

**Submission ID:** CO-0006  
**Date Received:** 20/4/26, 1:12 pm  
**Name:** Dale Lorroway  
**Submission Topic:** Electorate Boundaries  
**Electoral District:** Hill  
**Related Submissions:** O-557

**Submission:**

See below

**Submission Attachments**

Email - Dale Lorroway - Objections to removal of the state electorate of Hill in North Qld - objection submission No. 0-00557.pdf

**From:** [Dale Lorroway](#)  
**To:** [QRC Submissions](#)  
**Subject:** Objections to removal of the state electorate of Hill in North Qld - objection submission No. 0-00557  
**Date:** Monday, 20 April 2026 12:58:43 PM

---

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

QRC,

My objections to the proposed state redistribution of the boundaries for the seat of Hill, which result in the current seat being abolished and parts of Hill being absorbed into both Flinders and Mulgrave, are as follows:

1. The Tablelands area land use and general amenities have little resemblance to that of the far-western area of Flinders and to propose that a state member based in the Flinders area would be able to provide the necessary input to improve issues in the Tableland's area is nonsensical at least;
2. The eastern boundary currently covers areas on the coast around Tully which involve land uses mainly for sugar cane which is also grown on the Atherton Tablelands. Whilst the inclusion of the coastal areas into seats like Mulgrave makes some sense, if this means that this automatically dictates that the remainder of Hill does not meet the quota requirement and must be absorbed into the completely different electorate of Flinders, then the people of the Atherton Tablelands will be placed at a disadvantage and, therefore, the boundaries for Hill should remain basically unchanged;
3. With the population growth in the major towns, the trend will be a reduction of rural seats and an increase of city-based seats. The current government seemed to recognise the importance of regional areas but the abolition of Hill would indicate this is not the case. The rumours are that Hill has to go because of quota issues in seats around Townsville. If this is the case, the Qld EC should modify boundaries of these seats so as not to sacrifice Hill to solve a Townsville-based problem;
4. If Hill has to be abolished, the whole of the Tablelands area should be incorporated into Mulgrave rather than parts into both Flinders and Mulgrave. The splitting of the Tablelands areas into both Flinders and Mulgrave electorates would indicate that Qld EC staff involved have little understanding of local demographics.

Regards

Dale Lorroway