

Submission ID: O-0088
Date Received: 12/3/26, 2:02 am
Name: Larissa Ayers
Submission Topic: Electorate Boundaries
Electoral District: Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

Submission:

See below

Submission Attachments

Email - Larissa Ayers - Objection!.pdf

From: [Larissa Ayers](#)
To: [ORC Submissions](#)
Subject: Objection!
Date: Thursday, 12 March 2026 2:02:24 AM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

I object to the proposal to place South Ripley within an electorate aligned with Greenbank and Flagstone.

South Ripley forms part of the Ipswich growth corridor and shares community, transport and service links with Ripley, Springfield and Yamanto.

I believe South Ripley should remain within an electorate centred on Ipswich or the Ripley Valley rather than being grouped with Logan-focused communities.

Community Identity

Residents of South Ripley and Spring Mountain live, shop, go to school, and play as part of Ipswich. Grouping them with Greenbank/Flagstone splits them from their natural community.

Council Alignment

These suburbs are under Ipswich City Council, not Logan City. The proposed change ignores our local governance and planning.

Services & Infrastructure

Schools, medical services, shopping centres, and sporting clubs in these suburbs are linked to Ipswich — not Greenbank or Logan.

Transport & Connectivity

Roads and public transport connect South Ripley and Spring Mountain primarily to Ipswich and Springfield, not Greenbank. Daily life follows Ipswich patterns.

Urban Growth & Planning

South Ripley and Spring Mountain are part of Ipswich's growth corridor, not Logan's. Infrastructure, housing, and planning decisions are aligned with Ipswich.