

Submission ID: O-0087
Date Received: 11/3/26, 7:22 pm
Name: Matt Jensen
Submission Topic: Electorate Boundaries
Electoral District: Greenbank (formerly Jordan)

Submission:

See below

Submission Attachments

Email - Matt Jensen - Objection to Proposed Electoral Redistribution – Greenbank Electorate.pdf

From: [Matt and Beth Jensen](#)
To: [ORC Submissions](#)
Subject: Objection to Proposed Electoral Redistribution – Greenbank Electorate
Date: Wednesday, 11 March 2026 7:22:44 PM

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission,

I am writing to lodge a formal objection to the proposed redistribution of state electoral boundaries, specifically regarding the inclusion of South Ripley in the proposed Greenbank electorate.

My Address:
[REDACTED]

Grounds for Objection:

I object to the proposed separation of South Ripley from the rest of Ripley and its inclusion in the Greenbank electorate for the following reasons:

1. **Community of Interest:** South Ripley is part of the broader Ripley Valley community and shares common interests, infrastructure, and services with the rest of Ripley. Separating South Ripley from Ripley undermines the community cohesion and splits a geographically and socially connected area.
2. **Regional Identity:** South Ripley is part of the Ipswich region, not Logan. The proposed Greenbank electorate takes in predominantly Logan-based areas, which means South Ripley residents would be represented by a member whose primary constituency and focus would likely be on Logan, not Ipswich. This does not reflect the regional identity or interests of South Ripley residents.
3. **Lack of Representation:** Under the proposed boundaries, South Ripley residents would be represented by someone with limited vested interest in Ipswich regional issues, including infrastructure development, transport, education, health services, and local government matters that directly affect our community. This is particularly concerning given the significant growth and development occurring across the Ripley area.
4. **Administrative and Service Alignment:** South Ripley residents access services, schools, shopping centres, and community facilities in the Ipswich region. Our daily lives are oriented towards Ipswich, not Logan. Electoral boundaries should reflect these practical realities and ensure residents are represented by members who understand and advocate for the region they actually live in.

Proposed Alternative:

I propose that South Ripley remain unified with the rest of Ripley within a single electorate that reflects the Ipswich region's interests. If the proposed Redbank electorate is to include Ripley, then South Ripley should also be included in Redbank to maintain community cohesion and appropriate regional representation.

I request that the Queensland Redistribution Commission reconsider the proposed boundaries and keep South Ripley within an Ipswich-focused electorate alongside the rest of Ripley.

Thank you for considering this objection.

Yours sincerely,

Matt Jensen
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone