

Submission ID: O-0172
Date Received: 13/3/26, 3:30 pm
Name: Chris Lane
Submission Topic: Electorate Boundaries
Electoral District: All

Submission:

Appended Submission - 13/3/2026, 5:56 pm

Submission Attachments: Email - Chris Lane - Redistribution

Submission Attachments

Email - Chris Lane - New proposed boundaries.pdf

From: [REDACTED]
To: [QRC Submissions](#)
Subject: New proposed boundaries
Date: Friday, 13 March 2026 3:29:55 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

To whom it may concern,

I strongly object to the proposed boundary changes. The new maps appear to be clearly politically biased and set a troubling precedent of partisan interference in the redistribution process.

With much concern,
Chris Lane
Resident of the Jordan electorate.

[Yahoo Mail: Search, organise, conquer](#)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [ORC Submissions](#)
Subject: Redistribution
Date: Friday, 13 March 2026 5:56:41 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

To the Queensland Redistribution Commission,

I write to lodge a formal objection to the proposed redistribution of Queensland's electoral boundaries.

While I acknowledge the Commission's obligation under the Electoral Act 1992 to ensure enrolments fall within 10% of the state quota, I am concerned that the proposed boundaries do not adequately satisfy the additional criteria required under Section 46 of the Act — specifically community of interest, physical features, existing boundaries, and demographic trends.

My specific concerns are as follows:

1. Community of interest — The abolition of the Hill electorate severs a clearly defined north Queensland community with distinct economic and geographic interests from meaningful local representation. North Queensland's remoteness, industry, and infrastructure needs are not shared with electorates to the south, and removing this seat diminishes that community's voice.
2. Existing boundaries — The proposed restructuring of the Ipswich corridor, including the effective dissolution of Jordan, departs significantly from established community boundaries without clear justification grounded in enrolment necessity alone.
3. Partisan alignment — It has been publicly reported and analysed that the proposed boundaries closely mirror the LNP's formal submission to the Commission, and that the proposed changes measurably improve the LNP's electoral position by raising the swing required to deprive them of a majority. While I do not suggest the Commission acted improperly, the outcome raises serious questions about whether the legal criteria have been applied impartially and whether the Commission has given sufficient weight to the appearance of independence.

The integrity of Queensland's electoral system depends on public confidence that redistributions are driven solely by the legal criteria — not by political submissions. I respectfully urge the Commission to revisit the proposed boundaries, particularly the abolition of Hill and the restructuring of the Ipswich corridor, and to provide detailed public reasons demonstrating how each decision was reached independently of partisan considerations.

Yours sincerely,
Chris Lane