning the eastern boundary to the Bruce Highway. The district also expands west, gaining Upper Caboolture and its surrounds from Glass House. These areas are well connected and share a community of interest with central Morayfield.

The proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026 also included a portion of Narangba within the district, however, the Commission decided not to proceed with this change following approximately 29 objections and a further 8 comments which argued the locality should remain united within Kurwongbah. The Commission agreed that Morayfield should gain a further portion of Burpengary to ensure the district remains in quota, as suggested by Mr Shane King MP, Member for Kurwongbah (O-725).

While the current enrolment is at the lower end of the allowable range, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Morayfield is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Morayfield.

Pumicestone

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,384 (+7.95%)	47,881 (+7.17%)
New boundaries	38,666 (-3.79%)	42,694 (-4.44%)
Losses	Loses Moodlu and the portions of Caboolture and Elimbah situated to the west of the Bruce Highway to the new Caboolture district.	
Gains	Gains part of Burpengary East and Morayfield from Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft). Gains the southern portion of Coochin Creek, the eastern portion of Beerburrum and the balance of Elimbah from Glass House.	
Composition	Donnybrook, Meldale, Toorbul, Ningi, Sandstone Point, Godwin Beach, Beachmere; portions of Burpengary East, Morayfield, Caboolture, Elimbah and Beerburrum; the southern portion of Coochin Creek; and the entirety of Bribie Island.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to Pumicestone to transfer all territory west of the Bruce Highway to the new Caboolture district, wholly aligning the western boundary of Pumicestone to the motorway.

The district's southern border has shifted south to align with Burpengary Creek, while to the north the district gains the eastern portion of Beerburrum, the balance of Elimbah and the southern portion of Coochin Creek from Glass House.

The boundaries of the district are aligned to major features including waterways and the Bruce Highway. This approach is similar to that suggested in submissions including S-115 (ALP), CS-491, and several other submissions which suggested the establishment of a new district centred on Caboolture.

The Commission considered the objection in O-719 (LNP) that the proposed boundary with Caloundra did not give adequate weight to the local government boundary as a marker of community identity and interest. The submission suggested the boundary be aligned to the Sunshine Coast local government area boundary, including the whole Coochin Creek locality and the eastern portion of Beerburrum in Caloundra, rather than Pumicestone.

The Commission decided to restore Caloundra's existing southern boundary, aligned to the Coochin Creek waterway, which incorporates the northern portion of this locality within Caloundra. The Commission agreed this approach balances the community of interest factors raised in the submission against the projected high population growth in the Caloundra district, noting the Coochin Creek waterway provides a strong and easily understood physical boundary. The district incorporates the bulk of the Pumicestone Passage for which the district is named, reflecting a clear community of interest. The district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Pumicestone.

Caboolture (new district)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
New boundaries	37,858 (-5.80%)	47,192 (+5.62%)
Losses	N/A	
Gains	Gains the portion of Caboolture west of the Bruce Highway and Bellmere from Morayfield. Gains Moodlu and the portions of Caboolture and Elimbah west of the Bruce Highway from Pumicestone. Gains the remaining Moreton Bay local government area localities from Glass House.	
Composition	The portions of Caboolture and Elimbah situated west of the Bruce Highway; Corymbia, the northern portion of Wagtail Grove; Moodlu, Bellmere, Waraba, Wamuran, Campbell's Pocket and Mount Mee, extending north-west to gain the remaining localities from Moreton Bay local government area including Woodford and D'Aguilar.	

The Commission received several suggestions including S-110 (LNP), S-112 (Greens) and S-115 (ALP) to establish a new district in the Moreton Bay area, noting a total of 7 districts between the Moreton Bay and nearby Sunshine Coast regions are projected to exceed the quota by 2032. The Commission agreed with the general approach put forward by submitters, and the district is informed by these submissions.

Situated to the west of the Bruce Highway, the district incorporates the central portion of the Caboolture locality and its surrounds, and expands west with the D'Aguilar Highway as its core transport corridor, gaining the southern bulk of the current Glass House district. The boundaries of the district are aligned to the Moreton Bay local government area boundaries to the north and west, the Caboolture River to the south, and the Bruce Highway to the east. The district is similar to the approach suggested in submissions including S-077, S-095, S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP), and CS-491.

The Commission considered the suggestion in O-719 (LNP) that Rocksberg, Greenstone and Moorina be transferred from Morayfield to Caboolture, which was the subject of a further objection in CO-055 (ALP). The Commission did not receive further substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that changes to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is at the lower end of the enrolment threshold, projected to grow to around the mid-point above the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Caboolture for the highly populated, recognisable locality contained within the district and reinstating a previous electorate name that existed for almost 24 years.⁸⁰

⁸⁰ Queensland Parliamentary Record 2020-2024: The 57th Parliament, p.245.

▲ Sunshine Coast area

Summary:

The Sunshine Coast is another region that has grown significantly since 2017, with the district of Caloundra being significantly above the State average enrolment at the commencement of this redistribution. The Commission decided to transfer the portions of Caloundra situated west of the Bruce Highway to the revised Glass House district, resulting in a more compact district. The Commission notes significant development is ongoing in this district, and Caloundra is projected to exceed the average State enrolment by 2032.

The districts of Glass House and Nambour (formerly Nicklin) have shifted north to accommodate the new district of Caboolture in the Moreton Bay region, and absorbed some enrolment pressure from the over-quota Caloundra and Gympie as part of this change.

The Commission received a number of submissions requesting Cooroy be transferred to Noosa, with submissions highlighting the community of interest between these areas. The Commission also noted submissions were received during this 2017 redistribution regarding this issue.

The Commission considered including Cooroy in Noosa, but ultimately decided it should remain with Nambour (formerly Nicklin) to better balance the number of electors in both districts. The town of Eumundi has also been transferred from Coolum (formerly Ninderry) to Nambour to balance current and projected population in these districts.

There are 8 districts in the Sunshine Coast area:

Glass House

Caloundra

Kawana

Buderim

Maroochydore

Coolum (formerly Ninderry)

Nambour (formerly Nicklin)

Noosa

Glass House

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,829 (-0.89%)	53,613 (+20.00%)
New boundaries	38,410 (-4.42%)	42,108 (-5.75%)
Losses	Loses Ocean View to Pine Rivers. Loses Coochin Creek and the portion of Beerburrum east of the Bruce Highway to Pumicestone. Loses the southern-most localities surrounding Upper Caboolture to Morayfield. Loses the remaining Moreton Bay local government area localities to the new Caboolture district. Loses the northern localities of Flaxton, Cambroon, Kenilworth, a portion of Curramore and the balance of Kidaman Creek and Obi Obi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
Gains	Gains Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview and the portion of Palmview west of the Bruce Highway from Caloundra. Gains Palmwoods, Chevallum, Ilkley, the balance of Hunchy, and the western portion of Tanawha from Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
Composition	Glass House Mountains, the western portion of Beerburrum, Peachester, Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview, Tanawha, Ilkley, Chevallum, Palmwoods, Mooloolah Valley, and localities to the west, extending to the local government area boundary, including Maleny, Montville, Witta, Reesville, Conondale and their surrounds.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to align the eastern boundary of Glass House wholly to the Bruce Highway and transfer southern territory to the new district of Caboolture.

The district gains the portion of Caloundra situated west of the highway, uniting the communities connected by Steve Irwin Way within the district, and the southern portion of Nicklin including Palmwoods. These gains offset the transfer of the southern bulk of the current district to the new electorate of Caboolture.

The district is characterised by Sunshine Coast hinterland communities west of the highway, reflecting shared interests of these communities. The southern and western boundaries of the district are aligned to the Moreton Bay and Somerset local government area boundaries respectively, and the eastern boundary to the Bruce Highway. This approach is broadly similar to that proposed in submissions including S-100, S-110 (LNP), S-115 (ALP) and CS-491.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that changes to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Glass House.

Caloundra

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	47,391 (+17.92%)	64,892 (+45.24%)
New boundaries	38,065 (-5.28%)	54,119 (+21.13%)
Losses	Loses all territory situated west of the Bruce Highway to Glass House, being Beerwah, Landsborough, Glenview and the western portion of Palmview.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Caloundra, the bulk of Caloundra West, Kings Beach, Shelly Beach, Moffat Beach, Dicky Beach, Battery Hill, Golden Beach, Pelican Waters, Baringa, Bells Creek, Nirimba, Banya, Gagalba, Corbould Park and portions of Coochin Creek, Little Mountain and Meridan Plains.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to the boundaries of the existing district of Caloundra, noting it is significantly over-quota with continued development and enrolment growth projected in the area.

The district contracts to the east, losing all territory situated west of the Bruce Highway to Glass House, and contracts north, transferring Coochin Creek to Pumicestone. These changes significantly improve enrolment in the district, bringing it back into quota, and are similar to the approach proposed in submissions including S-100, S-114, S-117 and CS-491.

The Commission considered the objection in O-719 (LNP) that the proposed boundary with Pumicestone did not give adequate weight to the local government boundary as a marker of community identity and interest. The submission suggested the boundary be aligned to the Sunshine Coast local government area boundary, including the whole Coochin Creek locality and the eastern portion of Beerburrum in Caloundra.

The Commission decided to restore Caloundra's existing southern boundary, aligned to the Coochin Creek waterway, which incorporates the northern portion of this locality within Caloundra. The Commission agreed this approach balances the community of interest factors raised in the submission against the projected high population growth in the Caloundra district, noting the waterway provides a strong and easily understood physical boundary.

The Commission also noted one objection to the transfer of Beerwah and Landsborough to Glass House but considered this to be a necessary change given the ongoing development and population growth to the east of the highway.

While enrolment in the district is below the average State enrolment, the Commission notes that due to the significant ongoing development in this region, Caloundra is one of 5 districts projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Caloundra.

Kawana

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,572 (+0.96%)	43,517 (-2.60%)
New boundaries	40,770 (+1.45%)	43,762 (-2.05%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Mooloolah River National Park portion of Sippy Downs, Lower Mooloolah River Environment Reserve and Palmview Conservation Area and connecting portions of Palmview from Buderim.	
Composition	Minyama, Buddina, Parrearra, Warana, Bokarina, Birtinya, Wurtulla, Currimundi, Aroona, the bulk of Meridan Plains and portions of Palmview, Sippy Downs, Caloundra West and Little Mountain.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district of Kawana. The district gains portions of Sippy Downs around the Mooloolah River National Park, and Palmview around the Palmview Conservation Park, from the high-growth district of Buderim.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, declining slightly compared to the average over the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Kawana.

Buderim

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	42,780 (+6.45%)	50,640 (+13.34%)
New boundaries	38,022 (-5.39%)	45,739 (+2.37%)
Losses	Loses the southern portion of Mountain Creek to Maroochydoore.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Sippy Downs, Buderim, the bulk of Palmview and portions of Mountain Creek, Tanawha and Mons.	

Buderim is currently above the average State enrolment and projected to exceed the quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to contract the district slightly to the north, transferring the southern portion of Mountain Creek to Maroochydoore, and territory around the Mooloolah River National Park and Palmview Conservation Park to Kawana.

Mountain Creek is well connected to the Maroochydhore area, and this transfer significantly improves projected enrolment in Buderim. Submissions including S-077 and S-117 suggested the relevant portion of Mountain Creek be transferred to Kawana, but the Commission considered this area's stronger connection is to Mooloolaba and the district of Maroochydhore.

Two objections including O-685 (Greens) raised the transfer of Mountain Creek from Buderim to Maroochydhore, however the Commission decided that this area was well connected to the coast and the change means Buderim is no longer projected to exceed the quota by 2032. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is below the average State enrolment, projected to grow to be slightly above the average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Buderim.

Maroochydhore

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,702 (-3.70%)	43,258 (-3.18%)
New boundaries	43,262 (+7.65%)	47,914 (+7.24%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the southern portion of Mountain Creek from Buderim.	
Composition	Mooloolaba, Alexandra Headland, Twin Waters, Mudjimba and Point Arkwright; the bulk of Maroochydhore, Yaroomba, Mount Coolum, Marcoola and Pacific Paradise; and portions of Coolum Beach and Mountain Creek.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Maroochydhore to gain a portion of the Mountain Creek locality from the growing district of Buderim. This area is well connected to the district of Maroochydhore, and the change means Buderim is now projected to remain in quota in the coming years.

The remainder of Maroochydhore's boundaries are unchanged, and the district remains focussed around the central coast of the Sunshine Coast, north of the Mooloolah River.

Two objections including O-685 (Greens) raised the transfer of Mountain Creek from Buderim to Maroochydhore, however the Commission notes this area is well connected to the coast and the change means Buderim is no longer expected to exceed the quota by 2032. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution. The district is toward the higher end of the enrolment threshold, and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Maroochydhore.

Coolum (formerly Ninderry)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,970 (+9.41%)	46,880 (+4.93%)
New boundaries	42,858 (+6.64%)	45,585 (+2.03%)
Losses	Loses part of Eumundi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Kuluin, Kunda Park, Forest Glen, portions of Buderim and Mons and the Sunshine Coast local government area localities to their north bordered by the Sunshine Motorway in the east, the local government area boundary in the north and the Bruce Highway in the west (excluding a portion of Eumundi), in addition to the bulk of the Coolum Beach locality.	

Ninderry is currently very close to exceeding the maximum enrolment threshold, although the Commission notes its relative enrolment is projected to slightly decline in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to transfer the central portion of Eumundi to Nambour (formerly Nicklin), with the boundary aligned to the rail line. This change ensures the district will remain in quota, and is similar to the approach taken in submissions including S-019, S-095 and S-110 (LNP).

The Commission considered suggestions for the inclusion of Eumundi in the district of Noosa, and objections against the inclusion of this locality in Nambour, but these changes would require more substantial boundary changes to ensure the surrounding districts remain in quota. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Several submissions including O-719 (LNP) objected to the proposal to name the district Coolum, however, the Commission considered this name to be more recognisable than the current name (Ninderry), which does not readily identify or suggest the electorate's location. Accordingly, the Commission has named the district Coolum. The district name was suggested in CS-491.

Nambour (formerly Nicklin)

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,036 (-0.38%)	44,099 (-1.30%)
New boundaries	38,793 (-3.47%)	42,138 (-5.69%)
Losses	Loses Palmwoods, Chevallum, Ilkley, Hunchy and Tanawha to Glass House. Loses the northern portion of Federal to Noosa.	
Gains	Gains the northern localities from the current Glass House stretching west from Flaxton to Kenilworth. Gains the southern localities from the current Gympie including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south. Gains a portion of Eumundi from Coolum (formerly Ninderry).	
Composition	The north-western portion of the Sunshine Coast local government area, broadly being all localities north and west of Woombye, bordered in the east by the Bruce Highway, in addition to a portion of Eumundi situated east of the Highway. The southern portion of the Gympie local government area including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south. The Noosa local government area localities surrounding Black Mountain including the bulk of Cooroy and a portion of Federal.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to locate the district broadly north, transferring Palmwoods and its surrounds to Glass House, and gaining the southern portion of the Gympie district including Imbil, Kandanga and Amamoor.

This approach accommodates the newly established district of Caboolture and associated northerly shift of Glass House, while also relieving enrolment pressure in the currently over-quota district of Gympie. The Commission considered Palmwoods and the surrounding area have a strong community of interest with the hinterland localities to the south including Landsborough and Beerwah.

The district also gains the central portion of Eumundi, slightly reducing enrolment in Coolum (formerly Ninderry) which is very close to the maximum enrolment threshold. This change locates Eumundi with the connected hinterland towns of Yandina and Cooroy which are also in Nambour. The Commission considered public suggestions for Cooroy to be transferred to Noosa, but decided the locality should remain to better balance enrolment.

The Commission also considered several objections regarding the inclusion of Eumundi and central Cooroy in Nambour, including from Ms Sandy Bolton MP, Member for Noosa (O-385), but decided the original approach balances the connection of communities with the need to balance enrolment between adjacent districts.

The Commission considered approximately 28 objections including O-724 (ALP) regarding the transfer of the Mary Valley area including Imbil, Kandanga, Brooloo, Amamoor from Gympie to Nambour. The Commission seriously considered the area's connection to Gympie, which was highlighted in many submissions, but decided to retain the proposed boundary alignment due to the extensive changes to the surrounding districts which would be required to bring Gympie and Nambour back into quota should the change be reverted.

The Commission has named the district Nambour for the large, recognisable town within the district. The district name was suggested in S-114.

Noosa

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,909 (-3.18%)	40,690 (-8.93%)
New boundaries	39,281 (-2.26%)	41,089 (-8.04%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the northern portion of Federal from Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
Composition	The bulk of the Noosa local government area, excluding a portion of Cooroy and the localities situated west of the Bruce Highway.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to the district of Noosa, noting the current boundaries are largely aligned to the local government area boundary and represent a clear community of interest. A portion of the locality of Federal is transferred from Nambour (formerly Nicklin) to Noosa, aligning the district boundary in this area to the road.

The Commission received a submission from Ms Sandy Bolton MP, Member for Noosa (S-067) noting the district is projected to remain in quota and that changes may not be required. The Member suggested that any changes should incorporate areas already part of the Noosa community, such as Federal, Cooroy and Black Mountain. The Commission noted the number of electors in Noosa Shire is too high to accommodate the whole local government area within the district of Noosa, noting the need to maintain quota in the surrounding districts.

The Commission considered public suggestions for Noosa to gain the town of Cooroy from Nambour (formerly Nicklin), noting similar submissions during the 2017 redistribution. However, the Commission decided the locality should remain in Nambour (formerly Nicklin), maintaining the current boundaries, and balancing enrolment between the 2 districts. The Commission noted further public suggestions that Noosa gain northern territory from Coolum (formerly Ninderry) but has retained the existing boundary aligned to the local government area.

The Commission considered several objections regarding the decision to retain the town of Cooroy in Nambour including from the Member for Noosa (O-385), in addition to the objection from the ALP (O-724) that the transfer of Federal from Nambour to Noosa should not proceed. The Commission decided the original approach balances the connection of communities with the need to balance enrolment between adjacent districts, and the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is slightly below the average State enrolment and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain within quota, by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Noosa.

▲ Southern Queensland

Summary:

The Commission has made generally limited changes to the districts in this region.

Approximately 132 specific submissions were received during the second stage of consultation which argued against the suggestion in S-115 (ALP) to transfer the locality of Highfields from Toowoomba North. Many submissions highlighted the shared community of interest between Highfields and the rest of Toowoomba. The Commission agreed with these comments and the suggestion was not adopted.

Several submissions including S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP) suggested the locality of Drayton be transferred from Condamine to Toowoomba South. The Commission has adopted this proposal, noting the shared community of interest.

There are 5 districts in the Southern Queensland area:

Southern Downs

Toowoomba North

Lockyer

Toowoomba South

Condamine

Southern Downs

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,983 (-3.00%)	40,404 (-9.57%)
New boundaries	39,514 (-1.68%)	40,934 (-8.38%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the localities situated west of Clifton from Condamine including Ellangowan, Ryeford and surrounds.	
Composition	The entire Southern Downs and Goondiwindi local government areas. Also includes the south-western portion of the Toowoomba local government area, broadly being the localities situated west of Clifton and south of Cecil Plains.	

The current Southern Downs district is slightly below the average State enrolment, and projected to approach the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Southern Downs to largely retain its existing boundaries, with a minor change to gain of a small portion of territory west of Clifton from the Condamine district.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The change slightly improves the projected enrolment in the district with minimal impact on the surrounding districts.

The Commission has named the district Southern Downs.

Lockyer

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
New boundaries	40,414 (+0.56%)	45,132 (+1.01%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	The entire Lockyer Valley local government area, in addition to the southern localities of the Somerset local government area including Fernvale, Lowood, Minden and their surrounds.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The district unites connected communities, with boundaries largely aligned to the local government area boundary.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The district is close to the average State enrolment and projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Lockyer.

Condamine

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,216 (+7.53%)	47,911 (+7.23%)
New boundaries	38,593 (-3.97%)	42,829 (-4.14%)
Losses	Loses Drayton to Toowoomba South. Loses the north-eastern localities of Crows Nest, Ravensbourne, Grapetree and surrounds to Nanango. Loses the localities situated west of Clifton to Southern Downs. Loses Grassdale and the portion of Cecil Plains currently in the district to Warrego.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	A significant portion of the Toowoomba local government area situated to the north, west and south of central Toowoomba. The district extends south as far as Clifton, west to Bowenville and north Haden and Quinalow, with population centres including Westbrook, Kingsthorpe, Oakey, Goombungee, Wyreema, Pittsworth, Cambooya and Clifton.	

The district of Condamine is currently at the higher end of the enrolment threshold, projected to maintain a stable comparative enrolment in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** intended to improve enrolment in the adjoining districts. These changes include the transfer of Crows Nest and its surrounds to Nanango (suggested in S-077 and S-110 LNP), a small portion of territory west of Clifton to Southern Downs, and the locality of Drayton to Toowoomba South (suggested in S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 ALP).

The Commission considered a small number of objections to the proposed transfer of Crows Nest to Nanango, including from the Honourable Pat Weir MP, Member for Condamine (O-501), which argued this area's primary community of interest is oriented toward central Toowoomba. Noting the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area is already included in Nanango, the Commission decided to proceed with the transfer of Crows Nest, noting its inclusion greatly improves enrolment outcomes in a district which is otherwise declining slightly in relation to the State average.

The final district is slightly below the average State enrolment, with projected enrolment remaining comparatively stable.

The Commission has named the district Condamine.

Toowoomba North

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
New boundaries	41,141 (+2.37%)	43,810 (-1.94%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	Toowoomba City, East Toowoomba, North Toowoomba, Redwood, Prince Henry Heights, Mount Lofty, Harlaxton, Wilsonton, Wilsonton Heights, Cranley, Mount Kynoch, Birnam, Highfields, Woolmer, Spring Bluff, the bulk of Newtown and portions of Meringandan, Kleinton, Cabarlah and Cawdor.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so.

The Commission considered the existing boundaries unite connected communities and the approach maintains enrolment continuity. The Commission also agreed with the sentiment expressed in a large number of public submissions, including from the former Member of Parliament representing the district and current Toowoomba Regional Council councillor, Cr Kerry Shine (CS-402), supporting the retention of Highfields and its surrounds in the district.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, with relative enrolment projected to slightly decline in the coming years. Accordingly, the Commission is satisfied that no changes are required.

The Commission received limited objections regarding the boundaries of this district, and noted one objection to the use of 'Toowoomba' to name more than one district. The Commission considered these submissions but is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Toowoomba North.

Toowoomba South

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,178 (-0.02%)	41,039 (-8.15%)
New boundaries	41,596 (+3.50%)	42,926 (-3.92%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains Drayton from Condamine.	
Composition	South Toowoomba, Harristown, Centenary Heights, Middle Ridge, Rangeville, Drayton and portions of Kearneys Spring, Darling Heights, Glenvale and Newtown.	

The current Toowoomba South district is close to the average State enrolment, projected to decline over the coming years to approach the minimum enrolment threshold by 2032.

The Commission has made a relatively **minimal change** to transfer the locality of Drayton from Condamine to Toowoomba South. This area is well connected to Toowoomba South, sharing a community of interest and improving enrolment in the district. The change was suggested in several submissions including S-077, S-099, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP), and was supported by the Honourable Pat Weir MP, Member for Condamine (O-501).

The Commission received limited objections regarding the boundaries of this district, and noted one objection to the use of 'Toowoomba' to name more than one district. The Commission considered these submissions but is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required. The final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is improved by the change, being above the average State enrolment, projected to decline to be slightly below the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Toowoomba South.

▲ Wide Bay and Burnett

Summary:

Both Gympie and Hervey Bay had enrolment above the State average, while Bundaberg was below quota at the commencement of the redistribution.

As the district of Bundaberg is wholly encircled by Burnett, enrolment in this district has been improved by transferring the balance of the suburban localities of Kensington and Branyan from Burnett. Similarly, given the Hervey Bay district is wholly bordered by Maryborough, the Commission's options to improve enrolment in Hervey Bay were limited. The Commission ultimately decided to transfer Eli Waters to Maryborough to improve Hervey Bay's enrolment, retaining K'gari and its primary transport hub of River Heads.

The district of Burnett has shifted south, transferring the northern localities to Callide and gaining Burrum Heads, Howard and Torbanlea from Maryborough. This change was necessary to ensure the district of Callide, which was at risk of dropping below the average State enrolment, retains sufficient elector population in the coming years.

There are 6 districts in the Wide Bay and Burnett area:

Gympie

Bundaberg

Maryborough

Burnett

Hervey Bay

Nanango

Gympie

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	45,461 (+13.12%)	48,938 (+9.53%)
New boundaries	41,639 (+3.61%)	44,978 (+0.67%)
Losses	Loses southern localities including Traveston, Amamoor, Amamoor Creek and the localities to their south to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	The city of Gympie and the eastern bulk of the Gympie local government area. From the city, the district extends east to the coast, including Tin Can Bay, Rainbow Beach and Cooloola; north to include Bauple and its surrounds; west to Glen Echo, Sexton, Lower Wonga and Widgee; and south to Kybong, Langshaw and their surrounds.	

Gympie is one of 8 existing districts that had exceeded the quota at the commencement of the redistribution, meaning the Commission is required to make changes to reduce its enrolment.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to transfer the southern portion of the current Gympie district including Imbil, Kandanga and Amamoor, to Nambour (formerly Nicklin).

The change improves enrolment in both districts, bringing Gympie back into quota and increasing the number of electors in Nambour. Submissions including S-101 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the southern portion of the current district be transferred to Nambour.

The Commission considered approximately 28 objections including O-724 (ALP) regarding the transfer of the Mary Valley area including Imbil, Kandanga, Brooloo, Amamoor to Nambour. The Commission seriously considered the area's connection to Gympie, which was highlighted in many submissions, but decided the proposal should proceed given the extensive changes to the surrounding districts which would be required to bring Gympie and Nambour back into quota. Enrolment in the district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Gympie.

Maryborough

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	44,091 (+9.71%)	47,601 (+6.54%)
New boundaries	42,367 (+5.42%)	45,616 (+2.10%)
Losses	Loses the western portion of the current district, broadly being the localities situated west of Duckinwilla, Gungaloon, Thinoomba and St Mary to Callide. Loses the northern localities of Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River, Burrum Town and Cherwell to Burnett.	
Gains	Gains Eli Waters, the balance of Nikenbah, Bunya Creek and Susan River from Hervey Bay from Hervey Bay.	
Composition	The city of Maryborough, north to the coastal communities of Toogoom, Cragnish, Dundowran Beach and Eli Waters, east to Nikenbah and Bunya Creek, south to Tinnanbar and Tiaro, and west to Duckinwilla, Gungaloon, Thinoomba and St Mary.	

Maryborough is currently toward the high end of the enrolment threshold, although the Commission notes its relative enrolment is projected to slightly decline in the coming years. As the only district sharing a land border with the over-quota district of Hervey Bay, Maryborough's boundaries require adjustment to better balance enrolment between the two districts.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to Maryborough to gain Eli Waters (suggested in S-110 LNP) from Hervey Bay, in addition to the balance of Nikenbah, Bunya River and Susan River, bringing Hervey Bay back into quota.

To balance the number of electors between Maryborough and the surrounding districts, the Commission also transferred the northern localities of Burrum Heads (suggested in S-115 ALP), Howard, Torbanlea (suggested in S-110 LNP) and their surrounds to Burnett, and the western localities (broadly west of Duckinwilla, Gungaloon, Thinoomba and St Mary) to Callide.

Under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, Maryborough also gained part of Urraween from Hervey Bay, and transferred portions of Nikenbah, Susan River and the balance of Bunya Creek to Hervey Bay to balance enrolment. The Commission considered approximately 68 objections regarding the boundaries of Hervey Bay, many of which argued that the Hervey Bay Hospital, proposed to be transferred to Maryborough as part of the Urraween locality, should remain in the district of Hervey Bay.

Accordingly, Commission agreed that the portion of Urraween situated east of Maryborough Hervey Bay Road be returned to the Hervey Bay district, restoring the hospital and current boundary alignment. To balance this change, the Commission decided that the localities of Nikenbah and Bunya Creek, which are split between Maryborough and Hervey Bay under the current boundaries, be wholly united within Maryborough along with the portion of Susan River currently in Hervey Bay. These changes are intended to balance the community's feedback with the practical need to distribute electors more evenly between the two districts.

Enrolment in the district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Maryborough.

Hervey Bay

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	45,575 (+13.40%)	48,342 (+8.20%)
New boundaries	41,525 (+3.33%)	44,231 (-1.00%)
Losses	Loses Eli Waters and the portions of Nikenbah, Bunya Creek and Susan River currently in the district to Maryborough.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	K'gari, Point Vernon, Pialba, Scarness, Torquay, Urangan, Booral, River Heads, Kawungan, Wondunna, and the bulk of Urraween.	

The Commission was required to make changes to the boundaries of Hervey Bay, noting the district is currently out of quota.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to transfer Eli Waters and the portions of Nikenbah, Bunya Creek and Susan River currently in the district to Maryborough. The Commission decided that K'gari, in addition to its primary transport hub in River Heads, should remain in the Hervey Bay district.

Under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, a portion of Urraween was also proposed to be transferred to Maryborough, while Hervey Bay was proposed to gain more of Nikenbah, Susan River and the balance of Bunya Creek to balance enrolment. The Commission considered approximately 68 objections regarding the boundaries of Hervey Bay, many of which argued that the Hervey Bay Hospital, proposed to be transferred to Maryborough as part of the Urraween locality, should remain in the district of Hervey Bay.

Accordingly, Commission decided that the portion of Urraween situated east of Maryborough Hervey Bay Road be returned to the Hervey Bay district, restoring the hospital and current boundary alignment. To balance this change, the Commission decided that localities of Nikenbah and Bunya Creek, which are split between Maryborough and Hervey Bay under the current boundaries, be wholly united within Maryborough along with the portion of Susan River currently in Hervey Bay. These changes are intended to balance the community's feedback with the practical need to distribute electors more evenly between the two districts.

These changes bring Hervey Bay back into quota, being slightly above the average State enrolment, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Hervey Bay.

Bundaberg

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,010 (-5.42%)	39,803 (-10.91%)
New boundaries	39,649 (-1.34%)	41,535 (-7.04%)
Losses	Loses the portion of Woongarra currently in the district to Burnett.	
Gains	Gains the balance of Kensington and Branyan from Burnett.	
Composition	Bundaberg Central, Bundaberg North, Bundaberg West, Bundaberg South, Bundaberg East, Kalkie, Ashfield, Kepnock, Walkervale, Avenell Heights, Thabeban, Norville, Svensson Heights, Millbank, Avoca, Kensington and Branyan.	

The Commission decided that Bundaberg would largely retain its existing boundaries, with **minimal changes** to gain the balance of Branyan and Kensington from Burnett. This change was suggested in submissions including S-014, S-100, S-101, S-108 and S-115 (ALP).

The Commission considered suggestions including S-077, S-110 (LNP) and S-114 to expand Bundaberg toward the coast, but was not persuaded and determined the current configuration of the electorate should be largely retained, focused on the regional urban centre of Bundaberg as advocated in CS-466 (ALP).

The Commission received limited objections from community members regarding Bundaberg but noted O-719 (LNP) which argued that, should the district not shift east to a coastal alignment as suggested in S-110, the district should include more territory from the north side of the river including Sharon and surrounds. This proposal was subject to further objection in CO-055 (ALP), and the Commission agreed that changes to its proposed boundaries were not required.

The district unites a community of interest, aligns with several large and whole-of-State suggestions and improves enrolment in the district, meaning Bundaberg is no longer projected to fall out of quota in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Bundaberg.

Burnett

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	43,047 (+7.11%)	45,230 (+1.23%)
New boundaries	40,427 (+0.59%)	42,651 (-4.54%)
Losses	Loses Kensington and Branyan to Bundaberg. Loses all of the Gladstone local government area currently in the district, in addition to the locality of Monduran, to Callide.	
Gains	Gains Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River, Burrum Town and Cherwell from Maryborough. Gains the balance of Woongarra from Bundaberg.	
Composition	The bulk of the Bundaberg local government area outside central Bundaberg, excluding the town of Gin Gin, its surrounds and the territory to its west. Also includes the northern localities from the Fraser Coast local government area including Cherwell, Howard, Torbanlea, Pacific Haven, Burrum Heads, Burrum River and Burrum Town.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to shift Burnett slightly to the south to improve enrolment in the surrounding districts. The southern border of the district shifts further south, gaining Burrum Heads, Howard, Torbanlea and their surrounds from Maryborough. This change enables Maryborough to absorb more enrolment from the over-quota district of Hervey Bay.

To the north, all territory from the Gladstone local government area currently in the district is transferred to Callide. This change significantly improves enrolment in Callide, which was projected to drop below the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years. This change was suggested in several submissions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491. The boundary between Bundaberg and Burnett is largely retained, with a minor change to transfer the balance of Branyan and Kensington to Bundaberg, improving its projected enrolment.

The Commission considered approximately 33 community objections regarding the transfer of Agnes Water, Seventeen Seventy, Baffle Creek and surrounds from Burnett to Callide. Many submissions argued these areas hold a stronger connection to other coastal localities in the Burnett district than to the largely rural Callide district. The Commission also considered a small number of objections against the transfer of Howard, Torbanlea and Burrum Heads from Maryborough to Burnett including O-724 (ALP) which argued Burrum Heads' community of interest is tied to Maryborough.

The Commission is conscious of the concerns raised in these submissions, having also considered these issues extensively in developing the proposed redistribution. The Commission ultimately decided to proceed with the proposed approach given the significantly improved enrolment outcomes in Callide, noting that alternative approaches would require significant consequential changes to the surrounding districts.

Enrolment in the district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline slightly in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Burnett.

Nanango

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,763 (+3.92%)	43,623 (-2.36%)
New boundaries	41,554 (+3.40%)	43,337 (-3.00%)
Losses	Loses all the Gympie local government area currently in the district, including Kilkivan and Goomeri, to Callide. Loses the north-western localities of Mondure, Durong and the surrounding areas to Callide.	
Gains	Gains the localities of Crows Nest, Ravensbourne, Grapetree and surrounds from Condamine.	
Composition	The bulk of the Somerset local government area, the southern bulk of the South Burnett local government area, the Cherbourg Aboriginal Shire Council area, and the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area.	

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to Nanango, with the portion of the Gympie local government area currently in the north of the district and a portion of the South Burnett local government area to be transferred to Callide. The change improves enrolment in Callide, which was projected to drop below the minimum enrolment threshold in the coming years. Submissions including S-101 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the northern portion of the current district be transferred to Callide.

The Commission has also transferred Crows Nest and its surrounds from Condamine. This area is connected to the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area already in Nanango, and its inclusion in the district compensates for the transfers to Callide. Crows Nest was part of the Nanango district prior to the 2017 redistribution, a community of interest factor favouring this change. S-077 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the district gain Crows Nest.

The Commission considered a small number of objections to the proposed transfer of Crows Nest, including from the Honourable Pat Weir MP, Member for Condamine (O-501), which argued this area's primary community of interest is oriented toward central Toowoomba. Noting the northern portion of the Toowoomba local government area is already included in Nanango, the Commission decided to proceed with the transfer of Crows Nest, noting its inclusion improves enrolment outcomes in the district which is otherwise projected to decline slightly in relation to the State average.

The Commission also considered the objection from the South Burnett Regional Council (O-241) which argued for the inclusion of the whole local government area within the district of Nanango. While the whole local government area could not be incorporated in Nanango without significant consequential changes to Callide, the Commission was persuaded that the townships of Proston and Hivesville share a strong community of interest, and decided to make a minor adjustment to include Proston in Nanango.

The Commission has named the district Nanango.

▲ Central Queensland

Summary:

The Commission received 13 suggestions from individuals suggesting Calliope, currently situated in the district of Callide, should be transferred to Gladstone. A number of submissions also suggested the locality of Benaraby should be wholly contained within Gladstone. The Commission noted objections were also raised during the 2017 redistribution regarding the inclusion of Calliope in the Callide electorate. On this occasion, the Commission was able to accommodate this change.

The districts of Mirani and Callide were at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. These districts cover large geographical areas, and the Commission considered a variety of approaches to improve their projected enrolment.

Some suggestions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491 suggested that Callide expand north-west to the coast, gaining the northern parts of the current Burnett electorate around Miriam Vale, Seventeen Seventy and Agnes Water. The Commission found this approach improved enrolment without requiring significant changes to other surrounding districts. The approach also connects Gin Gin, which is currently not well connected to the rest of the district, with southern communities from the Gladstone local government area which share a connection to the Bruce Highway.

Mirani has gained the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area from the revised Burdekin district, including the towns of Moranbah and Nebo.

There are 5 districts in the Central Queensland area:

Callide

Rockhampton

Gladstone

Mirani

Keppel

Callide

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,991 (-7.96%)	38,463 (-13.91%)
New boundaries	40,546 (+0.89%)	41,757 (-6.54%)
Losses	Loses the territory situated north of Dalby including the towns of Jandowae and Bell to Warrego. Loses Calliope, Benaraby, Taragoola and River Ranch to Gladstone. Loses the northern portion of the Banana Shire local government area surrounding Wowan to Mirani.	
Gains	Gains the portion of the Gladstone local government area currently in Burnett, including Rosedale, Miriam Vale and Agnes Water. Gains the northern localities of the South Burnett local government area, including Durong and the western portion of the Gympie local government area, including Kilkivan and Goomeri, from Nanango. Gains the western portion of the current Maryborough district, being Doongul, Aramara, Woocoo, Glenbar, Mount Urah and the localities to their west.	
Composition	The North Burnett local government area, the bulk of Banana local government area, the northern portions of the Western Downs and South Burnett local government areas, the western portions of the Gympie, Fraser Coast and Bundaberg local government areas, and the southern bulk of the Gladstone local government area.	

Callide was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032. The Commission noted public submissions during the first two stages of consultation, and during the 2017 redistribution, for Calliope and the balance of Benaraby to be transferred to Gladstone and was able to accommodate this change.

To improve enrolment in the district, the Commission has made **significant changes** to Callide to expand south-east to gain portions of the Fraser Coast, Gympie and South Burnett local government areas from the districts of Maryborough and Nanango; and east to gain the portion of the Gladstone local government area currently in Burnett. The inclusion of Gladstone localities including Miriam Vale, Seventeen Seventy and Agnes Water was suggested in several large and whole-of-State submissions including S-019, S-100, S-101, S-115 (ALP) and CS-491 and significantly improves enrolment in the district.

The Commission considered approximately 33 community objections regarding the transfer of Agnes Water, Seventeen Seventy, Baffle Creek and surrounds from Burnett to Callide. Many submissions argued these areas hold a stronger connection to other coastal localities in the Burnett district than to the largely rural Callide district.

The Commission is conscious of the concerns raised in these submissions, having also discussed these issues extensively in developing the proposed redistribution. The Commission ultimately decided to proceed with the proposed approach given the significantly improved enrolment outcomes in Callide, noting that alternative approaches would require significant consequential changes to the surrounding districts.

The Commission was persuaded by the objection from the South Burnett Regional Council (O-241) which highlighted that the townships of Proston and Hivesville share a strong community of interest, and decided to make a minor adjustment to include Proston in Nanango.

Enrolment in the district is slightly above the State average, projected to decline toward the mid-point of the threshold below the average in coming years.

The Commission has named the district Callide.

Gladstone

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,661 (-6.29%)	40,521 (-9.31%)
New boundaries	41,585 (+3.48%)	44,943 (+0.59%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains Calliope, Benaraby, Taragoola and River Ranch from Callide.	
Composition	The city of Gladstone and northern portion of the Gladstone local government area broadly being Calliope, Benaraby, Tannum Sands and all localities to their north.	

The Commission decided that Gladstone would largely retain its existing boundaries, with **minimal changes** to gain Calliope and the balance of Benaraby from the Callide district.

This change was supported by 13 public submissions, several whole-of-State submissions, and during the 2017 redistribution, and improves Gladstone's enrolment such that no further changes are required. The Commission notes the strong community views expressed during successive redistributions, and has been able to unite this community of interest in response to those views.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required.

Enrolment in the district is above the State average, projected to decline slightly to approach the average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Gladstone.

Keppel

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,165 (+2.43%)	47,029 (+5.26%)
New boundaries	38,147 (-5.08%)	44,005 (-1.51%)
Losses	Loses portions of Frenchville and Koongal to Rockhampton. Loses the portion of Shoalwater Bay currently in the district to Mirani.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	The southern portion of the Livingstone local government area, broadly being the territory south of Shoalwater Bay and east of Milman and Rockyview. Also incorporates the portion of the Rockhampton local government area situated immediately to the west of central Rockhampton including Limestone Creek and Mount Archer and portions of Parkhurst, Norman Gardens, Frenchville and Lakes Creek.	

While Keppel's enrolment is above the State average and growing, neighbouring Rockhampton is slightly below the average and projected to decline in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to transfer further portions of Koongal and Frenchville from Keppel to Rockhampton. These areas are well connected to central Rockhampton, and the change balances enrolment between the two districts. The approach is similar to that suggested in S-100.

The Commission has also transferred the portion of Shoalwater currently in the district to Mirani, uniting the locality within one district.

The Commission received limited objections from community members regarding this district but noted O-724 (ALP) which argued that no changes to the existing boundaries of either Rockhampton or Keppel should be made. This Commission is satisfied that its proposed changes unite connected communities around central Rockhampton and better balance projected enrolment growth across both districts and decided to proceed with the boundaries as published in its proposed redistribution.

The district of Keppel is below the average State enrolment, projected to grow toward the average State enrolment by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Keppel.

Rockhampton

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,228 (-2.39%)	41,540 (-7.03%)
New boundaries	42,408 (+5.52%)	44,738 (+0.13%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains the locality of Pink Lily from Mirani. Gains further portions of Frenchville and Koongal from Keppel.	
Composition	Rockhampton City, West Rockhampton, Wandal, The Range, Allenstown, Depot Hill, Fairy Bower, Gracemere, Park Avenue, Berserker, Kawana, The Common, Koongal and portions of Parkhurst, Frenchville, Norman Gardens and Port Curtis.	

The current Rockhampton district is slightly below the average State enrolment, projected to further decline in the coming years.

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Rockhampton by transferring additional portions of Frenchville and Koongal from Keppel, and Pink Lily from Mirani. These areas are well connected to central Rockhampton, and the change improves enrolment in the district while largely maintaining the existing boundaries.

The Commission noted that the Rockhampton airport is currently split between the Rockhampton and Mirani districts, and the inclusion of Pink Lily means the whole airport is now within the Rockhampton district.

The proposal for the district to gain portions of Koongal and Frenchville is similar to the approach suggested in S-100, while submissions including S-077 and S-110 (LNP) incorporated Pink Lily in Rockhampton.

The Commission received limited objections from community members regarding this district but noted O-724 (ALP) which argued that no changes to the existing boundaries of either Rockhampton or Keppel are required. This Commission is satisfied that its proposed changes unite connected communities around central Rockhampton and better balance projected enrolment growth across both districts and decided to proceed with the boundaries as published in its proposed redistribution.

The Commission has named the district Rockhampton.

Mirani

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,821 (-5.89%)	40,094 (-10.26%)
New boundaries	41,275 (+2.70%)	43,536 (-2.56%)
Losses	Loses Paget and Ooralea to Mackay. Loses Pink Lily to Rockhampton.	
Gains	Gains the northern portion of the Banana Shire local government area from Callide including Wowan and surrounds. Gains the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area from Burdekin including Nebo, Coppabella and Moranbah. Gains the balance of Shoalwater Bay from Keppel.	
Composition	The eastern bulk of the Isaac local government area, the southern portion of the Mackay local government area, the north-western bulk of the Livingstone local government area, the bulk of the Rockhampton local government area outside central Rockhampton, and the northern portion of the Banana local government area.	

Mirani is currently below the average State enrolment and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to Mirani to expand to the north-west, incorporating more of the Isaac local government area within the district. This area is arguably better connected to Mirani than to the current district of Burdekin. The proposal to expand the district further into Isaac was suggested in submissions including S-077, S-078, S-095, S-108 and S-110 (LNP).

The expansion of Mirani into Isaac has also enabled the transfer of the suburban Mackay localities of Paget and Ooralea to Mackay.

The locality of Racecourse was also proposed to be transferred from Mirani to Mackay under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026. However, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government and sugar cane industry stakeholders (including O-431, O-502, O-605 and O-615) which argued that the Racecourse Mill and associated rail infrastructure share an economic community of interest with cane growers in Mirani and decided to retain the locality of Racecourse (which includes the mill) in Mirani.

Enrolment in the district is close to the State average, declining slightly over the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Mirani.

▲ Northern Queensland

Summary:

With the absorption of the district of Hill into surrounding districts and the transfer of its western bulk to Flinders (formerly Traeger), the surrounding north Queensland districts have been reconfigured to varying degrees.

The Commission received suggestions that Atherton and its surrounds share a close community of interest with the Cairns area, including a submission from the Tablelands Regional Council (CS-427) arguing that, should the district of Hill not be retained, the entire local government area should be transferred to Mulgrave.

The Commission found it was unable to accommodate these changes in their entirety, but ultimately agreed Atherton shared a greater connection with coastal communities than with the Flinders district and favoured its inclusion in Mulgrave.

Hinchinbrook has shifted north, transferring some of the suburban districts around its southern border to Townsville and Thuringowa, while gaining coastal localities from the former Hill district including the remainder of the Cassowary Coast Regional Council (including Innisfail and Tully) and southern portion of the Cairns City Council (including Babinda). The Commission noted submissions from Babinda community groups requesting the inclusion of this community in Mulgrave, but has decided to include it in the reconfigured Hinchinbrook, reuniting the community with similar coastal localities.

The Commission noted suggestions that the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin be broadly combined (including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101), or that Thuringowa not be retained (S-112 Greens). However, the Commission's approach, combined with some minor changes around central Townsville, have brought Mundingburra back into quota and improved the projected enrolment, allowing for the retention of the current 3 suburban Townsville districts as advocated in submissions including S-115 (ALP).

The revised Burdekin has contracted to the north, transferring portions of the Isaac local government area currently in the district to Gregory and Mirani. Burdekin gains Charters Towers and its surrounds, which shares a community of interest with the Burdekin region.

The Commission notes that the Charters Towers Regional Council is supportive of this change (CS-069) and that the 2017 redistribution commission also agreed that the Charters Towers community shares a greater commonality of interests with Burdekin than with its current district of Traeger.⁸¹ Due to the changes to the north Queensland and large districts, the Commission is able to achieve this change in the current redistribution.

There are 10 districts in the Northern Queensland area:

Mackay

Townsville

Cairns

Whitsunday

Thuringowa

Barron River

Burdekin

Hinchinbrook

Mundingburra

Mulgrave

⁸¹ Queensland Redistribution Commission, *Determination of Queensland's Legislative Assembly Electoral Districts*, Queensland Government Gazette Vol.375, No.20, 26 May 2017, page 161.

Mackay

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,465 (+0.69%)	42,481 (-4.92%)
New boundaries	43,280 (+7.69%)	45,466 (+1.76%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	Gains Paget and Ooralea from Mirani.	
Composition	Mackay, North Mackay, East Mackay, South Mackay, West Mackay, Paget, Ooralea, Foulden, Cremorne, Mackay Harbour, Slade Point, Andergrove, Beaconsfield, Mount Pleasant and a portion of Glenella.	

The Commission has made **minimal changes** to Mackay to gain the suburban localities of Paget and Ooralea from Mirani. These areas share a clear community of interest and are well connected to central Mackay. This change was suggested in submissions including S-114 and S-115 (ALP) and the Commission was persuaded by the approach.

The locality of Racecourse was also proposed to be transferred from Mirani to Mackay under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026, however, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government and sugar cane industry stakeholders including O-431, O-502, O-605 and O-615 which argued that the Racecourse Mill and associated rail infrastructure share an economic community of interest with cane growers in Mirani.

Enrolment in the district is toward the upper end of the enrolment threshold and projected to decline slightly to approach the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Mackay.

Whitsunday

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
New boundaries	39,337 (-2.12%)	45,309 (+1.41%)
Losses	No losses.	
Gains	No gains.	
Composition	The northern bulk of the Mackay local government area, broadly being Richmond and the territory to its north, bordered in the west by the Great Dividing Range. The eastern portion of the Whitsunday local government area, broadly being the territory situated east of Lake Proserpine and south of Hideaway Bay and Cape Gloucester.	

The Commission has made **no changes** to the boundaries of this district, noting it is in quota and projected to remain so. The existing boundaries unite coastal communities between Mackay and Bowen, and the approach maintains enrolment continuity.

The Commission did not receive substantive objections regarding the boundaries of this district and is satisfied that adjustments to its proposal are not required.

The district is close to the average State enrolment, with relative enrolment projected to grow slightly in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Whitsunday.

Burdekin

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	36,887 (-8.21%)	39,570 (-11.43%)
New boundaries	38,150 (-5.07%)	41,124 (-7.96%)
Losses	Loses the eastern portion of the Isaac local government area including Nebo, Coppabella and Moranbah to Mirani, and the western portion including Clermont to Gregory.	
Gains	Gains the Charters Towers local government area from Flinders (formerly Traeger). Gains Wulguru, Roseneath and a portion of Stuart from Mundingburra.	
Composition	The Burdekin and Charters Towers local government areas, the western bulk of the Whitsunday local government area, and the southern portion of the Townsville local government area.	

Burdekin was at the lower end of the enrolment threshold at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to re-orient Burdekin to the north-west, gaining the Charters Towers local government area and some outer suburban and rural areas of the Townsville local government area. These changes unite areas which share a community of interest and improve enrolment in the district. The Commission noted the submission from the Charters Towers Regional Council which supports this change (CS-069) and the commentary from the 2017 Queensland Redistribution Commission noting the strong community of interest between the Charters Towers and Burdekin areas.

To compensate for this change, territory from the Isaac local government area currently in the district is transferred to Mirani and Gregory.

The Commission considered a small number of objections regarding the boundaries of this district including O-719 (LNP) and O-724 (ALP) which argued for the inclusion of additional portions of urban Townsville in the district of Mundingburra rather than Burdekin; O-719 argued for the inclusion of the Lavarack Barracks in the locality of Murray within Mundingburra, while O-724 suggested the inclusion of the adjacent suburb of Wulguru and the balance of Cluden.

On balance, the Commission decided to include Murray in Mundingburra, noting the strong connection of the defence force to the local community. Furthermore, this adjustment can be accommodated without consequential changes, as it impacts approximately 800 electors. By comparison, the Commission would have been required to make changes to the surrounding districts to accommodate more than 3,300 electors in Wulguru within Mundingburra.

The Commission was persuaded by the objection from the Charters Towers Regional Council (O-042) which suggested that the balance of the local government area, particularly Greenvale and its surrounds, be included in Burdekin rather than Flinders, and this adjustment has been incorporated in the final boundaries.

Enrolment in the district is below average enrolment and projected to decline slightly but remain within projected quota by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Burdekin.

Mundingburra

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	35,148 (-12.54%)	37,854 (-15.28%)
New boundaries	43,439 (+8.09%)	45,895 (+2.72%)
Losses	Loses Wulguru, Roseneath and a portion of Stuart to Burdekin.	
Gains	Gains Currajong, Pimlico, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Hermit Park, the balance of Rosslea and Gulliver from Townsville. Gains Heatley from Thuringowa.	
Composition	Mundingburra, Rosslea, Oonoomba, Hermit Park, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Pimlico, Currajong, Gulliver, Vincent, Heatley, Cranbrook, Aitkenvale, Douglas, Annandale, Murray, Idalia and the bulk of Stuart and Cluden.	

The Commission was required to make changes to the boundaries of Mundingburra, noting the district is currently out of quota. The Commission noted several suggestions that the districts of Mundingburra and Burdekin broadly be combined (submissions including S-077, S-078, S-100, S-101) but decided the 3 existing districts focussed on urban Townsville, including the district of Mundingburra, should be retained.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to move the district north toward central Townsville, gaining Heatley from Thuringowa and several localities from the south-east corner of the current Townsville district. To balance the number of electors in the district, the portion of the current district situated south of the Bruce Highway and east of James Cook University are transferred to Burdekin, improving enrolment in that district.

The Commission considered a small number of objections regarding the proposed boundaries of this district including O-719 (LNP) and O-724 (ALP) which argued that additional portions of urban Townsville should be included in Mundingburra rather than Burdekin. O-719 argued for the inclusion of the Lavarack Barracks in the locality of Murray within Mundingburra, while O-724 suggested the inclusion of the adjacent suburb of Wulguru and the balance of Cluden in the district.

On balance, the Commission decided to include Murray in Mundingburra, noting the strong connection of the defence force to the local community. Furthermore, this adjustment can be accommodated without consequential changes, as it impacts approximately 800 electors. By comparison, the Commission would have been required to make changes to the surrounding districts to accommodate more than 3,300 electors in Wulguru within Mundingburra.

These changes significantly improve enrolment in Mundingburra, bringing the district back into quota. Enrolment in the district is toward the upper end of the threshold, projected to decline slightly to approach the average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Mundingburra.

Townsville

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	37,845 (-5.83%)	39,614 (-11.34%)
New boundaries	39,249 (-2.34%)	45,536 (+1.92%)
Losses	Loses Currajong, Pimlico, Hyde Park, Mysterton, Hermit Park, the balance of Rosslea and Gulliver to Mundingburra.	
Gains	Gains Burdell, Bushland Beach and a small portion of Mount Low from Hinchinbrook.	
Composition	Townsville City, South Townsville, North Ward, Castle Hill, West End, Belgian Gardens, Rows Bay, Garbutt, Mount Louisa, Cosgrove, Mount St John, Bohle, Burdell, Bushland Beach, Town Common, Pallarenda, Shelly Beach, Magnetic Island, Palm Island, Railway Estate and a portion of Mount Low.	

Townsville was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to the district to expand to the north-west, gaining the growing suburbs of Burdell and Bushland Beach from Hinchinbrook. Localities in the south-east of the current district including Hyde Park and its surrounds are transferred to Mundingburra.

These changes significantly improve enrolment in Townsville and support the Commission's approach (as suggested by S-115 ALP) to retain and sustain 3 districts focussed on the urban Townsville area, reflecting the city's role as a major regional centre.

The Commission noted the submission from the Palm Island Aboriginal Shire Council (O-577) highlighting Palm Island's social, cultural, and service connections with Townsville, and is satisfied the island should remain in the district.

The Commission also considered a small number of submissions regarding the configuration of the three Townsville-based districts including O-690 and O-724 (ALP), but decided the proposed boundaries suitably balance community connections with the need to manage current and projected enrolment. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is slightly below the State average, projected to grow moderately in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Townsville.

Thuringowa

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	38,861 (-3.30%)	39,624 (-11.31%)
New boundaries	43,363 (+7.90%)	45,228 (+1.23%)
Losses	Loses Heatley to Mundingburra.	
Gains	Gains Shaw, Bohle Plains, Deeragun, a portion of Jensen, Rangewood, Alice River, Hervey Range and a portion of Black River from Hinchinbrook.	
Composition	Thuringowa Central, Kirwan, Condon, Rasmussen, Kelso, Shaw, Deeragun, Bohle Plains, Rangewood, Hervey Range, Alice River, Gumlow, Pinnacles, and portions of Black River and Jensen.	

Thuringowa, like the adjacent district of Townsville, was below the average State enrolment at the commencement of the redistribution and projected to fall out of quota by 2032.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to the district to expand to the west, gaining suburban Townsville localities connected by Hervey Range Road and around the Bruce Highway from Hinchinbrook. These areas are well connected to the existing district. The locality of Heatley is transferred to Mundingburra.

The Commission considered a small number of submissions regarding the configuration of the three Townsville-based districts including O-690 and O-724 (ALP), but decided the proposed boundaries suitably balance community connections with the need to manage current and projected enrolment. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

Enrolment in the district is significantly improved, being toward the high end of the enrolment threshold and projected to decline moderately to approach the State average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Thuringowa.

Hinchinbrook

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	39,644 (-1.35%)	47,547 (+6.42%)
New boundaries	42,740 (+6.35%)	46,146 (+3.28%)
Losses	Loses Burdell, Bushland Beach and a small portion of Mount Low to Townsville. Loses Shaw, Bohle Plains, Deeragun, Rangewood, Alice River, Hervey Range and portions of Black River and Jensen to Thuringowa.	
Gains	Gains the balance of the Cassowary Coast local government area from Hill and a portion of the Cairns local government area south of Gordonvale from Mulgrave.	
Composition	Hinchinbrook and Cassowary Coast local government areas, the southern portion of the Cairns local government area and the northern portion of the Townsville local government area.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to Hinchinbrook to shift north, gaining the coastal portion of the former district of Hill, including the balance of the Cassowary Coast local government area and the southern portion of Cairns local government area. The district unites the coastal communities situated between Townsville and Cairns, which share a connection along the Bruce Highway. Submissions including S-069, S-087, S-102, S-103 and S-111 suggested Babinda be included in Mulgrave, but this community is included in Hinchinbrook, noting the district unites the local government area and coastal communities.

Submissions S-108 and S-110 (LNP) suggested the district expand north toward Innisfail while transferring southern suburban localities to a Townsville-based district. Submissions including S-095 and S-117 suggested Hinchinbrook gain Tully.

Several growth suburbs around western Townsville that are currently in Hinchinbrook have also been transferred to the districts of Thuringowa and Townsville. This approach supports the retention of 3 urban Townsville districts and consolidates their longer-term enrolment outlook.

The Commission considered 6 further objections regarding the inclusion of Babinda in the district, in addition to O-719 (LNP) which suggested that Aloomba and Walshs Pyramid be included in Mulgrave, and O-724 (ALP) which suggested that further portions of outer-urban Townsville around Jensen be transferred to Thuringowa. The Commission also noted that the Cassowary Coast Regional Council (O-379) was supportive of the proposed Hinchinbrook district. On balance, the Commission decided that the proposed boundaries should proceed without adjustments.

Enrolment in the district is above the State average and projected to decline moderately to approach the State average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Hinchinbrook.

Mulgrave

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	40,133 (-0.14%)	45,921 (+2.78%)
New boundaries	42,285 (+5.22%)	48,388 (+8.30%)
Losses	Loses the portion of the Cairns local government area south of Gordonvale currently in the district to Hinchinbrook. Loses Woree and Bayview Heights and a portion of White Rock to Cairns.	
Gains	Gains the north-eastern portion of the Tablelands local government area including Atherton, Tolga, Yungaburra and surrounds from the former Hill.	
Composition	Yarrabah Aboriginal Shire Council local government area, the north-eastern portion of the Tablelands local government area including Atherton, Tolga and Yungaburra and surrounds, and a portion of the Cairns local government area, broadly including Mount Sheridan, Bentley Park, Edmonton, Gordonvale and surrounds.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to expand Mulgrave west to gain the eastern portion of the Tablelands area formerly in the district of Hill. The Commission noted the suggestion from the Tablelands Regional Council (CS-427) to include the entire local government area within Mulgrave should the district of Hill not be retained. The Commission agreed that approach was desirable and appropriate, but was unable to accommodate the whole local government area due to the need to maintain enrolment quota. However, the Commission decided to include the eastern areas including Atherton and Tolga which have a close connection to Cairns and the coast.

The district's northern boundary has been updated to transfer Bayview Heights, Woree and a portion of White Rock to Cairns. Submissions including S-095, S-101 and S-114 suggested the transfer of parts of Bayview Heights and Woree to Cairns. These areas have a clear community of interest and are well connected to central Cairns, and the change has enabled the inclusion of the eastern Tablelands areas in the district.

The Commission considered approximately 31 objections regarding the boundaries of this district, with many of these submissions also objecting to the absorption of Hill into the surrounding districts.

The Commission considered objections including O-724 (ALP) regarding the inclusion of portions of the Tablelands including Atherton and Tolga in Mulgrave, arguing that the district should focus on southern Cairns. The Commission also considered further suggestions to include Babinda within the district, having also considered submissions regarding this locality in preparing the proposed boundaries, and O-719 (LNP) which suggested that Aloomba and Walshs Pyramid be included in the district.

The Commission also considered the views of the Tablelands Regional Council (O-240) and other submissions which argued for the inclusion of the whole local government area in Mulgrave. The Commission noted the broader impact of suggested changes, the majority of which would have necessitated significant consequential adjustments to the boundaries of districts nearby and further afield.

Barron River

	Enrolment September 2025	Projected Enrolment 2032
Existing boundaries	41,999 (+4.51%)	44,984 (+0.68%)
New boundaries	42,410 (+5.53%)	45,457 (+1.74%)
Losses	Loses the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in the district to Cook, being Kuranda, Speewah, Mona Mona and Koah.	
Gains	Gains Brinsmead and a portion of Aeroglen from Cairns.	
Composition	The northern bulk of the Cairns local government area including Machans Beach, Stratford, Brinsmead, Redlynch, the northern portion of Lamb Range, and all localities extending north to the boundary with Douglas local government area.	

The Commission has made **significant changes** to Barron River to contract the district toward the coast, aligning the western boundary of the district to the local government area boundary with Mareeba Shire and transferring Kuranda and its surrounds to Cook. The Commission also decided that the district would gain Brinsmead and part of Aeroglen from Cairns. This approach is similar to the suggestion in S-095.

The Commission considered approximately 9 community objections against the transfer of Kuranda, Speewah and surrounding areas from Barron River to Cook, with submissions arguing the community of interest for this area is tied to Cairns.

The Commission is conscious of the views expressed in these submissions but considered the boundary alignment to the Cairns/Mareeba local government area boundary is easily understood and suitably balances community connections with the need to manage current and projected enrolment in the surrounding districts. Noting there are more than 3,000 electors in Kuranda and Speewah, consequential changes to the surrounding districts, including the large districts, would have been required to accommodate these localities in Barron River. Accordingly, the final boundaries are the same as those published in the proposed redistribution.

These changes improve the balance of enrolment between the 3 districts centred on Cairns, and focuses Barron River on the coastal suburbs north of the city. The district is slightly above the average State enrolment, projected to decline toward the average by 2032.

The Commission has named the district Barron River.

▲ Large districts

Summary:

The Commission reviewed options for the large districts, including a range of suggestions and differing approaches put forward in whole-of-State submissions. Ultimately, the Commission considered that it was in the overall public interest to retain the existing 4 large districts, noting the significant challenges of regional representation in Queensland which were raised in several public submissions. The district of Cook has reduced in geographical size, while Flinders (formerly Traeger), Gregory and Warrego have increased in area only slightly.

The Commission considered sufficient elector population exists to retain these large districts and aimed to limit both the encroachment of these districts into coastal regions, and constrain their geographical expansion to the extent possible. These goals were balanced against the various criteria set out in section 46 of the *Electoral Act*.

The Commission notes the large geographical size of these districts means several separate communities of interest may exist within each district. The Commission considered transit corridors within the districts, noting the important role of transport in supporting interconnected communities.

The 3 districts of Flinders (formerly Traeger), Gregory and Warrego remain broadly aligned to the existing east-west axis, mirroring the major highways following the same orientation through each district. The Commission noted submissions from the Burke and Etheridge Shire Councils (CS-457 and CS-463) supporting the retention of an east-west alignment for Flinders, uniting the local government areas of the Gulf region which are connected via the Savannah Way corridor to the Tablelands.

The Commission notes that retaining these districts while minimising significant increases to their geographical size has been possible due to the reallocation of enrolment from the former district of Hill. In view of current demographic trends and low enrolment projections for these districts, the composition of large districts is expected to remain a challenge for future Commissions.

There are 4 large districts:

Cook

Gregory

Flinders (formerly Traeger)

Warrego

Cook

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km ²)
Existing boundaries	40,802 (+1.53%)	43,372 (-2.93%)	196,835
New boundaries	42,537 (+5.85%)	45,257 (+1.29%)	137,424
Losses	Loses the western bulk of the Mareeba local government area and the balance of the Carpentaria local government area to Flinders (formerly Traeger).		
Gains	Gains the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in Barron River, being Kuranda, Speewah, Mona Mona and Koah.		
Composition	The Douglas, Cook, Aurukun, Hope Vale, Kowanyama, Lockhart River, Mapoon, Napranum, Northern Peninsula Area, Pormpuraaw, Torres Shire, Torres Strait Island, and Wujal Wujal local government areas, and the eastern portion of the Mareeba local government area.		

*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to the district of Cook, transferring some sparsely populated territory around its southern border to Flinders (formerly Traeger), and gaining the portion of the Mareeba local government area currently in Barron River, including Kuranda and its surrounds. The Commission was persuaded by the recommendation in S-105 (KAP) that the district should remain focussed on Cape York to ensure a socially and economically cohesive electorate.

The Commission considered the objection from the Mareeba Shire Council (O-455) which suggested that localities including Dimbulah, Chillagoe and the balance of Mareeba (including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport) should be retained within Cook rather than being included in Flinders. The Commission noted several further objections regarding the proposal to transfer part of the Mareeba locality including the airport to Flinders including O-542, O-548, O-694 and O-719 (LNP).

The Commission agreed to implement these suggestions to the extent practicable, incorporating the balance of the Mareeba locality, including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport in Cook. To compensate for the transfer of electors due to these adjustments, the Commission has transferred the western bulk of the Mareeba local government area and the balance of the Carpentaria local government area to Flinders to ensure the district remains in quota. Following these changes, the geographical area of Cook has decreased by approximately 30% compared to the existing boundaries, reducing the number of notional electors added to the district's enrolment via the "additional large district number".

Enrolment in the district is above the State average and projected to decline moderately to approach the State average in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Cook.

Flinders (formerly Traeger)

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km ²)
Existing boundaries	36,105 (-10.16%)	36,916 (-17.38%)	428,911
New boundaries	39,414 (-1.93%)	40,423 (-9.53%)	436,593
Losses	Loses the Charters Towers local government area to Burdekin.		
Gains	Gains the western bulk of the current Hill district, including Malanda, Millaa Millaa, Ravenshoe and the territory to their west. Gains the western bulk of the Mareeba local government area from Cook and Hill, and the balance of the Carpentaria local government area from Cook.		
Composition	The Mount Isa, Burke, Doomadgee, Carpentaria, Mornington, Cloncurry, McKinlay, Croydon, Etheridge, Richmond, Flinders and Charters Towers local government areas, and the bulk of the Tablelands and Mareeba local government areas.		

*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The district of Traeger was below the minimum enrolment threshold at the commencement of this redistribution and bounded by other low enrolment districts, meaning the Commission was required to consider changes.

The Commission has made **significant changes** to this district. The district broadly retains the existing east-west alignment, adding the western bulk of the former Hill district, in addition to the balance of the Carpentaria local government area and the western bulk of the of the Mareeba local government area from Cook. These additions enable the whole of the Charters Towers local government area to be transferred to Burdekin.

The Commission considered objections including O-706 (KAP) which objected to the inclusion of the western Tablelands area in Flinders, as well as the removal of Charters Towers from the district. The Commission is conscious of the significant challenge for Members of Parliament to effectively engage with electors across the large districts, as noted above, and endeavoured to not significantly increase the geographical size of these districts as part of this redistribution.

However, the Commission decided that its reasoning for the changes in the area, including the placement of Charters Towers and absorption of Hill, remains valid. The Commission also notes that local authorities including Charters Towers (O-042) and Cassowary Coast (O-379) were broadly supportive of the overall approach.

The Commission also considered objections from impacted local governments:

- Charters Towers Regional Council (O-042) suggested that the balance of the local government area, particularly Greenvale and its surrounds, be included in Burdekin rather than Flinders as proposed on 10 March 2026.
- Mareeba Shire Council (O-455) suggested that localities including Dimbulah, Chillagoe and the balance of Mareeba (including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport) should be retained within Cook rather than being transferred to Flinders as proposed on 10 March 2026. Several further objections raised the inclusion of the Mareeba airport in Flinders including O-542, O-548, O-694 and O-719 (LNP).

The Commission agreed to implement these requests to the extent practicable by including the balance of the Charters Towers local government area in Burdekin, and the balance of the Mareeba locality, including Wylandra Estate and the Mareeba Airport, in Cook. While the Commission was unable to accommodate the request to include Dimbulah and Chillagoe within Cook, these adjustments are intended to balance the community's feedback with the practical need to manage the enrolment across the two large districts.

To compensate for these adjustments, the Commission has transferred the western bulk of the Mareeba local government area and the balance of the Carpentaria local government area to Flinders to ensure the district remains in quota. The geographical area of Flinders has increased by approximately 1.8% compared to the existing boundaries following this change.

The Commission also considered approximately 14 objections regarding the proposal to name the district Flinders. Many of these submissions, including from the Flinders Shire Council (O-009), argued that the local government area has a distinct identity and suggested the change may lead to confusion. The Commission considered these objections, but decided the name Flinders is appropriate in recognising the highway connecting several centres through the district, and the importance of the Flinders River to the electorate.

Gregory

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km ²)
Existing boundaries	35,498 (-11.67%)	35,926 (-19.59%)	459,680
New boundaries	40,902 (+1.78%)	41,243 (-7.69%)	492,073
Losses	No losses.		
Gains	Gains the western portion of the Isaac local government area from Burdekin.		
Composition	The Boulia, Diamantina, Winton, Barcoo, Longreach, Blackall-Tambo, Barcaldine, Central Highlands and Woorabinda local government areas, and the western portion of the Isaac local government area including Middlemount, Dysart and Clermont.		

*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The district of Gregory is currently below the minimum enrolment threshold, meaning the Commission is required to make changes to bring the district back into quota.

The Commission has made **moderate changes** to add the western portion of the Isaac local government area, currently in Burdekin, to Warrego. This change serves to rebalance the number of electors between the large districts, retain the existing boundaries to the extent practicable, and limit the geographical expansion of the district. Submissions including S-078, S-101, S-110 (LNP), S-114 and S-115 (ALP) suggested Gregory expand into the Isaac local government area to various extents.

The southern portion of the Blackall-Tambo local government area including the town of Tambo was also proposed to be transferred from Gregory to Warrego under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026. However, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government stakeholders including the Blackall-Tambo Regional Council (O-613), O-171, O-280, O-309 and O-691, and from the Member for Pine Rivers, Ms Nikki Boyd MP (O-513) which argued that the local government area should remain united within Gregory.

The final district is slightly above the average State enrolment, and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Gregory.

Warrego

	Enrolment September 2025*	Projected Enrolment 2032*	Area (km ²)
Existing boundaries	38,597 (-3.96%)	38,725 (-13.33%)	337,811
New boundaries	40,382 (+0.48%)	40,537 (-9.27%)	340,419
Losses	No losses.		
Gains	Gains the eastern portion of the Western Downs local government area including the towns of Jandowae and Bell from Callide.		
Composition	The Bulloo, Quilpie, Paroo, Murweh, Maranoa and Balonne local government areas, and portions of the Western Downs and Toowoomba local government areas.		

*Enrolment is inclusive of the "additional large district number".

The Commission proposes **minimal changes** to the district of Warrego.

A portion of the Western Downs local government area, including Jandowae and Bell is transferred to Warrego from Callide. Submissions including S-110 (LNP) and S-114 suggested these towns be included in Warrego. These areas are well connected and share a community of interest with Dalby.

The southern portion of the Blackall-Tambo local government area was also proposed to be transferred from Gregory to Warrego under the proposed boundaries published on 10 March 2026. However, the Commission was persuaded by objections from local government stakeholders including the Blackall-Tambo Regional Council (O-613), O-171, O-280, O-309 and O-691, and from the Member for Pine Rivers, Ms Nikki Boyd MP (O-513) which argued that the local government area should remain united within Gregory.

The district is slightly above the average State enrolment, and projected to decline toward the lower end of the enrolment threshold, but remain in quota, in the coming years.

The Commission has named the district Warrego.

Part 4 – When redistribution takes effect

Publication of electoral redistribution

In accordance with section 51 of the *Electoral Act 1992*, a notice will be published in the *Queensland Government Gazette* advising that the Commission has redistributed the State into 93 electoral districts whose names and boundaries are set out in that notice. The gazette notice will be published concurrently with the release of this report.

In accordance with section 53 of the *Electoral Act*, copies of this report will be made available for public inspection, without fee, at:

- redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au
- Electoral Commission of Queensland, Level 20, 1 Eagle Street, Brisbane
- Electorate offices of State Members of Parliament.

The redistribution will also be advertised in newspapers and online, and information distributed to libraries across the State.

In accordance with section 54 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission will give a copy of this report to the Honourable the Attorney-General and Minister for Justice and Minister for Integrity to be laid before the Legislative Assembly along with all other material prescribed in section 54 of the *Electoral Act*, including copies of all public submissions.

Appeals against boundaries of electoral districts

In accordance with section 57 of the *Electoral Act*, an elector may appeal to the Court of Appeal against the boundaries set out in the notice mentioned above (and in this report) on the ground that the Commission has not complied with Part 3 of the *Electoral Act* in making the proposed electoral redistribution.

The appeal must be made—

- a. within 21 days after the publication of the gazette notice (mentioned above) which advises that the Commission has redistributed the State into the 93 electoral districts whose names and boundaries are set out in the notice; and
- b. in accordance with the rules of court of the Court of Appeal.

When redistribution takes effect

In accordance with section 52 of the *Electoral Act*, at the end of 21 days after the publication of the notice mentioned above, but subject to the disposition of any appeal under section 57 of the *Electoral Act*, the State is redistributed into the electoral districts whose names and boundaries are set out in the notice and in this report.

The State remains so redistributed until the next electoral redistribution becomes final.

However, until the Legislative Assembly is next dissolved or expires by the passage of time, the redistribution does not affect a by-election for an electoral district to fill a vacancy in the membership of the Legislative Assembly.

Glossary

Term/acronym	Definition
<i>Electoral Act</i>	The <i>Electoral Act 1992</i> is Queensland's governing electoral legislation which provides the framework for the conduct of State electoral redistributions.
Commission or QRC	The Queensland Redistribution Commission is the independent body established under the <i>Electoral Act</i> to undertake periodic redistributions of Queensland's electoral boundaries.
Commissioners	<p>The members who collectively comprise the Queensland Redistribution Commission under section 6 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>: the chairperson (a judge or former judge who had been a judge for at least 3 years) and the chief executive of a department or equivalent (the non-judicial member), both appointed by the Governor in Council, and the Electoral Commissioner (ex-officio member).</p> <p>Under section 8 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>, when performing functions under part 3 of the <i>Electoral Act</i>, the commission is known as the Queensland Redistribution Commission.</p>
Redistribution	A State electoral redistribution is the process of redrawing the boundaries of and naming electoral districts, considering changes in enrolment and other factors to ensure equal representation. Triggers for redistributions are set out in the <i>Electoral Act</i> .
ECQ	The Electoral Commission of Queensland is an independent statutory authority established under the <i>Electoral Act</i> to conduct and regulate State and local government elections in Queensland. The ECQ provides administrative support for the QRC in conducting State electoral redistributions.
Electoral district (or electorate)	<p>Electoral districts (also known as electorates) are the geographic areas defined and named through State electoral redistributions. Each electoral district is represented by a Member of Parliament.</p> <p>Under the <i>Constitution of Queensland 2001</i> and the <i>Electoral Act</i>, there are 93 Members of the Parliament and corresponding electoral districts.</p> <p>The current electoral boundaries came into effect at the 2017 State general election and were used to elect Members of the Queensland Parliament at the State general elections held in November 2017, October 2020 and October 2024.</p>

Term/acronym	Definition
Quota	<p>When undertaking a redistribution, the <i>Electoral Act</i> requires the Commission to ensure that enrolment numbers for all electorates fall within a specified range of the average State enrolment, known as the “quota”.</p> <p>The quota is calculated by dividing the total number of enrolled electors in the State by the number of electoral districts (93) to determine the average State enrolment. The Commission must ensure that enrolment for all individual electorates is within a maximum range of 10% above or below the average to remain within quota.</p> <p>Special provision is made for large electoral districts with an area of 100,000 km² or more.</p>
Large districts	<p>Large districts are electoral districts which have a geographical area of 100,000 km² or more and have an “additional large district number” applied to their enrolment when calculating quota.</p> <p>In Queensland, there are currently 4 large districts: Cook, Gregory, Traeger and Warrego.</p>
Additional large district number	<p>The “additional large district number” forms part of the legislative formula applied under section 45 of the <i>Electoral Act</i> to calculate quota for large electoral districts.</p> <p>A number, equivalent to 2% of the number of km² in the district, is added to the actual enrolment for large districts.</p> <p>The total “weighted enrolment” is used for the purposes of calculating quota for large districts.</p>
Queensland Government Statistician’s Office or QGSO	<p>Queensland Government Statistician’s Office, located in Queensland Treasury, is the Queensland Government’s lead statistical agency, providing statistical and demographic research services for government.</p>

Appendix 1 - Statutory notices

[617]



Queensland Government Gazette

GENERAL

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ISSN 0155-9370

VOL. 398]

THURSDAY 24 APRIL 2025

[No. 79

Electoral Act 1992

NOTICE OF QUEENSLAND ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION

The *Electoral Act 1992* establishes the Queensland Redistribution Commission to undertake periodic redistributions of the boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 35(3) of the *Electoral Act*, this notice advises that an electoral redistribution is required and of the membership of the Queensland Redistribution Commission.

The need for a redistribution has arisen under section 38(1)(b) of the *Electoral Act*, due to the passage of 7.5 years since the previous redistribution became final.

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is constituted by the following members in accordance with section 6 of the *Electoral Act*:

- Mr Gregory Koppenol, retired Judge of the District Court (chairperson)
- Mr Patrick Vidgen, Electoral Commissioner of Queensland, and
- Mr John Sosso, Director-General, Department of State Development, Infrastructure and Planning.

Further information about the redistribution is available at www.ecq.qld.gov.au/redistribution.

Gregory Koppenol
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission

[517]



Queensland Government Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ISSN 0155-9370

VOL. 399]

MONDAY 7 JULY 2025

[No. 55

Electoral Act 1992

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION INVITATION FOR SUGGESTIONS

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is undertaking a redistribution of the electoral boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act 1992*, the Commission invites written suggestions from all interested persons and bodies, relating to the boundaries or names of electoral districts.

Suggestions can be lodged for a period of 30 days and must be received by the Commission by Wednesday, 6 August 2025.

Suggestions must be in writing and can be lodged by:

- Online submission: **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Email: **QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Mail: Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4001

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission is required to make available for public inspection all suggestions received during the consultation period. The Commission has released guidelines on making a submission, including how submitters' personal information will be managed when submissions are published.

In preparing its proposed redistribution, section 45 of the *Electoral Act* requires the Commission to ensure electoral districts remain within specified enrolment limits. Further information about current and projected enrolment, factors that must be considered by the Commission and the redistribution process is outlined in the discussion paper released to support the invitation for suggestions.

Submitters are encouraged to review the discussion paper, submission guidelines and enrolment statistics available at **www.redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au** when making a submission.

Gregory J. Koppenol
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission

© The State of Queensland 2025

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to:
Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE
7 July 2025

[1]



Queensland Government Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ISSN 0155-9370

VOL. 400]

MONDAY 1 SEPTEMBER 2025

[No. 1

Electoral Act 1992

QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION INVITATION FOR COMMENTS ON SUGGESTIONS

The Queensland Redistribution Commission is undertaking a redistribution of the electoral boundaries of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 42 of the *Electoral Act 1992*, a notice was published in the Queensland Government Gazette on 7 July 2025 inviting suggestions relating to the redistribution for a period of 30 days until 6 August 2025. Advertisements were also placed in print and online newspapers circulating throughout the State from 8 July 2025.

All suggestions properly made have been published on the Commission's website and can be viewed at the Commission's office.

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission now invites comments on the suggestions. Comments can be lodged for a period of 21 days and must be received by the Commission by **Monday, 22 September 2025**.

Comments must be made in writing and can be lodged by:

- Online submission: **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Email: **QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Mail: Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4001

Under section 43 of the *Electoral Act*, the Commission is required to make available for public inspection all comments received during the consultation period. The Commission has released guidelines on making a submission, including how submitters' personal information will be managed when submissions are published. All comments will be available for public inspection as soon as practicable after the closing date for submissions.

In preparing its proposed redistribution, section 45 of the Electoral Act requires the Commission to ensure electoral districts remain within specified enrolment limits. Further information about current and projected enrolment, factors that must be considered by the Commission and the redistribution process is available on the Commission's website.

Submitters are encouraged to review the suggestions about the redistribution, submission guidelines and enrolment statistics available at **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au** when making a submission.

Gregory J. Koppenol
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission

© The State of Queensland 2025

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to:
Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE
1 September 2025

[371]



Queensland Government Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ISSN 0155-9370

VOL. 401]

TUESDAY 10 MARCH 2026

[No. 36

Electoral Act 1992, section 48(1)

**QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
INVITATION FOR OBJECTIONS AGAINST PROPOSED ELECTORAL REDISTRIBUTION**

The Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission) advises that it has published the proposed electoral redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts, by way of a detailed report which includes (a) maps showing the boundaries of the proposed electoral districts, (b) the names and boundary descriptions of all proposed electoral districts, and (c) the Commission's reasons for redistributing the State in the way proposed.

The report will be available for public inspection, without fee, at:

- **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Electoral Commission of Queensland
Level 20, 1 Eagle Street, Brisbane
- Electorate offices of State Members of Parliament.

Maps showing the names and boundaries of all proposed electoral districts accompany this notice.

Objections



Any person or body may object in writing to the Commission against the proposed electoral redistribution within 30 days after publication of this notice in the *Queensland Government Gazette*.

Objections can be lodged in any of these ways:

- Online: **redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Email: **QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au**
- Post: Queensland Redistribution Commission,
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4000

Objections close at midnight on Thursday 9 April 2026. All objections will then be published on the Commission's website.

Gregory J. Koppenol
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission



© The State of Queensland 2026
 Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to: Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE
 10 March 2026

[601]



Queensland Government Gazette

EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ISSN 0155-9370

VOL. 401]

MONDAY 20 APRIL 2026

[No. 59

Electoral Act 1992, section 49(2)

**QUEENSLAND REDISTRIBUTION COMMISSION
INVITATION FOR COMMENTS ON OBJECTIONS**

The Queensland Redistribution Commission (the Commission) is undertaking an electoral redistribution of the State's 93 electoral districts.

In accordance with section 48(1) of the *Electoral Act 1992*, a notice was published in the Queensland Government Gazette on Tuesday, 10 March 2026 advising of the Commission's proposed electoral redistribution of the State into 93 electoral districts, and inviting objections against it within 30 days until Thursday, 9 April 2026.

All objections received by the Commission have been published and are now available for public inspection, without fee, at:

- redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au
- Electoral Commission of Queensland
Level 20, 1 Eagle Street, Brisbane

Comments on objections

In accordance with section 49(2) of the *Electoral Act 1992*, the Commission now invites comments on the objections. Any person or body may comment in writing to the Commission on the objections within 10 days after publication of this notice in the Queensland Government Gazette.

Comments on objections can be lodged in any of these ways:

- Online:** redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au
Email: QRCsubmissions@ecq.qld.gov.au
Post: Queensland Redistribution Commission
GPO Box 1393, BRISBANE QLD 4000

Comments on objections close at midnight on Thursday, 30 April 2026. All comments on objections will then be published on the Commission's website and will be made available for public inspection, without fee, at the office of the Electoral Commission of Queensland, Brisbane.

Gregory J. Koppenol
Chairperson
Queensland Redistribution Commission

© The State of Queensland 2026
Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without prior written permission. Inquiries should be addressed to:
Gazette Advertising, PO Box 10817, Brisbane Adelaide Street QLD 4000.

BRISBANE
20 April 2026

Appendix 2 - Submissions

List of suggestions

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-001	Michael McNeilly	Boundaries	Cook; Traeger
S-002	Kayleen Guascoine	Boundaries	Callide
S-003	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>		
S-004	Stewart Evans	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-005	Shane Gibson	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-006	Deborah Walters	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-007	Richmond Walters	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-008	Jemma Manwaring	Boundaries	Callide
S-009	Gregory Brown	Boundaries	Callide
S-010	Nicholas Adnum	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-011	Helen Watson	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-012	Kerith Roby	Boundaries	Gladstone
S-013	Matthew Norton	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba South
S-014 #	Caleb Brook	Boundaries	Aspley; Bancroft; Broadwater; Bundaberg; Caloundra; Capalaba; Chatsworth; Clayfield; Coomera; Cooper; Ferny Grove; Gaven; Greenslopes; Hervey Bay; Kurwongbah; Logan; Macalister; Mansfield; McConnel; Miller; Moggill; Mount Ommaney; Murrumba; Nudgee; Oodgeroo; Pine Rivers; Redcliffe; Redlands; Rockhampton; Sandgate; South Brisbane; Springwood; Toohey
S-015	<i>Consolidated with S-014</i>		
S-016	Sven Lotzvie	Names	McConnel
S-017	Mitchell Trimmer-Rowan	Boundaries	Gympie; Hervey Bay; Maryborough
S-018	Steven Macklin	Boundaries	Murrumba; Redcliffe
S-019 #	Nether Portal @ The Tally Room	Boundaries and names	All
S-020	Rowanne McKenzie	Boundaries	Redlands
S-021	Rikki Gee	Boundaries	Glass House
S-022	Anthony Bellion	Names	All
S-023	Dieter Hitchins	Boundaries	Condamine
S-024	Suzanne Miles	Boundaries	Springwood
S-025	Tim Winter	Boundaries	Springwood
S-026	Emma Gibson	Boundaries	Redlands
S-027	Heath Low	Boundaries	Springwood

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-028	Erica Harris	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood
S-029	Jodie Walshe	Boundaries	Springwood
S-030	Simon Cole	Boundaries	Clayfield; Inala; Jordan; Logan; McConnel; South Brisbane; Stretton; Toohey
S-031	John Talty	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood
S-032	Chris Cox	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill
S-033	Andrew McLean	Boundaries	Glass House
S-034	Zachary Wales	Boundaries	Callide; Gladstone
S-035	Sheridan Thompson	Boundaries and names	Callide; Gladstone
S-036	Patricia Bunting	Boundaries and names	All; Callide
S-037	Julie West	Boundaries and names	Burnett; Gladstone
S-038	Sherri Sanders	Boundaries	Callide
S-039	Priscilla Lillyman	Boundaries	Callide
S-040	Dr Paul Inglis	Boundaries	Pine Rivers
S-041	Tian Yi Jordan Dai	Boundaries and names	Algester; Inala; Miller; Mount Ommaney; Springwood; Stretton; Toohey; Woodridge
S-042	Arush Saraswat	Boundaries	Aspley; Everton
S-043	Adam Bailey	Boundaries and names	Mount Ommaney
S-044	Aurira Daniels	Boundaries	Noosa
S-045	Diana Morrison	Boundaries	Noosa
S-046	Sally Devlin	Boundaries	Noosa
S-047	David Nelson	Boundaries	Noosa
S-048	Darcy Ringland	Boundaries	Maroochydore
S-049	Lidewij Koene-Sloss Western Downs Regional Council	Boundaries	All
S-050	Rosemary Baker	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa
S-051	Claudia Gaber	Boundaries	Noosa
S-052	Aaron Chambers Sante Medical Services Pty Ltd trading as Growlife Medical	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
S-053	Julie Ainscough	Boundaries	Noosa
S-054	Joy Ringrose	Boundaries	Noosa
S-055	Leonie Bradbury	Boundaries	Noosa
S-056	Lee McAlister-Smiley White Lies Brewing Company	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
S-057	Karen Hayes Sherwood Neighbourhood Centre Inc	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
S-058	Cecile Saraiva	Boundaries	Noosa

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-059	Marie Sulda	Boundaries	Noosa
S-060	Kent Burchard Harmonic Futures Pty Ltd	Boundaries	Callide
S-061	Christopher Wolfs	Boundaries	Callide; Gregory; Keppel; Mirani; Rockhampton
S-062	Paul Casbolt	Boundaries	Macalister; Waterford
S-063	Kristie Virgo	Boundaries	Noosa
S-064	Jonathan Anstock	Boundaries	Ninderry
S-065	Andrew Stewart	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill
S-066	Belinda Anstock	Boundaries	Ninderry
S-067	Sandy Bolton MP Member for Noosa	Boundaries	Noosa
S-068	Dale Knuth	Boundaries	Traeger
S-069	Mark Nucifora	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-070 #	William Bates Rexit Australia Inc	Boundaries	All
S-071	Ray Seres	Boundaries	All
S-072	Richard Mills	Boundaries	Burleigh; Mermaid Beach
S-073	Bruce Stephen Stevenson	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa
S-074	Morrison Lakey	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House; Nicklin
S-075	Sue Brooks	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
S-076 #	Mark James Spencer	Boundaries	Coomera; Logan; Scenic Rim
S-077	Jonathon Pont	Boundaries and names	All
S-078	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and names	All
S-079	Mark Furner MP Member for Ferny Grove	Boundaries	Ferny Grove
S-080	Nick Dametto MP Member for Hinchinbrook	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
S-081	Blair Martin	Boundaries	Cooper
S-082	Alana McEwen	Boundaries	Caloundra; Kawana
S-083	Louise Smith	Boundaries	Caloundra
S-084	Lindsay Brewer	Boundaries	Caloundra; Kawana
S-085	Meaaghan Wheatley	Boundaries and names	Caloundra
S-086	Lindsay Elliott	Boundaries	Bundaberg
S-087	Fiona Baverstock	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-088	Robert Joubert	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa
S-089	Luke Robinson	Boundaries and names	Algerier; Inala; Jordan; Logan; Miller; Scenic Rim; Stretton; Toohey; Woodridge

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-090 ^#	Robert Richardson	Boundaries and names	Barron River; Bundaberg; Cairns; Callide; Condamine; Cook; Gladstone; Gregory; Hill; Hinchinbrook; Keppel; Lockyer; Mackay; Mirani; Mulgrave; Mundingburra; Nanango; Rockhampton; Southern Downs; Thuringowa; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South; Townsville; Traeger; Warrego; Whitsunday
S-091	Janes Madden	Boundaries and names	Ipswich
S-092	Pierina Dalle Court Burdekin Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Burdekin
S-093	Brett Walsh Longreach Regional Council	Boundaries	Gregory
S-094	Pamela Beitz	Boundaries and names	Jordan
S-095	Wayne Window	Boundaries and names	All
S-096	Graham Page	Boundaries	All
S-097	Paula Ridler	Boundaries	Cooper
S-098	Jessica Harvey	Boundaries	Caloundra
S-099	Brendan Andrews	Boundaries and names	All
S-100	Jeffrey Waddell	Boundaries and names	All
S-101	Phillip Pease	Boundaries and names	All
S-102	Patsy Hannam Babinda Visitor Information Centre Inc	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-103	Tanya Tuttle Babinda District Community Association Inc trading as Babinda Taskforce	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
S-104	Abraham Gubler	Boundaries	Springwood
S-105	Cameron Parker Katter's Australian Party	Boundaries	All
S-106 #	Tyler Rolls	Boundaries	Maiwar; Stafford
S-107	Lachlan O'Neill	Boundaries	Coomera
S-108	Luke Dixon	Boundaries and names	All
S-109	Corey Baker	Boundaries and names	Aspley; Clayfield; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Maiwar; McConnel; Moggill; Nudgee; Sandgate; Stafford
S-110	Ben Riley Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and names	All
S-111	Melanie Baverstock	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*
S-112	Gemmia Burden Queensland Greens	Boundaries and names	Alger; Aspley; Bulimba; Bundamba; Capalaba; Chatsworth; Clayfield; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Greenslopes; Inala; Ipswich; Jordan; Logan; Lytton; Macalister; Maiwar; Mansfield; McConnel; Miller; Moggill; Mount Ommaney; Nudgee; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Sandgate; South Brisbane; Springwood; Stafford; Toohey; Waterford; Woodridge
S-113	Sarah Vogler Local Government Association of Queensland	Boundaries	All
S-114	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	All
S-115	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries	All
S-116	Alicia Ruhl	Boundaries	Cook; Gregory; Traeger; Warrego
S-117	Mark Yore	Boundaries and names	All
S-118 ^	Liz Schmidt Charters Towers Regional Council	Boundaries	Traeger

* *Electorates and topics are as identified by submitters, or by the Commission where the submission was received by email or letter. May not be exhaustive of all matters raised in the submission.*

Includes multiple submissions consolidated under one Submission ID.

^ *Submission or partial submission received after the deadline of 6 August 2025 accepted by the Commission*

List of comments on suggestions

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-001	Rudolf Braasch	Boundaries and names	Ipswich West; Maiwar; Maryborough; Moggill	S-110
CS-002	Dr Paul Inglis	Boundaries	Glass House	
CS-003	John Hartley	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	S-044, S-045, S-046, S-047, S-050, S-051, S-053, S-054, S-055, S-058, S-059, S-063, S-067, S-073
CS-004	Bruce Stephen Stevenson	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	
CS-005	John Talty	Boundaries	Redlands; Springwood	
CS-006	Sue Bond	Boundaries and names	Cooper; Ferny Grove	S-110, S-079

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-007	Graeme Orr	Names	All	S-110
CS-008	Don Brown	Boundaries	Capalaba; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	
CS-009	Ken Wilson	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-010	Erica Harris	Boundaries	Springwood	
CS-011	Alicia Hyland	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-012	Cr Joel Richters Southern Downs Regional Council	Names	Southern Downs	
CS-013	Robert Koch	Boundaries and names	Hinchinbrook	
CS-014	Paul Welsh	Boundaries	All	
CS-015	Mathew Henley	Boundaries	Hill; Traeger	
CS-016	Mr Robert Jewell	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-017	Luke Petersen	Boundaries	All	
CS-018	Rhyce Bullimore	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-019	Susan Taig	Names	Hill	
CS-020	Alex Brown	Boundaries	All	S-110
CS-021	Paul Teichert	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-022	Nicholas Pinna	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-023	Chris Abbruzzese	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-024	Allen Parlby	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CS-025	William Hampton	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-026	Nick Elze	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-027	Rhys Bosley	Boundaries	All	
CS-028	Sheilah Carr	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-029	Chris Baker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-030	William English	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-031	Denison Hilla	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-032	Grant Russell	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-033	Ray Seres	Boundaries and names	All; Barron River; Broadwater; Burdekin; Cairns; Cook; Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mackay; Mirani; Mulgrave; Mundingburra; Rockhampton; Thuringowa; Townsville; Traeger; Whitsunday	
CS-034	Mark McConnell	Names	Ipswich West	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-035	Paul Hefferan	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-036	Matthew Lenihan	Boundaries	Redcliffe	
CS-037	Darryl Pedersen	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-038	Ben Gold	Boundaries and names	Cooper; Maiwar; Moggill; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	
CS-039	John Auger	Boundaries	Cairns	
CS-040	John O'Neil	Boundaries	Mundingburra; Thuringowa; Townsville	
CS-041	Lyn Groth	Boundaries	All	
CS-042	Joanne Vonhoff	Boundaries	Currumbin	
CS-043	Deborah Pergolotti	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-044	Peter Dendy	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-045	Peter Garcia	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-046	Debbie McMillan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-047	Peter Pulbrook	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-048	Adrian Smith	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-049	Andrew Miller	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-050	Christopher Walker	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-051	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-052	Laurie Hoffman	Boundaries	Noosa	S-050, S-054, S-059, S-067
CS-053	Alan Lander	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-054	Luke Barrowcliffe	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-055	Leslie Rooke	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-056	Gerda Rooke	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-057	Janette Fitzgerald	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-058	Donna Bowering	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-059	Govinda Lange	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-060	Kellyn Yates	Boundaries	All	
CS-061	Martin Turner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-062	Shaun Walsh	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	
CS-063	Jeremy Wilson	Names	Nicklin	
CS-064	Patricia Radge	Boundaries	Noosa	S-050
CS-065	Cr Jason Colley	Boundaries	Capalaba; Redlands; Springwood	S-024, S-031, S-104

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-066	Anne Darben	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-067	John Prescott	Names	Stretton	
CS-068	Robert Holden	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-069	Cr Liz Schmidt Charters Towers Regional Council	Boundaries	Traeger	S-077, S-095, S-100, S-101, S-108, S-110, S-114
CS-070	Simon Klein	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-071	Daniel Grono	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-072	Patrick Coleman	Boundaries	All	
CS-073	Danielle Wright	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-074	Hamish McDowall	Boundaries	Bancroft; Caloundra; Cooper; Everton; Ferny Grove; Glass House; Ipswich; Ipswich West; Kurwongbah; Maiwar; Moggill; Morayfield; Murrumba; Pine Rivers; Pumicestone	S-110
CS-075	Dianne Evans	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-076	Peter Storer	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-077	Eli Thurtel	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-078	Sherryn Beidham Strathpine Community Kindergarten	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-079	Courtney Robinson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-080	Cali Court	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-081	Steve Parry	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-082	Nerida Kingsford	Names	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-083	Vanessa Hayward	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-084	Susan Hunter	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-085	Brett Lyons	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-086	Laura Falla	Boundaries	Condamine; Nanango	
CS-087	Ann-Maree Kuhn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-088	Henry McMaster	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-089	John Sybenga	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-090	Barbara Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-091	Iris Irwin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-092	David Melandri	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-093	Bill Moroney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-094	Tatiana Moroney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-095	Cassandra Fraser	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-096	Col Askin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-097	Melinda Buckley	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-098	Caroline Vermaak	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-099	Peter Fisher	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-100	Michael Coverdale	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-101	Michael Knight	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-102	Julie Campbell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-103	Sarah Bishop	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North	
CS-104	Lynne Bennett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-105	Mark Bennett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-106	Keryll Ehrlich	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-107	Mary-Anne Marrington	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-108	Anne Hogan	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-109	Jesse Jones	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-110	Janis Trigg	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-111	Bronwyn Armitage	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-112	David Riwoe	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-113	Chris Protheroe	Boundaries	Condamine; Nanango; Toowoomba North	S-110, S-115
CS-114	Terence Agostinelli	Boundaries	Southern Downs; Toowoomba North	
CS-115	Kathleen Gerchow	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-116	Rhiannon-Lea Edwards	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-117	Troy Barnes	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-118	Malcolm Neilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-119	Robin Ramage	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-120	Michelle Ford	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-121	Wilhelmus Brakels	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-122	Jennifer Young	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-123	Mark Molachino	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-124	Chris Winter	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-125	Richard Barrett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-126	Melissa Doran	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-127	Susan Howe	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-128	Adam Zahra	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-129	Daniel Howe	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-130	Nancy Sommerfield	Boundaries	All	
CS-131	Jeff Thomas	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-132	Jason Krienke	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-133	Sue McElhinney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-134	Eleanor Burns	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-135	Todd Evans	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-136	Janet Brewer	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	
CS-137	Julianna Neilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-138	Katrina Lloyd	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-139	Mark Bousfield	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-140	Johan Hoffman	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-141	Stacey Kelso-smith	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-142	Mary Bell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-143	Michael Robinson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-144	Chris Thompson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-145	Carla Burkitt	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	
CS-146	Barbara Penberthy	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-147	John Franklin	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-148	Darryl Rice	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-149	Reginald Rubie	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-150	Karly Merker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-151	Lorelie Javier	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-152	Ricardo Javier	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-153	Nicholas Porta	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-154	Cheryl Bodimeade	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-155	Leonard Bodimeade	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-156	Brenda Kelly	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-157	Anne Chidgey	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-158	Steven Paull	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-159	Marie Green	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-160	Nadine Wynn	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-161	Arthur Hallam	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-162	Maureen Hawkins	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-163	Kymerley Evans	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-164	Cynthia McBride	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-165	Michael Csallo	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-166	Gail Wockner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-167	Lyn Collin	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-168	Tim O'Brien	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-169	Karen Murdoch	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-170	Robyn Jeffery	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-171	Richard Brown All Doors	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-172	David Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-173	N V	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-174	Jan Granfield	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-175	Steve Chaney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-176	Linda Barrett	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-177	Kimberley Reeve	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-178	Michelle Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-179	Barbara Robbie	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-180	John Wilson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-181	Mirella Scotney	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-182	Kathryn Christofis	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-183	Tara Mitchell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-184	Luke Henderson	Boundaries	Mermaid Beach	
CS-185	Vicki Travers	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-186	Peter and Judy Graham	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-187	Laura and Kelvin Falla	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-188	Daniel Seymour	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-189	Russell Callander	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-190	Joe Korts	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-191	Jeff Waddell	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-192	Stephen Tate	Boundaries	Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	
CS-193	Adam Burling	Boundaries	Callide	S-002, S-004, S-005, S-006, S-007, S-010, S-011, S-012
CS-194	Laurence Choate	Boundaries and names	All	S-001, S-049, S-068, S-069, S-077, S-078, S-087, S-090, S-095, S-100, S-101, S-102, S-103, S-105, S-110, S-111, S-112, S-114, S-115, S-116, S-117
CS-195	Jennifer Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-196	Kathryn Klan	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-197	Kathleen Bizzell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-198	Matt Norton	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-199	Roslyn J Skerman	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-200	David Stone	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-201	Gayle Stone	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-202	Pemay Johnsen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-203	Stephen Kolera	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-204	Denise Kolera	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-205	Donna Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-206	Thelma Pedersen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-207	Brent Williamson	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North	S-115
CS-208	Mavis Cooper	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-209	Catherine Mitchell	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-210	Tadhg Sheehan	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-110, S-115

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-211	David Meiklejohn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-212	Ian Matheson	Boundaries	All	
CS-213	Jenny Clement	Boundaries	Noosa	
CS-214	Craig Dawson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-215	Derek Story	Boundaries	Maroochydore; Ninderry	S-088, S-115
CS-216	Heather Esplin	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North	S-110
CS-217	Pat Shannon Sunshine Coast Alliance Inc	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa	
CS-218	Richard Raabe	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-219	Mark Morwood	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill	
CS-220	Lynette Richards	Names	Stretton	S-110
CS-221	Ryan Nunn	Names	Stretton	S-110
CS-222	Kathy	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-223	Shane Knuth MP Member for Hill	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-224	Beryl Finn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-225	Paul Finn	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-226	Curtis Perri	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-227	Reid Ralph-Mason	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-228	Eleanor Smith	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-229	Timothy O'Sullivan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-230	Ciaran Mac Cana	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-231	Julie Rae	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-232	Amanda Wager	Boundaries	Burnett	
CS-233	Wayne Bruce	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-234	Stephen Godfrey	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-235	Chantel Abbott	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-236	Brian and Daisy Raue	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-237	Roxanne Kennedy	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110
CS-238	Michele Freeman	Boundaries	Callide	
CS-239	G.J. and J.A. Tait	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-240	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-241	Mark Barnes	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-242	Natalie Brown	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-243	Julie Goring	Boundaries	Callide	
CS-244	Tracey Cruickshank	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-245	Johanna Clegg	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-246	Nicholas Crowther	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-247	Michelle Lyons	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-248	Yvonne Allison	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-249	Jane Turner	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-250	Karl Ferrero	Boundaries and names	Hinchinbrook	
CS-251	Blair Bonato	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-252	Jeffery Gall	Boundaries	All	
CS-253	Anne Rudder	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-254	Michael Myer	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-255	Kirsty Turmine	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-256	Joanna Brett	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-257	Julene Verkooijen	Boundaries	Thuringowa	
CS-258	Neville Burton	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-259	Scott Ferdinands	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-260	Anne McManus	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-261	Vanessa Spotswood	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-262	Marie Kiley	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-263	Yasmin Mcquade	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-264	Katherine Jansz	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-265	Rhonda Sutton	Boundaries	Bundaberg; Gladstone	
CS-266	David Grogan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-267	Peter Markham	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-268	Jonathan Hamilton	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-269	Kylie McConnell	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-270	Coral Keogh	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-271	Abraham Gubler	Boundaries	Springwood	S-110, S-112, S-115
CS-272	Brendan Bolton	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-273	Jeff Becker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-274	Tania Bishop	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-275	Jamie Mellen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-276	Gidyea Venner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-277	James Woodger	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-278	Mark Walder	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-279	Angela Church	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-280	Narelle Nolan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-281	Jeffrey Richard Adrian Eacersall	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-282	Ken Dyer	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House; Gympie; Kawana; Maroochydore; Morayfield; Nanango; Nicklin; Ninderry; Noosa; Pine Rivers; Pumicestone	
CS-283	Terrence Heinemann	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-284	Teresa Millwood	Boundaries and names	Hill; Mulgrave	
CS-285	Sue Kaarilaakso	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-110
CS-286	Wendy Barnes	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-287	Nikki Boyd MP Member for Pine Rivers	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	S-040, S-110
CS-288	David Brothers	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-289	Rebecca Leeson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-290	Craig Bellchambers	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	
CS-291	Belinda Booth	Boundaries	Maiwar	
CS-292	Mark Norvall NorAg Consulting	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-293	Sundaram Krishnan	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-294	Benjamin Jackman	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-295	Karen Lindsey	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-296	Tamara Conradi	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-297	<i>Withdrawn at the request of the author.</i>			
CS-298	Natasha Moore	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-299	Kat Griffin	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-300	Thomas Nightingale	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-301	Ian Dickson	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-302	Stephanie Barber	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-303	Richard Hagen	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-304	Halle Peltohaka	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-305	Noel Jackson	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-306	Daniel Frank	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-307	Michael O'Shea	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-308	Sue McLean	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-309	Jason Davidson	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-310	Jess Walker	Boundaries and names	Cairns	
CS-311	Raymond Perkes	Boundaries	Cairns; Cook; Hill	
CS-312	Bruce Morris	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-313	Shendell Williams	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-314	John Ross	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-315	Dawn Hills	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-316	Gus Grobler	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-317	Esther McLaren	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-318	Helen Walsh	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-319	Julie Charles	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-320	Kevin Oliver	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-321	Jamie Cannon	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-322	Barry Fisher	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-323	Ashlea Hepburn	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-324	Jodie Shaw	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-325	Andrea Pricd	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-326	Duncan Wood	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-327	Lynn Jahnke	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-328	Ron Elms	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-329	Kirsten Ivoševac	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-330	Jenny Burgess	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-331	Richard Giuliani	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-332	Deborah Moore	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-333	Joshua Symes	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-334	Diana Winters	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-335	Isioma Meme	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-336	Sharryn Gilmour	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-337	Gary White	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-338	Julie Johnson	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-339	Michael Spartalis	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-340	Bernadette Nielsen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-341	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-342	Iris Lambert	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-343	Heather Johnson	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-344	Makayla Bell	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-345	Kurt Jensen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-346	Steve Grist	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-347	Renata Egan	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-348	Mitch Waters	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-349	Jodie Logli	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-350	Helen Lum	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-351	Sandra Fleming	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-352	Stephen Poyser	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-353	Emma Thirkell	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-354	Ashleigh Hanlon	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-355	Paul McBurney	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-356	Bradley Wah	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-357	Heidi Chapman	Boundaries and names	Ferny Grove	
CS-358	Kieren Marr	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-359	Sherril-Lea Wallace	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-360	Jessica Spencer	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-361	Daniel Spencer	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-362	Garry Nichols	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-363	Geordie Bierach	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-364	Riley Kernaghan	Boundaries and names	Algester; Logan; Woodridge	S-112

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-365	Donna Turner	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-366	Robyn Davies	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-367	Denise McGucken	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-368	Terry Merker	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-369	Amanda Fitzgerald	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-370	Karen Margaret Plant	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-371	Joel Cooper	Boundaries	Buderim; Caloundra; Glass House	
CS-372	Kylie Gascoigne	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-373	Zach White	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-374	Adrian Waters	Names	All; Everton	
CS-375	Ash Phayer	Boundaries	Ipswich; Ipswich West; Moggill	S-110
CS-376	Shannon Reynolds	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-377	Alan Johnson	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-378	Melody Spidy	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-379	Louise Knol Winton Shire Council	Boundaries	Gregory	S-093
CS-380	Robert Woods	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-381	Stacey Taylor Hewitt	Boundaries	All; Pine Rivers	
CS-382	Robert Nielsen	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-383	Roxanne Bewick	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-384	Ann Pavett	Names	McConnel	
CS-385	Rodney Ferdinands	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-386	Janet Ferdinands	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-387	Craig Wright	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-388	Mary Thorpe	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-389	Leigh Davis	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-390	Ross MacLeod	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-391	Brigitte Daley	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-392	Kim Ottone	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-393	Luke Wilcox	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-394	Duane Bakker	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-395	Mitchell Humphries	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-396	Jason Dawson	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-397	Julie Hanrahan	Boundaries and names	Caloundra; Glass House; Kawana	S-019, S-033, S-110
CS-398	Andrea Bailey	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-399	Leichelle McMahon Pulse Vet Warner	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-400	Joanne Stevens	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-401	Catherine Hickey	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-402	Kerry Shine	Boundaries and names	Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-110, S-117
CS-403	Bernessa Walsh	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-404	Robin Mesotten	Boundaries	Maiwar; Moggill	
CS-405	Pamela Clearwater	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-406	Zhou Mu	Boundaries	Maiwar	S-110
CS-407	Troy Thompson	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-408	Tamieka Barlow	Boundaries and names	Hill	
CS-409	Isaac Towers	Boundaries	South Brisbane	S-014, S-100, S-101, S-110, S-112
CS-410	Peter Axelrod	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-411	Avril Brown	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-412	Masepah Banu	Boundaries and names	Miller; Mount Ommaney	
CS-413	Louise Anderson-Clemence	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-414	Linda Seres	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-415	Rebecca White	Boundaries and names	Bulimba; Greenslopes; South Brisbane	
CS-416	Ashley Cupitt AFSM OAM	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-417	Graeme Taylor	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-418	Chloe Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-419	Brady Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-420	Shannae Mauger	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-421	Janelle Smithurst	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-422	Tabbatha Reed	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-423	Keith Taylor	Boundaries	All	
CS-424	Peter Rudder	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-425	Alison Peachey	Boundaries	Hill	S-110
CS-426	Lincoln and Roxanne Bewick	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-427	Nikola Stepanov Tablelands Regional Council	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-428	Natasha Zabel	Boundaries	Hill	S-001
CS-429	Allyn Zabel	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-430	Withdrawn at the request of the author.			
CS-431	Andrew Reeson	Boundaries	Condamine; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South	S-115
CS-432	Gina Meibusch	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-433	Cameron Parker Katter's Australian Party	Boundaries	All	
CS-434	TD Sanderson	Boundaries	All	
CS-435	Phil Peachey	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-436	Peter Murphy	Boundaries	Inala; Jordan	S-110
CS-437	Riky Price	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-438	Andrew Douglas	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-439	Melinda Bailey	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110
CS-440	Ian Jessep	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-441	Marian McCreath	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-442	Max Douglas	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	S-110, S-112
CS-443	Geoffrey White	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-444	Alistair Mylne	Boundaries and names	Burdekin; Hill; Mansfield; Mirani; Stretton; Thuringowa; Toohey	S-110, S-112
CS-445	Benn Harvey-Walker	Boundaries	Miller; Mount Ommaney	S-110, S-112
CS-446	Brandan Holt	Boundaries and names	Mount Ommaney	
CS-447	Gavin Keeley	Boundaries	Nicklin; Noosa	
CS-448	Gemmia Burden Queensland Greens	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-449	Robyn Davies	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-450	Nick Dametto MP Member for Hinchinbrook	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook	S-110

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-451	Roula van der Linde	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-452	Mark Ansell	Boundaries	Jordan	S-110
CS-453	Francis Ireland	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-454	George Smith	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-455	Don Morris	Names	All	
CS-456	Chloe Brockett	Boundaries and names	Greenslopes	
CS-457	Dan McKinlay Burke Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Traeger	
CS-458	Virginia Barbour	Boundaries and names	Maiwar	
CS-459	Heidi Holt	Boundaries and names	Bundamba	S-110, S-112
CS-460	Andrew Graffen Cassowary Coast Regional Council	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook	
CS-461	Gerhardt Pearson Balkanu Cape York Development Corporation	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-462	Penelope White	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-463	Cr Barry Hughes Etheridge Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Traeger	
CS-464	Christine Tainsh Friends of Lake Weyba Inc	Boundaries	Ninderry; Noosa	
CS-465	Ben Riley Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-466	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-467	Bill Tainsh	Boundaries	Ninderry	
CS-468	Jason Fernandez	Boundaries and names	Maiwar; Miller; Mount Ommaney; South Brisbane	
CS-469	Graham Page	Boundaries	All	
CS-470	Rowena Specht- Whyte	Boundaries and names	Everton; Ferny Grove; Stafford	
CS-471	Sandra Perry	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-472	Geoff Gleed	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-473	Su Tindall	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district *	Suggestion reference
CS-474	Kaitlyn Hutchison	Boundaries and names	Pine Rivers	
CS-475	Rodney Hutcheon	Boundaries	All	
CS-476	Maryannnd Tranter	Boundaries	Hill	
CS-477	Chase Porter	Boundaries	Capalaba; Macalister; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	S-010, S-024, S-025, S-026, S-027, S-028, S-029, S-031, S-104, S-110, S-112
CS-478	Robyn Evans	Boundaries and names	Greenslopes; Miller; South Brisbane	
CS-479	Tom Lillyman	Boundaries and names	Everton; Ferny Grove; Stafford	
CS-480	Jasper Every	Boundaries	Capalaba; Macalister; Oodgeroo; Redlands; Springwood	S-020, S-024, S-025, S-026, S-027, S-028, S-029, S-031, S-104, S-110, S-112
CS-481	Rachael Cruwys	Boundaries	Cook; Gregory; Traeger; Warrego	
CS-482	Chenille Conaghan	Boundaries	Townsville	
CS-483	Monika Kulshrestha Deals Colony	Boundaries	Pine Rivers	
CS-484	Cr Kelly Vea Vea Isaac Regional Council	Boundaries	Burdekin	
CS-485	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-486	Felicity Byng	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-487	Mark Yore	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-488	Bob Richardson	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-489	Davidson	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-490	Geoff Schleeauf	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CS-491	Maggie Perry	Boundaries and names	All	
CS-492	Christine Tainsh	Boundaries	Noosa	

* *Electorates and topics are as identified by submitters, or by the Commission where the submission was received by email or letter. May not be exhaustive of all matters raised in the submission.*

List of objections against the proposed redistribution

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-001	Natasha Zabel	Boundaries	Hill
O-002	Kayne Harris	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-003	Eloise Davies	Names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-004	Felix Oyston	Names	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-005	Peter Murphy	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Redbank (formerly Bundamba); Springfield (New)
O-006	Matthew Linney	Boundaries	Annerley (formerly Miller); Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel); Carindale (formerly Chatsworth); Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft); Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Flinders (formerly Traeger); Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Labrador (formerly Bonney); Marsden (formerly Waterford); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)
O-007	Judah Thomas	Boundaries	All
O-008	Emma Harvey	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-009	Cr Kate Peddle Flinders Shire Council	Names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-010	Brian Fernandes	Boundaries and names	Ipswich
O-011	James Young	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-012	Brian Reynolds	Boundaries	All
O-013	Emily Page	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-014	Andrew Hopkins	Boundaries and names	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-015	Fiona Baverstock	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
O-016	Claire Lord	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-017	Bibin Mathew	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-018	Travis Evans	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich
O-019	Lennard Denmab	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-020	Henry Dammasch	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich
O-021	Harriet Bystrom	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-022	Mitchell Scott	Boundaries	Ipswich

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-023	Natalie Wyllie	Boundaries and names	Bulimba; Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-024	Naomi Mullaly	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-025	Heather Williams	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-026	Simon Greenwood	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
O-027	Tim Mallett	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-028	Abraham Gubler	Names	Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft); Redlands
O-029	Ariarn Bessai	Boundaries	Ipswich
O-030	Jessica Hampton	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mulgrave
O-031	Aaron J Pillar	Boundaries	Redbank (formerly Bundamba)
O-032	Rose M	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-033	Katie Lloyd	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-034	Gavin Wright	Boundaries	Hill
O-035	Jason McCloskey	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-036	Paula Harberger	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-037	Adam Nelson	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-038	Caitlin Cooke	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-039	Deborah Smith	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-040	Marcia Halloran	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-041	Shaun Davey	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-042	Cr Liz Schmidt Charters Towers Regional Council	Boundaries	Burdekin
O-043	Scott Lewi	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mulgrave
O-044	Pete Formasier	Names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-045	Rebekah Dammasch	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)
O-046	Mark Fowler	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-047	Joanna Wissell	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-048	Jade-Lee Wellings	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-049	Alex Generowicz	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-050	Leesa Cuda	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-051	Deborah White	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-052	Jayden Van Dorrestein	Boundaries	Moggill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-053	Russell Lewis	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-054	Pamela Lopez	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-055	Ranyel Windsor	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-056	Dylon Brown	Boundaries	Hill
O-057	Paul Johnson	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-058	Greg Thiedecke	Boundaries	Hill
O-059	Tanya Stevenson	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-060	Tegan Fels	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-061	Kimberley Ottone	Boundaries	Hill
O-062	Joselito carlo joseph Degrazia	Boundaries	Gaven
O-063	Aaron Pitt	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-064	Roger Skipsey	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-065	Charlotte Scott	Boundaries	Ipswich
O-066	Daphne Beattie	Boundaries	Hill
O-067	Caitlin Donohue	Boundaries	Hill
O-068	Danielle Bradley	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-069	Sarah-Jane Cameron	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill
O-070	Lisa Bertuch	Boundaries	Hill
O-071	D'urville Pelgrave	Boundaries	Hill
O-072	Karen Schaeffer	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-073	Dianne Kramer	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-074	Maurice Williams	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-075	Louise Cross	Boundaries	Hill
O-076	Robert Strachan	Boundaries	Hill
O-077	Marie Matijevic	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-078	Trevor Dunstan	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-079	Robert Clark	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-080	Sherilee Ramm	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-081	Margot M Scott	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-082	Drew Nichols	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-083	Tabbatha Reed	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-084	David Wilson	Boundaries	Ipswich
O-085	Anita Monro	Names	Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-086	Emma Benham	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-087	Matt Jensen	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-088	Larissa Ayers	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-089	Ann Squarci	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-090	Chloe Wright	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-091	Mel Eriksen	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-092	Brenda Townley	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-093	Sandra Perry	Boundaries and names	All
O-094	Kurt Kleemann	Boundaries	Hill
O-095	Nathaniel Stasse	Boundaries	Hill
O-096	Kirsty Ingram	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-097	Simon Klein	Boundaries	Hill
O-098	Yarna Fry	Boundaries	Hill
O-099	Emma Hasted	Boundaries	Hill
O-100	Byron and Joy Emms	Boundaries	Hill
O-101	Leanne Costin	Boundaries	Hill
O-102	Lee Schofield	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
O-103	Iris Lambert	Boundaries	Hill
O-104	Skye McClymont	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-105	Luke Ramsey	Names	Lytton
O-106	Nathan Cartwright	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-107	Julia Davies-Hopkins	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-108	Greg Meller	Boundaries	Barron River; Hill
O-109	Catherine Kidd	Boundaries	Hill
O-110	Tim Bortoli	Boundaries	Hill
O-111	Colin Harrington	Boundaries	All
O-112	Wesley Eccles	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-113	Blake Rutherford	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Mulgrave

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-114	Renata Egan	Boundaries	Hill
O-115	Rosa Alcantara Dias-Lawler	Boundaries	Barron River; Hinchinbrook; Kawana; Mulgrave
O-116	Jake Leditschke	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-117	Angela Comino	Boundaries	Hill
O-118	Linnelle Puschmann	Boundaries	All
O-119	Rachel Skarott	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-120	Kevin Russell Milson	Boundaries	Hill
O-121	Patricia Joyce Milson	Boundaries	Hill
O-122	Barry Thurling	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-123	June Horatia Quinn	Boundaries	Hill
O-124	Wayne Croton	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
O-125	Averil Douglas	Boundaries	Hill
O-126	Gordon Gentle	Boundaries	Hill
O-127	Liz Harris	Boundaries	Hill
O-128	Matthew Stevens	Boundaries	Hill
O-129	Elaine Dunn	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-130	Greta Leonard	Names	All
O-131	Luke Smith	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)
O-132	Shannon Haliv	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-133	Douglas St John	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
O-134	David Brett	Boundaries	Hill
O-135	Vivian and Mike Lindup	Names	Gympie
O-136	Chris Kaye	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-137	Peter Barker	Boundaries	Hill
O-138	Leanne Ridgway	Boundaries	All
O-139	Peter Cannon	Boundaries	Hill; Mulgrave
O-140	Leanne Winn	Boundaries	Mirani
O-141	Kevin McMahon	Boundaries	Inala
O-142	Susan Faulkner	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-143	Garry Hawkins	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill; Mulgrave
O-144	Nicholas Yim	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-145	Lisa Vis	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-146	Grace Mahon	Boundaries	Gympie
O-147	Jason Kauffman	Boundaries	Gympie
O-148	Mat Henley	Boundaries	Hill
O-149	Heidi Mau	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-150	Bruce Taylor	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-151	Allyce Ellems	Boundaries	All
O-152	Tegan Catalano	Boundaries	Hill
O-153	Bill Handley	Boundaries	Hill
O-154	Gwen Hammerton	Boundaries	Hill
O-155	Caelum Bouttell	Boundaries	Hill
O-156	Anna Biggs	Boundaries	Hill
O-157	Harriet Galagher	Boundaries	Gympie
O-158	Bridget Swan	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-159	Kay Banks	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-160	Erin Donnelly	Boundaries	Hill
O-161	Ashley Gill	Boundaries	Gympie
O-162	Brett Jolley	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Logan; Woodridge
O-163	Diane Edwards	Boundaries	All
O-164	David Mell	Boundaries	Hill
O-165	Michelle Gill	Boundaries	Gympie
O-166	Se McDonald	Boundaries	Broadwater; Gaven; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Mermaid Beach; Mudgeeraba; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Southport
O-167	Belinda Terewaamu	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-168	Jackson Phillips	Boundaries	Gympie
O-169	Frank Paino	Boundaries	Hill
O-170	Jenny Grimshaw	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-171	Cr Cathy White Winton Shire Council	Boundaries	Gregory
O-172	Chris Lane	Boundaries	All

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-173	Mary Ahern	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-174	John Tallon	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-175	Martin Jones	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-176	Dominic Tang	Names	Labrador (formerly Bonney)
O-177	Joshua Vine	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-178	Elisha Treasure	Boundaries	Gympie; Maryborough
O-179	Madeline Price	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-180	Sian West	Boundaries	Springfield (New)
O-181	Georgia Warmington	Boundaries	Gympie
O-182	Julie Zuvela	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-183	Tammie Thornton	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-184	Gregory Hoff	Boundaries	Hill
O-185	David Carter	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-186	Cassandra Grey	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-187	David Fielding	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-188	Justin O'Connell	Boundaries	Gympie
O-189	Merren Stainsby	Boundaries	Gympie
O-190	Naomi Coffison	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
O-191	Warren Dawes	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-192	Diana Morrison	Boundaries and names	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-193	Kristine Herron	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-194	Nathaniel Bull	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-195	Simone Scott	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-196	Alan Woodhouse	Boundaries	Hill
O-197	Sharen Armstrong	Boundaries	Gympie
O-198	Anne-Maree Robinson	Boundaries	All
O-199	Gina Kastrissios-Mills	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-200	Margie Saunders	Boundaries	Gympie
O-201	Sharnell Sweeney	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-202	Norman Mills	Boundaries	Gympie
O-203	Anita Femiano	Boundaries	Gympie

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-204	Darryn Charlesworth	Boundaries and names	Alger
O-205	Rebecca Johansen	Boundaries	Gympie
O-206	Peter Marsh	Boundaries	Hill
O-207	Sharnee Gordon	Boundaries	Gympie
O-208	Lisa Robbie	Boundaries	Hill
O-209	Emily Livingston	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-210	Megan Davies	Boundaries	Hill
O-211	David Brookes	Boundaries	Hill
O-212	Fiona Hunter-Espley	Boundaries	Hill
O-213	Kate Dean	Boundaries	Hill
O-214	Darryl Pedersen	Boundaries	Hill
O-215	Leonard Camm	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-216	Jessica Greenwood	Boundaries	Hill
O-217	Stephanie Ryan	Boundaries	Hill
O-218	Zachary Wales	Boundaries	Gladstone
O-219	Robert Richman	Boundaries	Hill
O-220	Gail Lambert	Boundaries	Cook
O-221	Ken and Jenny Hall	Boundaries	Hill
O-222	Suzanne Barnett	Boundaries	Hill
O-223	Betty Hove	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-224	Karyn Swindells	Boundaries	Hill
O-225	Stephen Callon	Boundaries and names	Kurwongbah
O-226	Brendan Krueger Ripley Valley State Secondary College	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-227	Brian Stewart	Boundaries	Hill
O-228	Stephanie Withers	Boundaries	Hill
O-229	Ray Wicks	Boundaries	Hill
O-230	Ashley Boland	Boundaries	Hill
O-231	Jennifer Byers	Boundaries	Hill
O-232	Tara Garozzo	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-233	Joshua Lane Queensland Youth Connections Indigenous Corporation	Boundaries	Hill
O-234	Lisa McGregor	Boundaries	Hill
O-235	Stephen Relf	Names	Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)
O-236	Laura Bagnall	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-237	Danielle Callon	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-238	Stacey Quail	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-239	Frederick and Suzanne Higgins	Boundaries	Hill
O-240	Cr Rod Marti Tablelands Regional Council	Boundaries	Hill
O-241	Cr Kathy Duff South Burnett Regional Council	Boundaries	Callide; Nanango
O-242	Malcolm Burkhardt	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-243	Lynette Payne	Boundaries	Hill
O-244	Takako Ono	Boundaries	Noosa
O-245	Melanie Baverstock	Boundaries	Hill
O-246	Tracey Motlop	Boundaries	Hill
O-247	Natasha Carson	Boundaries	Hill
O-248	Alexandra Turkowsky	Boundaries	Hill
O-249	S Ryan	Boundaries	Hill
O-250	Stephanie Ryan	Boundaries	Hill
O-251	Richard Locke	Boundaries	Noosa
O-252	Cecile Saraiva	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-253	Daniel Hillier	Names	Lytton
O-254	Kate and David Stokes	Boundaries	Hill
O-255	Heather Halliday	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-256	Ronald Fossen	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-257	Cr Jennifer Sanders	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-258	Nathan McIntyre	Boundaries and names	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-259	Benjamin Sowry	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-260	Diana Chalmers	Boundaries	Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Ferny Grove
O-261	James Arnold	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-262	Melissa Connor	Boundaries	Callide
O-263	Janina Speck	Boundaries	Burnett
O-264	Nikita Hall	Boundaries	Burnett
O-265	Georgia O'Shea	Names	Lytton
O-266	I. Schmidt	Names	Lytton
O-267	Dennis Millard	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-268	Kay Thornton	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-269	Matthew Pace	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-270	Dean Locarnini	Boundaries	Hill
O-271	Glenn Spence Narangba Valley Tavern	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-272	Margaret Bunce	Boundaries	Hill
O-273	Hamish McDowall	Boundaries and names	Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Aspley; Barron River; Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel); Cairns; Callide; Cook; Everton; Ferny Grove; Flinders (formerly Traeger); Gaven; Glass House; Hervey Bay; Hill; Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Maryborough; Mudgeeraba; Mulgrave; Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Nanango; Springwood; Stafford; Woodridge
O-274	Melody Lindsay	Boundaries	Noosa
O-275	Rebecca Thorley	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-276	Jenny Little	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-277	Wayne Little	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-278	Caroline Rushton	Boundaries	Hill
O-279	Dwayne Miller	Boundaries	Hill
O-280	Morgan Gronold Central Western Remote Area and Planning Development (RAPAD) Board	Boundaries	Gregory
O-281	Suzanne Bassette	Boundaries	Hill
O-282	Thelma Pedersen	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-283	Kaye Chant	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-284	Ross Porter	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-285	Karen Russell	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-286	Sandra Barnstable	Boundaries	Noosa
O-287	Julie Vance	Boundaries	Hill
O-288	Karen Kankkunen	Boundaries	Callide
O-289	Gary Burgess	Boundaries	Hill
O-290	Maria van der Krogt	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mulgrave
O-291	Marcia Reichardt	Boundaries	Hill
O-292	Melissa Tonna	Boundaries and names	Kurwongbah
O-293	Ian Simpson	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-294	Tim Andrews	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-295	Jacqueline McGivern	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-296	Nicole Burt	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-297	Tracey Anderson	Boundaries	Burnett
O-298	Lacey Sawtelll	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-299	Carly Smith	Boundaries	Barron River
O-300	Dierer Hoppe	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-301	Marion Hoppe	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-302	Charlotte Doger de Speville	Boundaries	Barron River
O-303	Lisa White	Boundaries	Barron River; Cook
O-304	Rod and Dina Gdennien	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-305	Robin Arnold	Boundaries	Hill
O-306	Graham Byrne North Queensland Miners Association	Boundaries	Hill
O-307	Robert Hunt	Boundaries	Hill
O-308	Kathryn Hunt	Boundaries	Hill
O-309	Cr Sally O'Neil Barcoo Shire Council	Boundaries	Gregory
O-310	Ann Lavis	Boundaries and names	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-311	Greg Howell	Boundaries	Burnett
O-312	Gail Smith	Boundaries	Callide
O-313	Kylie Wood	Boundaries	Barron River; Cairns; Cook; Hill
O-314	Kylie Arantz	Boundaries	Barron River
O-315	Anita Cromb	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-316	Nerissa Formosa	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-317	Julie Godden	Boundaries	Gympie
O-318	Cheryll Strydom	Boundaries	All
O-319	Anthony Smith	Boundaries	All
O-320	Jaye Perry	Boundaries	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Caloundra; Gaven; Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-321	Ophelia O'Neill	Boundaries	All; Aspley
O-322	Phillip Connor	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-323	Tom Wilson	Boundaries	All
O-324	Jodie Yovanitch	Boundaries	All
O-325	Rowan Carroll	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Gaven; Logan; Redlands
O-326	Murray Gardner	Boundaries	All
O-327	Antony Ji	Boundaries	All
O-328	Emma Casey	Boundaries	All
O-329	Abigail Kennedy	Boundaries	All
O-330	Darcy Walsh	Boundaries	All
O-331	Felicia Dubber	Boundaries	Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)
O-332	Karen Connor	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-333	Harrison Edwards	Boundaries	All
O-334	Kent Bolton	Boundaries	All
O-335	Daniel White	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-336	Edward Conleh	Boundaries	All
O-337	Margaret Shaw OAM	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-338	Sarah Pesch	Boundaries	All
O-339	Jason Korzuch	Boundaries	All

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-340	Sienna Hutton	Boundaries	Annerley (formerly Miller); Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Currumbin; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Marsden (formerly Waterford)
O-341	Robin Monro	Boundaries	All
O-342	Georgia Inwood	Boundaries	All
O-343	Joseph Bicevskis	Boundaries	All
O-344	Leah Page	Boundaries	All
O-345	Ashlynn McKenna	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill; Hinchinbrook
O-346	Kate Steel	Boundaries	All
O-347	James Milham	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)
O-348	Tyson Goff	Boundaries	All
O-349	Bradl Gray	Boundaries	All
O-350	Patrick Poole	Boundaries	All
O-351	Morgan Simmons	Boundaries	All
O-352	Melissa Ooi	Boundaries	All
O-353	Jason Chung	Boundaries	All
O-354	Rory Young	Boundaries	All
O-355	Beau Grace	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
O-356	Luke Campbell	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-357	Alexander Love	Boundaries	Annerley (formerly Miller)
O-358	Jason Wood	Boundaries	All
O-359	Tim Pike	Boundaries	Nudgee
O-360	Graham & Lyn Byrne	Boundaries	Hill
O-361	Arun Ramalingam	Boundaries	Ipswich; Moggill
O-362	Bailey Stephens-Peacock	Boundaries	All
O-363	Julie McDonald	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-364	Shane Cuow	Boundaries and names	Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)
O-365	Carsten Kunz	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich
O-366	Pia Simonelli	Boundaries	Caboolture (New); Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Hill
O-367	Jack Plater	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)
O-368	James Garrett	Boundaries	Gympie
O-369	Annette Kerridge	Boundaries	Kurwongbah

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-370	Hon Shayne Neumann MP Federal Member for Blair	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich West; Moggill; Springfield (New)
O-371	Madeline Harris	Boundaries	Hill
O-372	Allan Williams	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-373	Damien Murphy	Boundaries	Gaven
O-374	Susan Bennett	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-375	David Hansen	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-376	Hon Scott Buchholz MP Federal Member for Wright	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-377	Maia Hart	Boundaries	Hill
O-378	Jo-Anne Welch	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-379	Cr Teresa Millwood Cassowary Coast Regional Council	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook
O-380	Talara Nicholas	Boundaries	Condamine; Lockyer; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South
O-381	Reily Chapman	Boundaries	All
O-382	Jaquie Vella	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-383	Desley Sayers	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister)
O-384	Louisa Crosslé	Boundaries	Hill
O-385	Sandy Bolton MP Member for Noosa	Boundaries	Hill; Noosa
O-386	Pete Russell	Boundaries	Inala
O-387	Gary Hardy	Boundaries	Hill
O-388	Matthew Anderson	Boundaries and names	All
O-389	Glen Winney Fraser Coast Property Industry Association	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-390	Karize De la Cruz	Boundaries and names	Redlands
O-391	Jeff Dunell	Boundaries	Hill
O-392	Brandan Holt	Boundaries and names	Mount Ommaney; Springfield (New)
O-393	Kristianna Quesada	Boundaries	All

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-394	Frederique Dennison	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-395	Ian Botfield	Boundaries	Hill
O-396	Patrick Murphy	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-397	Darren Magin Narangba Eagles FC	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-398	Cali Court	Boundaries	Hill
O-399	Dave Cooms Narangba Valley State School P&C	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-400	James Hooper	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-401	Barbara Moloney	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-402	Anders Nylen	Boundaries	Hill
O-403	Lauren Swift	Boundaries and names	All
O-404	Emily Larcombe	Boundaries	Hill
O-405	Joseph Ungaro	Names	Springfield (New)
O-406	William Bates REXIT Australia Inc	Boundaries	Hill
O-407	Fiona Dix Cairns Regional Council	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-408	Julia Waller	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-409	Helen Brasier- Cooper	Boundaries	Hill
O-410	Bevan Leinster	Boundaries	Hill
O-411	Peter Zuvela	Boundaries	Hill
O-412	Linda Zuvela	Boundaries	Hill
O-413	Russell Miller	Names	Springfield (New)
O-414	Daniel Williams	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-415	Erin Temming	Boundaries	Burnett
O-416	Pascal Green	Boundaries and names	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Moggill
O-417	Heidi Holt	Names	Annerley (formerly Miller); Springfield (New)
O-418	Anne and John Sainsbury	Boundaries	Hill
O-419	Anthony Millroy	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-420	Ian Knight	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-421	Raymond Wilmott	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-422	Fred Warburton	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-423	Allyn Zabel	Boundaries	Hill
O-424	Zane Zabel	Boundaries	Hill
O-425	Gina Tzioutzias	Boundaries	Hill
O-426	Jordan Hunt	Boundaries	All
O-427	Darcy Jones	Names	All
O-428	Callum Caesar	Boundaries and names	Annerley (formerly Miller); Inala; Mount Ommaney; Springfield (New)
O-429	Rose Sutton	Boundaries	Hill
O-430	Clare Beaton	Boundaries	Hill
O-431	Kylie Porter Greater Whitsunday Alliance	Boundaries	Mirani
O-432	Leon Shinkai	Boundaries and names	Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Aspley; Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Brisbane Central (formerly McConnell); Broadwater; Callide; Clayfield; Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft); Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Everton; Ferny Grove; Gaven; Hervey Bay; Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Ipswich West; Kurwongbah; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Lockyer; Maryborough; Mermaid Beach; Moggill; Mudgeeraba; Murrumba; Nanango; Nudgee; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Pine Rivers; Sandgate; Southport; Stafford; Surfers Paradise
O-433	Julianne Stokman	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-434	Kimani van Ingen	Boundaries and names	All
O-435	Kirsty Greasly	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-436	Aaron Fuller	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-437	Bernie Scott	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-438	Elizabeth Jeffs	Boundaries and names	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)
O-439	Andrew Tunney	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-440	Michele Tunney	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-441	Sczerina Hobday	Boundaries	Barron River; Mulgrave
O-442	Kathryn Child	Boundaries	Hill
O-443	Susan Richardson	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-444	Janet Sylph	Boundaries	Hill
O-445	Harald Scheve	Boundaries	All
O-446	Joseph Borg Canegrowers Mackay	Boundaries	Mirani
O-447	Uma Singh	Names	Springfield (New)
O-448	Lawrence Booth	Boundaries	Hill
O-449	Nichole Lawson	Boundaries	Hill
O-450	Jacqui Stephens	Boundaries	Hill
O-451	Iona Broadley	Boundaries	Aspley
O-452	Pasquale Heredia	Names	Springfield (New)
O-453	Julie Macdonald	Boundaries	Hill
O-454	Janette Jacob	Boundaries	Hill
O-455	Peter Franks Mareeba Shire Council	Boundaries	Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-456	Mark Modjeski	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-457	Rowan Kidd	Boundaries and names	All
O-458	Alan Richards	Boundaries	Hill
O-459	Sonia Cooper Ipswich City Council	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich; Ipswich West
O-460	Jeanette Maynes	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-461	Robert Holden	Boundaries and names	All
O-462	Bianca Maggs	Boundaries	Hill
O-463	Bruce Stephen Stevenson	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-464	Gayle Bentley	Boundaries	Burnett; Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-465	Tessa MacKenzie	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-466	Simon Acworth	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-467	Robert Skelton	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-468	Russell Bentley	Boundaries	Burnett; Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-469	Marie Bauer	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-470	Dayne Richardson	Boundaries	All
O-471	Darren Ball	Boundaries	Hill
O-472	Iyri Salvemini	Names	Springfield (New)

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-473	Diane Packer	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-474	Jack Smith	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-475	Daphne Beattie	Boundaries	Hill
O-476	Hanna Edwards	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-477	Melissa Payne	Boundaries	All
O-478	Elke Holt	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-479	Trevor Williams McKinlay Shire Council	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-480	Benjamin Bown	Boundaries and names	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Marsden (formerly Waterford)
O-481	Joel Tierney CANEGROWERS Cairns Region	Boundaries	Mulgrave
O-482	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	All
O-483	Katrina Jensen	Boundaries	Hill
O-484	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-485	Melanie Hogg	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-486	Kathryn White	Boundaries	Buderim
O-487	Matthew Burton	Boundaries	Aspley
O-488	Jessie Phillips	Boundaries	Aspley
O-489	Graeme Meade	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry)
O-490	Jeffery Gall	Boundaries	Barron River; Cairns; Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mulgrave
O-491	Lisa Thompson	Boundaries and names	Maryborough
O-492	Robert Greenway	Boundaries	Aspley
O-493	Andrew Aves	Names	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-494	Roxanne Kennedy	Names	Annerley (formerly Miller); Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel); Carindale (formerly Chatsworth); Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Deception Bay (formerly Bancroft); Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Flinders (formerly Traeger); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Labrador (formerly Bonney); Marsden (formerly Waterford); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)
O-495	Tracey Beikoff	Boundaries	Hill
O-496	Kay Moloney	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-497	Augustine Ha	Boundaries	All
O-498	Kathleen and James Ferguson	Boundaries	Hill
O-499	Sabine Holt	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-500	David Keenan Scenic Rim Regional Council	Boundaries and names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-501	Hon. Pat Weir MP Member for Condamine	Boundaries	Condamine
O-502	Cr Kelly Veve Isaac Regional Council	Boundaries	Gregory; Mackay; Mirani
O-503	Delwyn Hockey Queensland Country Women's Association	Boundaries	Hill
O-504	Phillip Goschnick	Names	Coolum (formerly Ninderry)
O-505	Lianne Cullen	Boundaries	Aspley
O-506	Ray Langler	Names	Logan
O-507	Brett	Boundaries	Nudgee
O-508	Lloyd Weare	Boundaries	Hill
O-509	Terence Cullen	Boundaries	Aspley
O-510	Jeremy Hollyer	Boundaries	Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Mansfield
O-511	Monique Gregory	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-512	Janet White	Boundaries	Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-513	Nikki Boyd MP Member for Pine Rivers	Boundaries	Warrego
O-514	Kathleen Mcilvenny	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Gaven; Oxenford (formerly Theodore)
O-515	Chris Inglis	Boundaries	Aspley
O-516	Heather Mercer	Names	All
O-517	Bianca Bowie	Names	All
O-518	Sally Elliot	Boundaries	Aspley
O-519	Lukas Starling	Names	All
O-520	Moira Williams	Names	All
O-521	Daniel Hill	Boundaries	Aspley
O-522	Haiden Watson	Boundaries	Algester

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-523	Wendy Carter	Boundaries	Aspley
O-524	Roger Henley	Names	Springwood
O-525	Cheryl Geoghegan	Names	All
O-526	Andrew Das Arulsamy	Names	All
O-527	Crystal Hill	Boundaries	Aspley
O-528	Terrence McHugh	Names	All
O-529	William Poole	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-530	Kelly Smith	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-531	Lauren Meiklejohn	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-532	Rumi Symons	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-533	Sunirmalya Symons Baffle Creek Boat Club	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-534	Alice Newton	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-535	Rita Meiklejohn	Boundaries	Nudgee
O-536	Mandela Symons	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-537	Tracey McGrory	Boundaries	Aspley
O-538	Matthew Wagstaff	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-539	Carly Field	Boundaries	All
O-540	Sarah Allan-McIntyre	Boundaries	Aspley
O-541	Jessica Hardwick	Names	Aspley
O-542	Patricia Goldfinch	Boundaries	Cook
O-543	Patricia Mullins	Boundaries	Condamine
O-544	Nicholas Leonardi	Boundaries	Aspley
O-545	Rhiannon Said	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-546	Natalie Willcocks	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)
O-547	Luke Robinson	Boundaries	Algerter; Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Broadwater; Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Logan; Marsden (formerly Waterford); Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Redlands; Springwood; Woodridge
O-548	Susan Kelly	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill
O-549	Debra Buchanan	Boundaries	Noosa

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-550	David Wong	Boundaries and names	Aspley
O-551	Sonja Ikin	Boundaries	Moggill
O-552	Clint Spence	Boundaries and names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-553	Bruce Meiklejohn	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-554	Agnes Foley	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-555	Olivia Hodges	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-556	Blair Calderara	Boundaries	All
O-557	Mr Lorroway	Boundaries	Hill
O-558	Kathea and Trevor French	Boundaries	Hill
O-559	Janet White	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-560	Andrew Greenway	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-561	Christine Dickfos	Boundaries	Aspley
O-562	Sarah Bonzer	Boundaries and names	Hervey Bay
O-563	Sunirmalya Symons	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-564	Leigh Ittensohn	Names	Springfield (New)
O-565	Deborah Pergolotti	Boundaries	Hill
O-566	Jessica Kemp	Boundaries and names	Annerley (formerly Miller); Mount Ommaney; Springfield (New)
O-567	Lin Powell	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-568	Manny Williams	Names	All
O-569	Shannon Fallows	Boundaries	Aspley
O-570	Christine Oliver	Boundaries	Aspley
O-571	Bob Richardson	Boundaries	All
O-572	Catherine Lawrence	Boundaries	Moggill
O-573	Gregory Black	Boundaries and names	Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)
O-574	Jennifer Kerr	Names	Springfield (New)
O-575	Leah Murray Geebung Kindergarten and Preschool	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-576	Scott Forbes	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-577	Marnie Payne Palm Island Aboriginal Shire Council	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook; Townsville
O-578	Alexander Collins	Boundaries	All
O-579	Jenu Dovaston	Boundaries	All
O-580	Chris Merritt	Boundaries	Aspley
O-581	Jeanette Trappett	Boundaries	Aspley
O-582	Lachlan Fraser	Boundaries	Algester; Broadwater; Gaven; Mudgeeraba; Mulgrave; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Southport
O-583	Peter Trappett	Boundaries	Aspley; Burdekin
O-584	Carolyn Armstrong	Boundaries	Broadwater; Burnett; Callide
O-585	Jeffrey Smith	Boundaries	Hill; Hinchinbrook; Mulgrave
O-586	Peter Crawford	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-587	Edward Messer	Boundaries	Callide
O-588	Marii Webb	Boundaries	All
O-589	Liliana Pacey	Boundaries	Moggill
O-590	Aaron Jones	Boundaries	Inala; Moggill; Mount Ommaney
O-591	Greg Byrnes	Boundaries	Gladstone
O-592	Carly Forrest The Dreaming Collective	Boundaries and names	All
O-593	C Yao	Boundaries	Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Moggill; Mount Ommaney
O-594	Leonie Jennings	Boundaries	Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-595	Cameron Etches	Boundaries	All; Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Moggill; Mount Ommaney
O-596	Lynette Morgan	Boundaries	Hill
O-597	Kevin Morgan	Boundaries	Hill
O-598	Sheryl Foo	Boundaries	Barron River; Cook
O-599	Charmaine Bennett	Boundaries	Callide
O-600	Ace Gregory	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-601	Jane Bellingham	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-602	Bernice Thompson	Boundaries	Callide
O-603	Tiarni Ferguson	Boundaries and names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-604	Don Espey	Boundaries	Broadwater; Burleigh; Gaven; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Mermaid Beach; Mudgeeraba; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Southport; Surfers Paradise
O-605	Chris Molyneux Mackay Regional Council	Boundaries	Mackay; Mirani
O-606	Barry Duncan	Boundaries	Aspley
O-607	Shane Knuth MP Member for Hill	Boundaries	Hill
O-608	John Woodlock	Boundaries	Broadwater; Burleigh; Gaven; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Mermaid Beach; Mudgeeraba; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Southport; Surfers Paradise
O-609	Annie Lock	Boundaries	Hill
O-610	Deepthi Mudiyansele	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-611	Jean and Mervyn Shaw	Boundaries	Hill
O-612	Vera Walker	Boundaries	Gregory; Warrego
O-613	Michael Lollback Blackall-Tambo Regional Council	Boundaries	Gregory
O-614	Kyle Lazich	Boundaries and names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-615	Josip Vidakovic Australian Sugar Manufacturers	Boundaries	Mirani
O-616	Lesley Fleming	Boundaries	Ipswich
O-617	Lois Archer	Boundaries and names	Hill
O-618	Micheline Guy	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-619	Gail Barton Malanda Chamber of Commerce	Boundaries	Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill
O-620	Phil Peachey	Boundaries	Hill
O-621	Andrew Stimson	Boundaries	Broadwater; Burleigh; Gaven; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Mermaid Beach; Mudgeeraba; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Southport; Surfers Paradise
O-622	David Tate	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-623	Kevin and Jennifer Ellem	Boundaries	Ipswich West; Moggill
O-624	Glyndwr Archer	Boundaries and names	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-625	James Parkes	Boundaries	Aspley
O-626	Kareena Driver	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-627	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and names	Cairns; Callide; Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Gaven; Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Hill; Maroochydore; Mirani; Rockhampton
O-628	Rae Wear	Names	Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo)
O-629	Margaret Norton	Boundaries	Hill
O-630	Ilma Hynson	Boundaries and names	All
O-631	James Johnston	Boundaries	Hill
O-632	Sarah Stebbins	Boundaries	Burnett
O-633	Carolyn Burgess	Boundaries	Callide
O-634	Sue Kelleher	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-635	Sharon Long	Boundaries	Hill
O-636	Julene Verkooijen	Boundaries	Hill
O-637	Julie Johnson	Boundaries	Hill
O-638	Tammy Trainer	Boundaries	Burnett
O-639	Steve Lawrence	Boundaries	Aspley
O-640	Shaun Mahon	Boundaries	Aspley
O-641	Micheal Warke	Boundaries	Ipswich West
O-642	Mary Mahon	Boundaries	Aspley
O-643	Marlene Butteriss	Boundaries	Aspley
O-644	Nicole Farrar	Boundaries	Burnett
O-645	Nicole Sleeman	Names	All
O-646	Victoria Tennent	Boundaries	Aspley
O-647	Melita Lawrence	Boundaries	Aspley
O-648	Prue Kearney	Boundaries	Aspley
O-649	Alexander Northfield	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-650	Michelle Beutel	Boundaries	Nudgee
O-651	Gerald Harvey	Boundaries	Burnett
O-652	Ian Kelleher	Boundaries	Aspley
O-653	Marissa Pettigrew	Boundaries and names	Aspley; Nudgee
O-654	Jackson Streten	Boundaries	Aspley
O-655	Gaye Muir	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-656	Bradley Newman	Boundaries	Aspley
O-657	Nicola Morgan	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-658	Coral Rapp	Boundaries	All
O-659	Rebecca Ozanne	Boundaries	Aspley
O-660	Jodie Warke	Boundaries	Ipswich West
O-661	Georgina Best	Boundaries	Aspley
O-662	Jaimee Curtis The Shed Cafe - Scenic Rim	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)
O-663	David Campin	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-664	Andrew Lucas	Names	All; Barron River
O-665	Mark Nucifora	Boundaries	Hill
O-666	Maxwell Craig	Boundaries	Nudgee
O-667	Kim Faint	Boundaries	Aspley
O-668	Paula Draper	Boundaries	Hill
O-669	Peter Baker	Boundaries	Hill
O-670	Sonja and Peter Doyle	Boundaries	Gregory
O-671	Ross Humphreys	Boundaries	Hill
O-672	Katherine West	Boundaries	Aspley
O-673	Jaimie Russell	Boundaries	Hill
O-674	Elizabeth Bowell	Boundaries	Hill
O-675	Bianca Owens	Boundaries	Hill
O-676	Justin Marshall	Boundaries	Ashgrove (formerly Cooper); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Moggill; Mount Ommaney
O-677	Katie Cole	Boundaries	Hill
O-678	Mark Ansell	Names	Inala; Mount Ommaney; Springfield (New)
O-679	Nigel Hassell	Boundaries	Hill
O-680	Brian Norton	Boundaries	Hill
O-681	Nicola Baker Moyamoya Australia	Boundaries	Hill
O-682	Emma Carter	Boundaries	Aspley
O-683	Neil Hughes	Boundaries	Noosa
O-684	Suzanne C	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-685	Gemmia Burden Queensland Greens	Boundaries and names	All
O-686	Andrew Bowell	Boundaries	Hill
O-687	Tanya Tuttle Babinda District Community Association Inc	Boundaries	Hill
O-688	Carol Richards	Boundaries	Ipswich West
O-689	Janet Sivyer	Boundaries	All
O-690	Colin Hegarty Australian Labor Party (Queensland) - Hermit Park Branch	Boundaries	Hinchinbrook; Mundingburra; Thuringowa; Townsville
O-691	Brett Walsh Longreach Regional Council	Boundaries	Gregory; Warrego
O-692	Amber Rodgers	Boundaries	Burnett
O-693	Mark Yore	Boundaries and names	Brisbane Central (formerly McConnel); Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); South Brisbane; Toowoomba North; Toowoomba South
O-694	Joe Moro Mareeba Chamber of Commerce	Boundaries	Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-695	Sally Spain	Boundaries	Broadwater; Burleigh; Gaven; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Mermaid Beach; Mudgeeraba; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Southport; Surfers Paradise
O-696	Kevin Harding	Boundaries	Hill
O-697	Ian McHardie	Boundaries	Hill
O-698	Cr Angela Toppin AM FNQ Regional Organisation of Councils (FNQROC)	Boundaries	Hill
O-699	Roslyn Major	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-700	Allan Major	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-701	Ian Jessep	Boundaries	Hill
O-702	Corey Passmore Narangba Valley State High School P&C	Boundaries	Kurwongbah

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-703	Cr Sara Diana Faraj	Boundaries	Maryborough
O-704	Jennifer Coffison	Boundaries	Hill
O-705	Fabienne Wintle	Boundaries	Burnett
O-706	Robbie Katter MP Katter's Australian Party	Boundaries	Hill
O-707	Alistair Mylne	Names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)
O-708	Campbell Rodgers	Boundaries	Burnett
O-709	Cr Barry Hughes North West Queensland Regional Organisation of Councils (NWQROC)	Boundaries and names	Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill
O-710	Lenore Crawford	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide
O-711	Cr Michelle Byrne	Boundaries	Burnett; Callide; Gympie; Hervey Bay; Maryborough
O-712	Leisa Schiavi	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-713	David Eden Darra Community Group	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
O-714	Andrew West	Boundaries	Aspley
O-715	Kurt O'Brien	Boundaries	Mount Ommaney
O-716	Cr Michelle Govers	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-717	Denis Webb Geebung Zillmere Bald Hills Aspley Sub Branch Inc (trading as Geebung RSL)	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee
O-718	Kerry Marrone	Boundaries	Kurwongbah; Morayfield; Pine Rivers
O-719	Ben Riley Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and names	Aspley; Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Broadwater; Bundaberg; Burdekin; Burnett; Caboolture (New); Caloundra; Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger); Gaven; Gregory; Gympie; Hervey Bay; Hinchinbrook; Kurwongbah; Labrador (formerly Bonney); Logan; Mackay; Marsden (formerly Waterford); Maryborough; Mermaid Beach; Mirani; Morayfield; Mulgrave; Mundingburra; Murrumba; Nudgee; Oxenford (formerly Theodore); Pumicestone; Warrego
O-720	Danielle Mares	Boundaries	Hervey Bay
O-721	Amber Rodgers Discovery Coast Tourism & Commerce Inc.	Boundaries	Burnett

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-722	Henry Bennett	Boundaries	Aspley
O-723	Jasper Every	Boundaries	Capalaba; Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Redlands; Springwood
O-724	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries and names	All
O-725	Shane King MP Member for Kurwongbah	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-726	Susan Barbagallo	Boundaries	Hill
O-727	Steve Buchanski	Boundaries	Aspley
O-728	Trevor Kroger	Boundaries	Hill
O-729	Jenny	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-730	Sue Fairley	Boundaries	Ferny Grove; Hill; Mulgrave
O-731	Naveen Maidargi	Boundaries	Aspley
O-732	Madhura Jayanna	Boundaries	Aspley
O-733	Paul Wyld	Boundaries	Aspley
O-734	Suzanne Campin Eumundi Residents Association Inc.	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-735	Jake Langenberg	Boundaries	Aspley
O-736	Richard Lickfold Hinterland Matters	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa
O-737	Ahmed El-Atem	Boundaries	Aspley
O-738	Bruce Bull	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-739	Rachael Bull Sporting Shooters Association of Australia 'Wattle Grove Park' Branch Inc.	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-740	Wendy Bull	Boundaries	Gympie; Nambour (formerly Nicklin)
O-741	Jason Fernandez	Names	Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar)
O-742	Annett Andolfatto	Boundaries	Cairns; Mulgrave
O-743	Helena Wright	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-744	Louis Campagnolo	Boundaries	Hill

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral District*
O-745	Hon Bob Katter MP Federal Member for Kennedy	Boundaries	Hill
O-746	Lorraine Stephens	Boundaries	Hill
O-747	Dina Campagnolo	Boundaries	Hill
O-748	Jordan Bennett	Boundaries	Hill
O-749	Linus Power MP State Member for Logan	Boundaries	Logan
O-750	Jason Wright	Boundaries	Kurwongbah
O-751	Chris Walker	Names	Capalaba; Cleveland (formerly Oodgeroo); Redlands
O-752	Hon. Dr John Mickel	Boundaries and names	Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Ipswich West; Logan; Moggill
O-753	Michael McNeilly	Boundaries and names	Cook; Flinders (formerly Traeger); Hill
O-754 [^]	Michael Crandon MP Member for Coomera	Names	Pimpama (formerly Coomera)

* *Electorates and topics are as identified by submitters, or by the Commission where the submission was received by email or letter. May not be exhaustive of all matters raised in the submission.*

[^] *Submission or partial submission received after the deadline of 9 April 2026 accepted by the Commission*

List of comments on objections against the proposed redistribution

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*	Objection reference
CO-001	Gail Smith	Boundaries	Callide	O-533
CO-002	Kayne Harris	Boundaries	Greenbank (formerly Jordan)	
CO-003	Emily Livingston	Boundaries and names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)	
CO-004	Cali Court	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-005	Carly Daniel	Boundaries	Springwood	O-723
CO-006	Dale Lorroway	Boundaries	Hill	O-557
CO-007	Emma Hasted	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*	Objection reference
CO-008	Hamish McDowall	Boundaries	Beenleigh (formerly Macalister); Flinders (formerly Traeger); Greenbank (formerly Jordan); Gympie; Hill; Hinchinbrook; Ipswich; Ipswich West; Lockyer; Moggill; Mulgrave; Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)	O-002, O-160, O-194, O-240, O-347, O-370
CO-009	Alex Schwantes	Boundaries	All	
CO-010	Riordann Heslin	Boundaries	Gregory	
CO-011	Janelle Nolan	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CO-012	Amy Davis	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CO-013	Donna Dale	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CO-014	Paul Whiye	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CO-015	Joanna Craddy	Boundaries	Kurwongbah; Morayfield	
CO-016	Caitlin Fordham	Boundaries	Kurwongbah; Morayfield	
CO-017	Carolyn Rose	Boundaries	Kurwongbah; Morayfield	
CO-018	Garrett Fitzgerald	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim); Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Eight Mile Plains (formerly Toohey); Indooroopilly (formerly Maiwar); Marsden (formerly Waterford); Pimpama (formerly Coomera); Redbank (formerly Bundamba)	
CO-019	Sarah Guest	Boundaries	Morayfield	
CO-020	Clement Venter	Boundaries	Kurwongbah	
CO-021	Kathy Wilson	Boundaries	Burdekin; Mundingburra	
CO-022	Leonard Camm	Boundaries	Hervey Bay; Maryborough	O-074, O-215
CO-023	Deborah Pergolotti	Boundaries	Hill	O-127, O-139, O-160, O-385, O-407, O-565, O-607
CO-024	Karen Connor	Boundaries	Hervey Bay	
CO-025	Andrew Kamler	Boundaries and names	All	O-459, O-503, O-571, O-627, O-685, O-719, O-724, O-752
CO-026	Deborah Moore	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-027	Mark McConnell	Names	Ipswich West	
CO-028	Heather Halliday	Boundaries and names	Burnett; Gympie; Hervey Bay	
CO-029	Alan Stansfield	Boundaries	Hill	

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*	Objection reference
CO-030	Avril Brown	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-031	Janet Brewer	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CO-032	Suzanne Barnett	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-033	Kymerley Evans	Boundaries	Toowoomba North	
CO-034	Vera Walker	Boundaries	Gregory	
CO-035	Linda Seres	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-036	Gary Hardy	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-037	Samantha Richman	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-038	Carmel Rosman	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-039	Marlene Richman	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-040	Kathryn and Denis Poynton Cruise Maroochy	Boundaries and names	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Maroochydore	
CO-041	Cr Jennifer Sanders	Names	Beaudesert (formerly Scenic Rim)	
CO-042	Brian Norton	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-043	Benjamin Close	Boundaries and names	All	O-485
CO-044	Louisa Crosslé	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-045	Bob Richardson	Boundaries	All	O-042, O-249, O-306, O-379, O-406, O-407, O-455, O-577 O-685, O-690, O-719
CO-046	William Bates REXIT Australia Inc.	Boundaries	All	
CO-047	Pete Fornasier	Boundaries and names	Flinders (formerly Traeger)	
CO-048	Nicola Baker	Boundaries	Hill	
CO-049	Laurence McLean	Boundaries	All	O-719, O-724
CO-050	David Campin	Boundaries	Coolum (formerly Ninderry); Nambour (formerly Nicklin); Noosa	O-251, O-385, O-467, O-489, O-512, O-559, O-663, O-683, O-724, O-734
CO-051	Matt Chadwick Liberal National Party of Queensland	Boundaries and names	All	O-719, O-724

Submission number	Submitter	Topic	Electoral district*	Objection reference
CO-052	Aimee Van Gulik	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee	
CO-053	Anthony Gleeson	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee	
CO-054	Ethan Van Roo Douglas	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee	
CO-055	Ben Driscoll Australian Labor Party (State of Queensland)	Boundaries	All	
CO-056	Ray Sawyer	Boundaries	Aspley; Nudgee	
CO-057	Mark Yore	Boundaries and names	All	

* *Electoral districts and topics are as identified by submitters, or by the Commission where the submission was received by email or letter. May not be exhaustive of all matters raised in the submission.*

Appendix 3 - Enrolment of existing districts

Current and projected enrolment - current electorates				
Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 [#]	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Algerier	41,009	+2.04%	43,750	-2.08%
Aspley	40,527	+0.84%	42,017	-5.96%
Bancroft	41,697	+3.75%	46,871	+4.91%
Barron River	41,999	+4.51%	44,984	+0.68%
Bonney	38,397	-4.46%	49,000	+9.67%
Broadwater	38,994	-2.97%	44,144	-1.20%
Buderim	42,780	+6.45%	50,640	+13.34%
Bulimba	42,103	+4.77%	43,846	-1.86%
Bundaberg	38,010	-5.42%	39,803	-10.91%
Bundamba	47,057	+17.09%	57,644	+29.02%
Burdekin	36,887	-8.21%	39,570	-11.43%
Burleigh	38,258	-4.80%	41,433	-7.27%
Burnett	43,047	+7.11%	45,230	+1.23%
Cairns	39,397	-1.97%	43,229	-3.25%
Callide	36,991	-7.96%	38,463	-13.91%
Caloundra	47,391	+17.92%	64,892	+45.24%
Capalaba	37,951	-5.57%	38,210	-14.48%
Chatsworth	37,015	-7.90%	37,468	-16.14%
Clayfield	42,914	+6.78%	48,705	+9.01%
Condamine	43,216	+7.53%	47,911	+7.23%
Cook*	40,802	+1.53%	43,372	-2.93%
Coomera	55,296	+37.59%	71,013	+58.94%
Cooper	38,712	-3.67%	40,955	-8.34%

Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 [#]	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Currumbin	38,274	-4.76%	41,024	-8.18%
Everton	40,361	+0.43%	42,649	-4.54%
Ferny Grove	38,437	-4.36%	39,645	-11.27%
Gaven	35,490	-11.69%	37,209	-16.72%
Gladstone	37,661	-6.29%	40,521	-9.31%
Glass House	39,829	-0.89%	53,613	+20.00%
Greenslopes	38,792	-3.47%	42,832	-4.13%
Gregory*	35,498	-11.67%	35,926	-19.59%
Gympie	45,461	+13.12%	48,938	+9.53%
Hervey Bay	45,575	+13.40%	48,342	+8.20%
Hill	42,144	+4.87%	44,497	-0.41%
Hinchinbrook	39,644	-1.35%	47,547	+6.42%
Inala	39,503	-1.70%	41,264	-7.64%
Ipswich	40,853	+1.65%	52,908	+18.42%
Ipswich West	40,416	+0.57%	49,817	+11.50%
Jordan	48,721	+21.23%	65,796	+47.26%
Kawana	40,572	+0.96%	43,517	-2.60%
Keppel	41,165	+2.43%	47,029	+5.26%
Kurwongbah	42,662	+6.16%	50,224	+12.41%
Lockyer	40,414	+0.56%	45,132	+1.01%
Logan	52,004	+29.40%	67,444	+50.95%
Lytton	40,122	-0.16%	42,099	-5.77%
Macalister	42,953	+6.88%	47,572	+6.48%
McConnel	42,427	+5.57%	53,664	+20.11%
Mackay	40,465	+0.69%	42,481	-4.92%
Maiwar	40,763	+1.43%	43,948	-1.64%
Mansfield	36,694	-8.69%	41,586	-6.92%
Maroochydore	38,702	-3.70%	43,258	-3.18%

Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 [#]	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Maryborough	44,091	+9.71%	47,601	+6.54%
Mermaid Beach	38,541	-4.10%	41,808	-6.43%
Miller	36,721	-8.63%	38,600	-13.61%
Mirani	37,821	-5.89%	40,094	-10.26%
Moggill	36,559	-9.03%	37,015	-17.15%
Morayfield	43,825	+9.05%	54,119	+21.13%
Mount Ommaney	37,122	-7.63%	37,659	-15.71%
Mudgeeraba	41,878	+4.21%	47,085	+5.39%
Mulgrave	40,133	-0.14%	45,921	+2.78%
Mundingburra	35,148	-12.54%	37,854	-15.28%
Murrumba	47,586	+18.41%	56,550	+26.57%
Nanango	41,763	+3.92%	43,623	-2.36%
Nicklin	40,036	-0.38%	44,099	-1.30%
Ninderry	43,970	+9.41%	46,880	+4.93%
Noosa	38,909	-3.18%	40,690	-8.93%
Nudgee	40,583	+0.98%	42,328	-5.26%
Oodgeroo	35,267	-12.24%	37,824	-15.34%
Pine Rivers	41,657	+3.66%	45,774	+2.45%
Pumicestone	43,384	+7.95%	47,881	+7.17%
Redcliffe	42,565	+5.91%	50,004	+11.92%
Redlands	43,602	+8.50%	47,683	+6.72%
Rockhampton	39,228	-2.39%	41,540	-7.03%
Sandgate	39,228	-2.39%	40,142	-10.15%
Scenic Rim	43,090	+7.22%	46,912	+5.00%
South Brisbane	41,746	+3.88%	52,790	+18.15%
Southern Downs	38,983	-3.00%	40,404	-9.57%
Southport	37,353	-7.05%	44,802	+0.28%
Springwood	37,014	-7.90%	37,626	-15.79%

Current and projected enrolment - current electorates

Electorate	Enrolment - September 2025		Projected enrolment - June 2032 [#]	
	Enrolment	Variation	Projected enrolment	Variation
Stafford	41,046	+2.13%	43,601	-2.41%
Stretton	34,688	-13.69%	35,768	-19.94%
Surfers Paradise	38,133	-5.11%	48,400	+8.33%
Theodore	39,284	-2.25%	46,054	+3.08%
Thuringowa	38,861	-3.30%	39,624	-11.31%
Toohey	34,749	-13.53%	36,832	-17.56%
Toowoomba North	41,141	+2.37%	43,810	-1.94%
Toowoomba South	40,178	-0.02%	41,039	-8.15%
Townsville	37,845	-5.83%	39,614	-11.34%
Traeger*	36,105	-10.16%	36,916	-17.38%
Warrego*	38,597	-3.96%	38,725	-13.33%
Waterford	40,578	+0.97%	45,117	+0.98%
Whitsunday	39,337	-2.12%	45,309	+1.41%
Woodridge	41,538	+3.36%	43,828	-1.90%
State total	3,737,470		4,155,112	
Average enrolment	40,188		44,679	

Notes

* Enrolment information includes the actual district enrolment, combined with a notional number of electors calculated using the "additional large district number", as per the Electoral Act 1992.

Projected enrolment figures provided by the Queensland Government Statistician's Office. Projected enrolment figures have been updated to adjust for rounding and reflect September 2025 enrolment patterns.

Attribution: Projected electors are derived from Queensland Government Population Projections, 2023 edition, unpublished data, Queensland Government Statistician's Office, and elector counts as at 30 November 2024.

Geography: SA1 boundaries are based on the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3, released in 2021.

Caution: The accuracy of projections generally declines for small geographical units. As such, SA1 level results should be used with caution and should be aggregated into larger geographical areas for use where possible.

These projections are informed by the results of the 2023 edition Queensland Government population projections which were prepared using the latest information available at the time of production (2022). Elector projections are modelled using additional inputs and assumptions notably elector counts as at 30 November 2024. Variation above or below the figures provided is to be expected, because projections rely on the accuracy of the assumptions used, as well as the quality of data on which they are based. Changes in actual elector counts between different time points can also be a result of other processes beyond demographic changes (births, deaths and migration).

Appendix 4 - Regional and district maps

Guide to maps and boundary descriptions

This appendix includes maps for each of Queensland's 93 electoral districts, displaying the final boundaries for this redistribution.

Boundary descriptions

Each map displays the final boundaries as a maroon line on the map for the relevant district, accompanied by written descriptions of the boundaries. Boundaries may follow features displayed on the maps (such as local government boundaries, localities, major roads and rivers), and additional written descriptors ('callout' labels) are used to identify the location of the boundaries where those features are unclear on the map.

Callout labels may be added in instances where insufficient space exists to label a feature directly on the map (for example, if a road is too short), or where the boundary is aligned to a feature not displayed on the map (for example, the boundary of a property or lot).

Individual copies of these maps will be available for download from the Commission's website (redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au) and, in the future, from the Electoral Commission of Queensland website (ecq.qld.gov.au).

Interactive map

The final boundaries can be viewed in further detail on the interactive map published on the Commission's website. The interactive map provides the ability to display and compare the existing and new State electoral boundaries, and view additional related spatial information including local government, locality and Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) SA1 (Statistical Area Level 1) boundaries. A guide to using the interactive map is included within the *Submission and publication guidelines* (https://redistribution.ecq.qld.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0020/91910/QRC-Submission-guidelines_v3.pdf) for the redistribution.

Spatial files (geoJSON format) and CSV (comma separated value) data files containing enrolment data for each electoral district can also be downloaded from the Commission's website. Geographical Information Systems (GIS) software is required to view the geoJSON data sets.

Data alignment

The spatial data sets used for these maps are provided through the Department of Natural Resources and Mines, Manufacturing, Regional and Rural Development. As spatial data sets and administrative boundaries are maintained by different entities, spatial layers may not align in some cases.

The boundaries have been developed primarily by using mesh blocks defined by the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) through the Australian Statistical Geography Standard (ASGS) Edition 3. Mesh blocks are the smallest geographic areas defined by the ABS and form the building blocks for the larger regions. Mesh blocks have defined boundaries and can be combined together to accurately approximate other geographies such as Statistical Area Level 1 (SA1) geographies defined by the ABS.

In some instances, for example where an SA1 boundary was not aligned to an identifiable feature, the SA1 was split to form a more meaningful electoral boundary. In addition, minor adjustments were undertaken in some instances to align SA1 geographies to the intended electoral boundary features. The enrolment numbers stated throughout this report reflect the final alignment of the district boundaries as detailed in this report and the Commission's proposed redistribution published on 10 March 2026.

▲ Appendix 4 – Part 1 (regional maps)

▲ Appendix 4 – Part 2 (maps A-K)

▲ Appendix 4 – Part 3 (maps L-W)

