

Submission ID: O-0735
Date Received: 9/4/26, 6:33 pm
Name: Jake Langenberg
Submission Topic: Electorate Boundaries
Electoral District: Aspley

Submission:

Dear Queensland Redistribution Commission,

Please consider my bellow submission as a resident of Geebung, living with my family in the area that stands to be removed from our current electorate of Aspley. The proposed removal of the portion of Geebung from the Aspley electorate is at odds with at least three of the five key legislative considerations and our best interests.

Moving the boundary as proposed would divide Geebung through its centre, separating community members not only from the services that they rely upon but from each other. Geebung is centred on its train station, RSL and local shops, how can dividing the township respect the community. In addition to these services Geebung and Aspley by extension would be loosing, Geebung Special School, Geebung Kindergarden and Pre-School, Aspley Little Athletics and St Kevin's Primary School. Then there's the residents that live inside the affected area that loose their connection to Geebung and the greater Aspley services that they rely upon.

The community of Geebung relies on its train station as a critical transport hub. Alienating half of its residents from their means to get to work, school, family and friends represents callus decision making.

The electoral bound already sits on the sensible boundary between residential Geebung and the industrial area of Virginia that flanks Sandgate Road. In what world does it make sense to connect the residents of Geebung to that industrial area instead of the community and services that they rely upon. Moving the boundary to where it is proposed is a contradiction to community expectations of a divide between residential and commercial areas.

Neither Aspley or Nudgee appear in the list of electorates that are above or below the required quota of enrolments. The compromise that is being forced on the community of Geebung by the proposed boundary changes, doesn't represent fair value for its residents.

Kind regards,
Jake