

**Submission ID:** CO-0002  
**Date Received:** 20/4/26, 11:22 am  
**Name:** Kayne Harris  
**Submission Topic:** Electorate Boundaries  
**Electoral District:** Greenbank (formerly Jordan)  
**Related Submissions:** Removal of South Ripley from Greenbank Electorate

**Submission:**

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission,

Please see attached Submission.

Kind regards,

Kayne Harris

**Submission Attachments**

South\_Ripley\_Submission.pdf

Subject: Comment on objections – Proposed inclusion of South Ripley within the Greenbank electorate

I write to support and reinforce the substantial number of objections opposing the inclusion of South Ripley within the proposed Greenbank electorate.

Having reviewed the objections, there is a clear and consistent position that the proposed boundary fails to appropriately reflect community of interest, communication and transport linkages, and the strategic planning framework governing the Ripley Valley. I strongly agree with this position.

### 1. Consistency Across Objections Indicates a Clear Community Position

A notable feature of the objections is the high degree of alignment between submitters. Multiple objections independently raise the same core issues:

- South Ripley's functional integration with Ipswich
- Lack of connection to Greenbank/Logan
- Inconsistency with planned urban structure

This level of consistency demonstrates a genuine, broad-based community of interest and should be afforded substantial weight.

### 2. Misalignment with the Ripley Valley PDA (Statutory Planning Framework)

South Ripley is located within the Ripley Valley Priority Development Area (PDA), a State-declared urban growth area established under Queensland planning legislation.

The PDA coordinates land use, infrastructure delivery, transport networks, and community services, and is designed to function as a cohesive and internally integrated urban area centred on the Ipswich–Springfield corridor.

The proposed redistribution cuts across this framework by placing part of this planned, unified urban system into the Greenbank electorate.

### 3. Inconsistency with the ShapingSEQ Regional Plan

The ShapingSEQ Regional Plan identifies the Ripley Valley as a major growth area within the Ipswich–Springfield corridor.

The proposal separates an area planned as part of this corridor and aligns it with a different regional catchment, which is inconsistent with long-term planning intent.

### 4. Community of Interest and Service Catchments

South Ripley residents are oriented toward Ipswich:

- Healthcare: Ipswich Hospital catchment
- Education: Schools within Ripley Valley and Ipswich
- Retail and employment: Springfield Central, Ripley Town Centre, Ipswich CBD
- Local government: Ipswich City Council

There is no equivalent alignment with Greenbank.

### 5. Transport and Communication Linkages

Primary road corridors connect South Ripley to Springfield and Ipswich, with limited direct links to Greenbank.

### 6. Long-Term Structural Misalignment

South Ripley is a major growth area. As development continues, community identity and infrastructure will further align with Ipswich and Springfield.

Requested Outcome

I respectfully request that the Commission:

- Remove South Ripley from the proposed Greenbank electorate; and
- Include it within an electorate aligned with the Ripley Valley PDA and Ipswich–Springfield growth corridor.

Kind regards,

Kayne Harris, BArch (Hons), March  
Registered Architect- Queensland No. 6340

South Ripley, QLD